



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49736
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bromatological Composition of Elephant Grass Genotypes for Bioenergy Production</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>-The abstract is weak in which the last paragraph only describing qualitatively the</b> The potential for energy production for elephant grass genotypes. The authors should include more quantitative results as a conclusion of this study.</p> <p>-The conclusion is very weak, it is only describing in general the findings of the study. Authors should include a stronger conclusion to represent the depth of the analysis of the results conducted in this study.</p> <p>-</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	- Although the statistical analysis was based on a randomized block experimental design with 3 repetition and treatments arranged in a subdivided plots scheme, the authors did not include any statistical result or plots to support their findings. More details about the statistical analysis conducted in this research should be added by the authors	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ghassan Mousa Tashtoush</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jordan University of Science and Technology, Jordan</b>