



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>                              |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_49449  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPORTANCE OF CROP WILD RELATIVES AND LANDRACES GENETIC RESOURCES IN PLANT BREEDING PROGRAMMES |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>Standard the citations in the text.<br/>References: the major references are from more than ten years ago (30/33 in total). There is not recent references, for example, at least 5 years ago.<br/>Improve discussion using current papers.<br/>There is no clear objectives in the manuscript.<br/>Suggestion: add some tables or figures to improve the content.<br/>Attention in formatting standard (text, citations, references).</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | The idea of manuscript is very good, I liked the text. However needs some important alterations.  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <i>Henrique Kuhn Massot Padilha</i>          |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Federal University of Pelotas, Brazil</i> |