



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49676
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) Genotypes for Resistance to Early and Late Leaf Spot Diseases
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Screening Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) Genotypes for Resistance to Early and Late Leaf Spot Diseases" is an important piece of work describing groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) genotypes for resistance to early and late leaf spot diseases. This type of work is new in this crop. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts but needing following revision before publication. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 2. The manuscript title should be Screening groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) genotypes for resistance to early and late Leaf Spot Diseases 3. Other corrections should be revised as per enclosed manuscript file. 4. Follow references pattern as per journal 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Screening Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) Genotypes for Resistance to Early and Late Leaf Spot Diseases" is an important piece of work describing groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) genotypes for resistance to early and late leaf spot diseases. This type of work is new in this crop. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts but needing following revision before publication. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 2. The manuscript title should be Screening groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) genotypes for resistance to early and late Leaf Spot Diseases 3. Other corrections should be revised as per enclosed manuscript file. 4. Follow references pattern as per journal 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Screening Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) Genotypes for Resistance to Early and Late Leaf Spot Diseases" is an important piece of work describing groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) genotypes for resistance to early and late leaf spot diseases. This type of work is new in this crop. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts but needing following revision before publication. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 2. The manuscript title should be Screening groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) genotypes for resistance to early and late Leaf Spot Diseases 3. Other corrections should be revised as per enclosed manuscript file. 4. Follow references pattern as per journal 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R.K.Lal</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>India</i>