



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49719
Title of the Manuscript:	Postharvest Quality Of Prickly Pear Coated By Cassava Starch And Stored Under Refrigeration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Authors should specify fruit age that they used in their experiment.	
Minor REVISION comments	1) Line 15: [Opuntia ficus-indica (L.) change to [<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i> (L.) 2) Line 113: CaCO3 change to CaCO ₃ 3) Line 234: CO2 change to CO ₂ 4) Line 280-281: (Opuntia albicarpa) change to (<i>Opuntia albicarpa</i>) 5) Line 336: ZHONG, X. K. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 6) Line 337: (Opuntia ficus indica Mill). change to (<i>Opuntia ficus indica</i> Mill). 7) Line 340: (Opuntia spp.) change to (<i>Opuntia</i> spp.) 8) Line 343: Opuntia spp change to <i>Opuntia</i> spp 9) Line 354: DIMITRIS, L. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 10) Line 357: (Opuntia ficus indica (L.) change to (<i>Opuntia ficus indica</i> (L.) 11) Line 360: (Opuntia albicarpa) change to (<i>Opuntia albicarpa</i>) 12) Line 362: BOTREL, D. A. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 13) Line 368: PLÁCIDO, G. R. 1, What's number 1? 14) Line 368: AND change to and 15) Line 370: (Caryocar brasiliense Camb.). change to (<i>Caryocar brasiliense</i> Camb.). 16) Line 378: (Opuntia ficus-indica). change to (<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>). 17) Line 380: SILVA, D. F. P. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 18) Line 383: SILVA, D. F. P. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 19) Line 401: VILA, M. T. R. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 20) Line 404: BRITO PRIMO, D. M. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 21) Line 409: (Opuntia albicarpa) change to (<i>Opuntia albicarpa</i>) 22) Line 412: (Opuntia spp.) change to (<i>Opuntia</i> spp.) 23) Line 414: ALVES, J. A. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 24) Line 417: BENDER, R. J. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.? 25) Line 419: CARDOSO, L. M. et al. Authors should write all name of et al.?	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript was clearly written. I would like to compliment their writing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjawan Chutichudet
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand