SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_38446
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of China's Foreign Direct Investment in Senegal's agriculture from 1990 to 2012
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 "To Write if Human, to Edit is Devine", kindly edit the whole manuscript. It is not clear what the authors intend to test. The topic has to be revised. For example, the last sentence of the manuscript states that FDI increases agriculture yet in the simple OLS model, FDI is a dependent variable. The methodology is not supported by theory. Why VECM? The VECM was never modelled. Unit root test not properly done, in fact it was not done. The authors are not clear or lack thorough knowledge of the models they are proposing. There is need to re-do the entire methodology section. The following order should be followed. A. Unit root test B. Co-integration test. C. A and B results will determine the methodology to be used, e.g VAR, ARDL, VECM, ECM. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oscar Chiwira
Department, University & Country	BA ISAGO University, Botswana

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)