



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49328
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of varieties of caupi bean to <i>Meloidogyne incognata</i> parasitism
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Baida et al. [10]b is in the text but cannot find in the reference 2. november with end in april, months should be sentence case 3. rainfall is 431, 8mm confused number 4. Table 1 and 2: do not have a column headings 5. Some confusions in mean separation, please check the mean separations 	
Minor REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayath P. Kirthisinghe
Department, University & Country	University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka