

Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Performance in Nigeria

Abstract

This paper examined the effect of macroeconomic variables on stock market performance in Nigeria using annual time series data spanning 1981 to 2016. The data were obtained from Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin. Four macroeconomic variables, namely: money supply, interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate were used as independent variables, while market capitalisation (proxy for stock market performance) was employed as the dependent variable. The results of Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test revealed that all the variables studied were stationary at first difference except money supply which was stationary at second difference. The Ordinary Least Square (OLS) regression results showed that money supply has a significant positive effect; interest rate has a significant negative effect; whereas, exchange rate and inflation rate have no statistically significant effect on stock market performance in Nigeria. The cointegration test results disclosed that there exists a long-run relationship between the macroeconomic indicators and stock market performance. The Granger Causality test results revealed that a unidirectional causality runs from money supply and exchange rate to stock market performance. Therefore, the study concluded that money supply and interest rate are the true determinants of stock market performance in Nigeria. The reason is that out of the four independent variables considered in the study, only money supply and interest rate exhibited a significant effect on stock market performance. Consequently, the recommendations are: Monetary policies that favour the supply of money in the economy should be pursued in order to ensure a better performance of the stock market; Interest rate should be relatively low to guarantee a higher performance of the stock market because high interest rate has a significant negative effect on the Nigerian stock market. Finally, investors should not fail to consider interest rate and level of money supply in the economy whenever investment decisions are to be taken in order to improve their returns.

Keywords: Macroeconomic variables, stock market performance, Nigeria

1. Introduction

Investment in the stock market could be very rewarding but also very risky. As such, potential investors attempt to analyse and predict the trend of stock market prices in order to maximise their returns and minimise risk. In doing this, investors consider how certain macroeconomic variables like interest rate, inflation rate, exchange rate, money supply, etc. affect the performance of their stocks. According to Masuduzzaman (2012), Macroeconomic variables play an important role in the performance of a stock market. They can be a yardstick to the investors to forecast the performance of the stock market, as well as a perfect alternative to get additional information about the behaviour of the stock market (Jamaludin, Ismail & Manaf, 2017).

41 Stock markets play a pivotal role in growing industries and commerce of a country that
42 eventually affect the economy (Naik & Padhi, 2012). The stock market makes long-term
43 capital available to firms for investment purposes. The market performs the intermediation
44 process by pooling funds from different investors who wish to put their surplus funds in
45 alternative investment avenues. The investors carefully watch the performance of stock
46 markets by observing the composite market index, before investing funds. The market index
47 provides a historical stock market performance, the yardstick to compare the performance
48 of individual portfolios and also provides investors the key to forecasting future trends in
49 the market.

50 Despite the notion of efficient market hypothesis (EMH), that it is impossible for investors to
51 earn abnormal profit because all the available information are fully reflected in prices in the
52 stock market, many researchers believe that macroeconomic determinants have an effect
53 on stock returns (Ibenta, 2005). This believe tends to agree with the proposition of the
54 arbitrage pricing theory (APT) formulated by Ross (1976), that returns on stocks are subject
55 to series of factors like inflation rate, size of the company, dividend yield, exchange rate,
56 gross domestic product, consumer price index, industrial production index, unemployment
57 rate, interest rate, real income (GDP per capita income), domestic savings, stock market
58 liquidity, et cetera. Thus, this study is geared towards providing an indebt analysis of the
59 effect of certain macroeconomic fundamentals on the performance of stock market in
60 Nigeria. Though some studies have been conducted in this area in the past, this study used
61 recent data on four important macroeconomic variables, namely, money supply, interest
62 rate, exchange rate and inflation rate to ascertain their effects on stock market performance
63 in Nigeria. Also, this study will build a model that captures the reality of the Nigerian stock
64 market and thus contributes to knowledge.

65 The specific objectives of the study are as follows:

- 66 1. To examine the effect of money supply on stock market performance
- 67 2. To assess the effect of interest rate on stock market performance
- 68 3. To determine the effect of exchange rate on stock market performance
- 69 4. To ascertain the effect of inflation rate on stock market performance

70 This study on effect of macroeconomic variables on stock market performance in Nigeria
71 covered the period 1981 – 2016. The base year, 1981 is the year that oil price boom ended
72 in Nigeria. This triggered the need for alternative ways of growing the economy including
73 stock market development. The macroeconomic variables considered in this study include
74 money supply, interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate. Market capitalisation was
75 used as a proxy for stock market performance.

76 As at the time of conducting this research, 2017 data were not published by the CBN.
77 However, the outcome of this study is not affected as the 35 years period covered by the
78 study is long enough to give reliable results.

79 2. Review of Literature

80 2.1 Conceptual Framework

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

81 Stock market performance or stock market returns are gains (including dividends) that
82 investors generate from buying and selling of stocks in a stock market. Returns are usually
83 subject to market risks. To maximise returns, investors should buy at low prices and sell at
84 high prices. Rational investors act on informed decisions and conduct either technical or
85 fundamental analysis to determine the future trend of stocks (Ibenta, 2005). Technical
86 analysis mainly focuses on scrutinizing the historical price movements of a particular stock
87 to predict the future trend of the stock. However, fundamental analysis focuses more on the
88 cash flows, profit growth of companies and any other information that could potentially
89 lead to an increase in the share price of a particular stock. Different macroeconomic factors
90 contribute to the change in earnings of the market. For instance, changes in inflation,
91 exchange rate, interest rate, money supply, and so forth, usually influence the long-term
92 stock market trends.

93 The stock market is an impulsive environment with trends that can either give investors
94 positive or negative returns. Increase in volatility of the stock market raises the level of risk
95 involved and decreases the returns on stocks.

96 2.2 Theoretical Framework

97 2.2.1 Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT)

98 This study is anchored on Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) propounded by Ross in 1976. This
99 theory opined that returns on assets are subject to some factors such as interest rate,
100 exchange rate, inflation rate, dividend yield, gross domestic product, consumer price index,
101 industrial production index, unemployment rate, interest rate, domestic savings, stock
102 market liquidity, etc. The APT is a risk-return equilibrium based model (Izedonmi &
103 Abdullahi, 2011). In 1986, Chen, Roll and Ross tested the validity of APT in the U.S security
104 market using the US macroeconomic variables (Izedonmi & Abdullahi, 2011). They tested
105 seven macroeconomic variables; term structure, industrial production, risk premium,
106 inflation, market return, consumption and oil prices in the period of January, 1952 to
107 November, 1984. They assumed that the underlying variables are not serially correlated and
108 all innovations are unexpected. In their research, they found several of these economic
109 variables to be significant in explaining expected stock return during the tested period. They
110 observed that industrial production, changes in risk premium, twist in the yield curve, and
111 measure of unanticipated inflation and changes in expected inflation during period when
112 these variable, are highly volatile, are significant in explaining expected return. They found
113 that consumption, oil prices and market index are not priced by the financial market. They
114 concluded that stock returns are exposed to systematic economic news that is priced by the

115 market (Izedonmi & Abdullahi, 2011). The Arbitrage Pricing Theory was developed from
116 Capital Asset Pricing Model.

117 2.2.2 *Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)*

118 The model states that prices of assets are determined in such a way that risk premiums are
119 proportional to the systematic risk. CAPM describes the way prices of individual assets are
120 determined in markets where information is freely available and reflected instantaneously
121 in asset prices (Ibenta, 2005). In market equilibrium, it is expected that a security provides a
122 return to compensate for the level of unavoidable risk. In CAPM, there is no reward for
123 assuming any unsystematic risk which can be avoided or easily diversified.

124 The CAPM is used to determine the appropriate price of securities and whether the security
125 is over-priced or under-priced by the market. Portfolios are correctly priced if they fall on
126 the security market line (SML). The value of beta is equal to 1 if the security is located on the
127 SML, which means that the market price is equal to the appraised (intrinsic) value. However,
128 the value of beta is greater than 1 (high risk) if the security is located above the SML, i.e. the
129 market price is more than the appraised value, inferring that the security is overpriced. On
130 the other hand, if the value of beta is less than 1, which means low risk, the security is
131 located below the SML. This means that the market price is less than the security's intrinsic
132 value, and the security is said to be under-priced.

133 In the case of the overvalued security, the security will be unattractive to investors.
134 According to CAPM, the reduced demand for the security will cause the market price to fall.
135 On the other hand, undervalued securities will be attractive to investors and the increased
136 demand will cause the market value of the securities to rise. This equilibrating process,
137 according to Ibenta (2005) will cause the prices of securities to adjust continuously around
138 the SML depending on the intrinsic value of a security which is determined by economic
139 factors surrounding the firm; and the market forces or other qualitative factors of the
140 environment which influence the expectation of investors about the future prospects of the
141 economy.

142 2.3 Empirical Review

143 Ditimi, Sunday, Emma-Ebere and Onyedikachi (2018) examined the dynamic
144 interrelationship between macroeconomic fundamentals and stock prices in Nigeria using
145 time series data spanning from 1980 to 2016. The study made use of co-integration test and
146 the error correction mechanism. Empirical estimates revealed that there is a long run
147 correlation between macroeconomic fundamentals and stock prices in Nigeria. Similarly, in
148 the short run, the values of money supply and interest rate were found to demonstrate a
149 significant effect on stock prices. Also, the values of stock market return were found to show
150 significant influence on the current stock prices. The results also revealed that the natural
151 logarithm of real gross domestic product is a leading indicator that stimulates stock prices in
152 the long and short run. Based on the above findings the study recommends that the Central
153 Bank of Nigeria should carry out prudent macroeconomic policies to derive the best from
154 the stock market. Furthermore, the government should look into the high rate of inflation
155 since it is one of the most significant macroeconomic indicators used to analyze the

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

156 economic conditions of a country. Imegi and Wali (2018) assessed the macroeconomics
157 variables and financial marketing stability and its implication for marketing financial services
158 in the Nigeria banking sector. The quantitative research design was adopted for this study
159 and secondary data was sourced and analyzed using Ordinary Least Square (OLS) estimation
160 technique for the purpose of providing answers to key research hypotheses. The study
161 found a long-run relationship between macroeconomic variables and Nigeria financial
162 market stability.

163 Kolapo, Oke and Olaniyan (2018) explored the impact of macroeconomic fundamentals on
164 stock market performance in Nigeria for the periods from 1986 to 2015. This investigation
165 helps to understand certain peculiarities in the Nigerian stock market; being an emerging
166 stock market. Gross domestic product (GDP) and money supply (MS) was found to have
167 significant impacts on stock market performance in Nigeria. Furthermore, all the features in
168 this study except money supply (MS) and interest rate (INTR) were positively related to
169 stock market performance, and there is the presence of a long run relationship (co-
170 integration) between macroeconomic fundamentals and stock market performance. Auto
171 Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) bounds testing technique was adopted in this study as its
172 estimation technique. Based on the findings of this study, it was recommended among
173 others that policies such as reducing poverty and unemployment rates and increasing gross
174 capital formation among others should be strengthened. Udoka, Nya and Bassey (2018)
175 examined the effect of macroeconomic determinants of stock price movements in Nigeria
176 using data on macroeconomic variables such as gross domestic product, exchange rate,
177 inflation, interest rate and absolute stock price. The autoregressive distributive lag (ARDL)
178 model was employed in the study. The ADF unit root test revealed that only interest rate
179 was stationary at levels while the remaining variables became stationary when differenced
180 once. The ARDL findings revealed that the determinants variables (GDP, EXCHR, INTR, and
181 INFL) were not jointly co-integrated with the dependent variable, ASTP, hence, no existence
182 of a long run relationship. The study concluded that there was no long run relationship
183 between macroeconomic determinants and stock price movements in Nigeria. It was
184 recommended among others that, government should create conducive business
185 environment, strengthen the real sector to stimulate the economy, boost savings and stock
186 investment.

187 Jamaludin, Ismail and Manaf (2017) examined the effect of macroeconomic variables
188 namely inflation, money supply (MS), and exchange rate (ER) on both conventional and
189 Islamic stock market returns in the three selected ASEAN countries (Singapore, Malaysia,
190 Indonesia) by utilizing monthly data over the period of January 2005 to December 2015.
191 Applying the panel least square regression techniques, the results showed that both stock
192 market returns are significantly affected by the ER and inflation rate. MS was found to be
193 insignificant. The paper concluded that inflation poses a greater effect and inversely related
194 to the stock market returns. The paper recommended the need for amendment in monetary
195 policy to ensure that inflation rate is set at a low level, since the results would be able to
196 bring an impact to boost the capital market in the selected ASEAN countries. Jareno and
197 Negrut (2016) analysed the relationship between the US stock market and some relevant US
198 macroeconomic factors, such as gross domestic product, the consumer price index, the

199 industrial production index, the unemployment rate and long-term interest rates. According
200 to the paper, all the relevant factors show statistically significant relationships with the
201 stock market except for the consumer price index, and the signs are consistent with the
202 findings of previous literature.

203 Owiredu, Oppong and Asomaning (2016) examined the macroeconomic determinants of
204 stock market development in Ghana for the period 1992 to 2012 using annual secondary
205 data from Bank of Ghana Quarterly Economic Bulletins, Ghana Statistical Service, Ghana
206 Stock Exchange Market Statistics, the World Bank and IMF's International Financial
207 Statistics. The macroeconomic indicators such as the real income (GDP per capita income),
208 domestic saving, stock market liquidity, financial intermediary growth, macroeconomic
209 stability (inflation) and private capital flows with stock market capitalization used as a proxy
210 for the study were collected and used for the analysis. These variables were examined to
211 establish a relationship with stock market developments based on a linear regression
212 model. The regression analysis found stock market liquidity to be statistically significant to
213 stock market developments as opposed to the other determinants (such as macroeconomic
214 stability, (inflation) real income and domestic savings and private capital flows) which were
215 found to be non-significant. This result suggested that macroeconomic stability (inflation),
216 real income, domestic savings and private capital flows proved not to have any significant
217 impact on stock market development, since their regression coefficients were not statically
218 significant at 5% level of significance.

219 Shrestha and Subedi (2014) examined the determinants of the stock market performance in
220 Nepal using monthly data for the period of mid-August 2000 to mid-July 2014. The impact of
221 major changes in politics and Nepal Rastra Bank's policy on lending against share collateral
222 has also been assessed. Empirical results obtained from OLS estimations of behavioural
223 equations revealed that the performance of stock market is found to respond positively to
224 inflation and broad money growth, and negatively to interest rate. According to the study,
225 this suggests that, in Nepal, share investors seem to take equity as a hedge against inflation
226 and consider stock as an alternative financial instrument. Furthermore, availability of
227 liquidity and the low interest rates stimulate the performance of the Nepalese stock market.
228 More importantly, stock market has been found to respond significantly to changes in
229 political environment and the policy of Nepal Rastra Bank.

230 Singh (2014) examined the relationship between macroeconomic variables and Indian stock
231 market. The multivariate stepwise regression analysis was used to analyse the impact of
232 macroeconomic factors on Indian stock market. Granger causality test was applied to
233 analyse the dynamic causal relationship among the variables. The data used in the study is
234 in the monthly frequency and period of the study has been considered from January 2011 to
235 December 2012. The empirical result of the study shows significant impact of
236 macroeconomic variables on Indian stock market. The gold prices have its negative impact
237 on the stock market. The study found that gold has been used as best alternative for
238 investment which hampers the stock prices of share market. According to the study, the
239 Indian Stock market improves with the increase in the inflow of foreign investment. Thus,
240 foreign investment as well as money supply exhibits its significant positive impact on the

241 stock market. It was also found that the exchange rate shows its adverse effect on the stock
242 market during the study period. Granger causality test, according to the study, signifies that
243 there exists unidirectional causal relationship from exchange rate to stock market. Thus, any
244 movement in the value of exchange rate has influence on stock market. The causality is
245 running from index to the variables in case of trade deficit and foreign institutional
246 investors. According to the study, there exists requirement for the initiative to be taken by
247 government to reduce interest of investors in yellow metal and enhance the investment in
248 share market through improving the confidence level of investors in the Indian stock
249 market.

250 Sukruoglu and Nalin (2014) studied the effects of macroeconomic variables on development
251 of stock market in selected European countries by estimating a dynamic panel data for the
252 period spanning 1995 to 2011. The study found that income, monetisation ratio, liquidity
253 ratio; saving rate and inflation have effect on stock market development. According to the
254 paper, monetisation ratio and inflation have negative effects while income, liquidity ratio,
255 saving rate have positive effects on stock market development. The study added that
256 liquidity ratio emphasizes that the stock market liquidity help to improve stock market
257 development. It was also found that income and saving rate are correlated with stock
258 market growth. Alam and Rashid (2014) examined the relationship between Karachi stock
259 market 100 index and macroeconomic variables, i.e., inflation, industrial production, money
260 supply, exchange rate and interest rate. The long term relationship between
261 macroeconomic variables and stock market returns has been analysed by using Johansen
262 Cointegration test, Augmented Dicky Fuller (ADF) and Phillip Perron (PP) tests. The
263 Autoregressive Conditional heteroskedasticity Lagrange Multiplier (ARCH LM) test provided
264 prudent evidence about the presence of heteroskedasticity in the data. The Generalized
265 Autoregressive Conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) model was used to find out the
266 relationship between stock returns and the variance of the squared error terms as there
267 was heteroskedastic trend in the data. The results showed that the cointegrating
268 relationship exists between stock prices and the macroeconomic variables in Pakistani stock
269 market. The GARCH model showed the significant relationships after mitigating the
270 heteroskedasticity. The consumer price index (CPI), money supply (MS), exchange rates (ER)
271 and interest rates (IR) proved to be negatively associated with the stock returns (SR), while
272 industrial production index (IPI) was found to be positively associated with the stock
273 returns. All the variables were significantly associated to stock market returns except
274 inflation. According to the study, investors can use the GARCH results for investment
275 decisions.

276 Miseman, Ismail, Ahmad, Akit, Mohamad and Mahmood (2013) assessed the impact of
277 macroeconomic forces on five ASEAN stock market movement including Malaysia,
278 Indonesia, Thailand, Singapore and the Philippines. Four macroeconomic influences (interest
279 rate, broad money supply, domestic output and inflation rate) were used to explain the
280 variation of the stock market movement. Applying generalized least squares regressions, the
281 results showed significant impact of interest rate, broad money and inflation rate on the
282 stock market movement, while domestic output is found to be insignificant. According to

283 the study the quantum effect of time onto the stock market movement also showed
284 significant impact and is unchanged over time. It added that this is also another wake-up call
285 for investors who had been relying on economic growth rate forecasts to synthesize an
286 investment strategy. Masduzzaman (2012) investigated the long-run relationship and the
287 short-run dynamics among macroeconomic fundamentals and the stock returns of Germany
288 and the United Kingdom. Each case was examined individually, by applying Johansen co-
289 integration, error correction model, variance decomposition and impulse response
290 functions, in a system incorporating the variables such as consumer price index (CPI),
291 interest rates, exchange rates, money supply and industrial productions between the
292 periods of February 1999 to January 2011. The Johansen cointegration tests indicated that
293 the UK and German stock returns and chosen five macroeconomic variables are
294 cointegrated. The findings also indicated that there are both short and long run causal
295 relationships between stock prices and macroeconomic variables. The results, according to
296 the study, imply the existence of short-term adjustments and long-term dynamics for both
297 the UK and the German stock markets returns and the certain macroeconomic
298 fundamentals.

299 Naik and Padhi (2012) assessed the relationship between the Indian stock market index (BSE
300 Sensex) and five macroeconomic variables, namely, industrial production index, wholesale
301 price index, money supply, treasury bills rates and exchange rates over the period 1994:04–
302 2011:06. Johansen co-integration and vector error correction model were applied to explore
303 the long-run equilibrium relationship between stock market index and macroeconomic
304 variables. The analysis revealed that macroeconomic variables and the stock market index
305 are co-integrated and, hence, a long-run equilibrium relationship exists between them. It
306 was observed that the stock prices positively relate to the money supply and industrial
307 production but negatively relate to inflation. The exchange rate and the short-term interest
308 rate were found to be insignificant in determining stock prices. In the Granger causality
309 sense, macroeconomic variable causes the stock prices in the long-run but not in the short-
310 run. There is bidirectional causality between industrial production and stock prices,
311 whereas, unidirectional causality from money supply to stock price, stock price to inflation
312 and interest rates to stock prices were found.

313 Izedonmi, and Abdullahi (2011) empirically test the performance of the Arbitrage Pricing
314 Theory (APT) in the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) for the period of 2000 to 2004 on
315 monthly basis. Three macro-economic variables (inflation, exchange rate and market
316 capitalization) were investigated against 20 sectors of the Nigerian Stock Exchange. Using
317 Ordinary Least Square (OLS) the study observed that there are no significant effects of those
318 variables on the stocks' return in Nigeria. According to the study, the results are broadly
319 consistent with similar studies carried out for most developed and emerging economies.
320 Using the Box-Jenkins ARIMA model, Gay (2008) investigated the relationship between stock
321 market index prices and the macroeconomic variables of exchange rate and oil price for
322 Brazil, Russia, India, and China (BRIC). The study recorded no significant relationship
323 between exchange rate and oil price on the stock market index prices of the BRIC countries;
324 this, according to the study, may be due to the influence of other domestic and
325 international macroeconomic factors on stock market returns, warranting further research.

Also, there was no significant relationship found between present and past stock market returns, suggesting that the markets of Brazil, Russia, India, and China exhibit the weak-form of market efficiency.

3. Methodology

The paper used descriptive and analytical research designs in determining the effect of macroeconomic factors on stock market performance in Nigeria.

The data used in this study were collected from Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin from 1981 to 2016. Jarque-Bera (JB) test was used to assess the normality of the data series. Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test was employed to determine the stationarity of the variables. Ordinary Least Square (OLS) was used to establish the effect of the independent variables on the dependent variable. Johansen cointegration test was carried out to ascertain the existence of a long-run relationship between the variables. Granger Causality test was employed to determine direction of causality between stock market performance and the macroeconomic variables. Eviews 9 econometric software was used for the analysis.

The model for the study is specified as follows:

$$SMP = \theta_0 + \theta_1 MS_t + \theta_2 ITR_t + \theta_3 EXR_t + \theta_4 IFR_t + \mu \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad (1)$$

Where:

SMP = Stock market performance proxied by market capitalisation (MCP) of Stocks/Securities

MS = Money supply

ITR = Interest rate

EXR = Exchange rate

IFR = Inflation rate

θ_0 = Constant term

$\theta_1 - \theta_4$ = Coefficients

μ = Error term

To improve on the linearity of the model, logarithm was introduced as follows:

$$LogSMP = \theta_0 + \theta_1 LogMS_t + \theta_2 LogITR_t + \theta_3 LogEXR_t + \theta_4 LogIFR_t + \mu$$

$$LSMP = \theta_0 + \theta_1 LMS_t + \theta_2 LITR_t + \theta_3 LEXR_t + \theta_4 LIFR_t + \mu \quad - \quad - \quad (2)$$

4. Data Presentation, Results of Analyses and Discussion

357

4.1 Data Presentation

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

358

Table 1: Market Capitalisation (MCP), Money Supply (MS), Interest Rate (ITR), Exchange Rate (EXR) and Inflation Rate (IFR) in Nigeria from 1981 to 2016

359

Year	MCP(N Billion)	MS (N Billion)	ITR	EXR	IFR
1981	5.0	14.47	10.00	0.61	20.9
1982	5.0	15.79	11.75	0.67	7.7
1983	5.7	17.69	11.50	0.72	23.2
1984	5.5	20.11	13.00	0.76	39.6
1985	6.6	22.30	11.75	0.89	5.5
1986	6.8	23.81	12.00	2.02	5.4
1987	8.2	27.57	19.20	4.12	10.2
1988	10.0	38.36	17.60	4.54	38.3
1989	12.8	45.90	24.60	7.39	40.9
1990	16.3	52.86	27.70	8.04	7.5
1991	23.1	75.40	20.80	9.91	13.0
1992	31.2	111.11	31.20	17.30	44.5
1993	47.5	165.34	36.09	22.05	57.2
1994	66.3	230.29	21.00	21.87	57.0
1995	180.4	289.09	20.79	21.87	72.8
1996	285.8	345.85	20.86	21.87	29.3
1997	281.9	413.28	23.32	21.87	8.5
1998	262.6	488.15	21.34	21.87	10.0
1999	300.0	628.95	27.19	92.69	6.6
2000	472.3	878.46	21.55	102.11	6.9
2001	662.5	1,269.32	21.34	111.94	18.9
2002	764.9	1,505.96	30.19	120.97	12.9
2003	1,359.3	1,952.92	22.88	129.36	14.0
2004	2,112.5	2,131.82	20.82	133.50	15.0
2005	2,900.1	2,637.91	19.49	132.15	17.9
2006	5,120.9	3,797.91	18.70	128.65	8.2
2007	13,181.7	5,127.40	18.36	125.83	5.4
2008	9,563.0	8,008.20	18.70	118.57	11.6
2009	7,030.8	9,411.11	22.62	148.88	12.6
2010	9,918.2	11,034.94	22.51	150.30	13.7
2011	10,275.3	12,172.49	22.42	153.86	10.8
2012	14,800.9	13,895.39	23.79	157.49	12.2
2013	19,077.4	15,160.29	24.69	157.31	8.5
2014	16,875.1	17,679.29	25.74	158.55	8.0
2015	17,003.4	18,901.30	26.71	193.28	9.0
2016	16,185.7	21,607.68	27.29	258.94	15.7

360

Source: Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin 2016

361

Table 2: LogMCP, LogMS, LogITR, LogEXR and LogIFR

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Year	LMCP	LMS	LITR	LEXR	LIFR
1981	0.699	1.160	1.000	-0.215	1.320
1982	0.699	1.198	1.070	-0.174	0.887
1983	0.756	1.248	1.061	-0.143	1.365
1984	0.740	1.303	1.114	-0.119	1.598
1985	0.820	1.348	1.070	-0.051	0.740
1986	0.833	1.377	1.079	0.305	0.732
1987	0.914	1.440	1.283	0.615	1.009
1988	1.000	1.584	1.246	0.657	1.583
1989	1.107	1.662	1.391	0.869	1.612
1990	1.212	1.723	1.442	0.905	0.875
1991	1.364	1.877	1.318	0.996	1.114
1992	1.494	2.046	1.494	1.238	1.648
1993	1.677	2.218	1.557	1.343	1.757
1994	1.822	2.362	1.322	1.340	1.756
1995	2.256	2.461	1.318	1.340	1.862
1996	2.456	2.539	1.319	1.340	1.467
1997	2.450	2.616	1.368	1.340	0.929
1998	2.419	2.689	1.329	1.340	1.000
1999	2.477	2.799	1.434	1.967	0.820
2000	2.674	2.944	1.333	2.009	0.839
2001	2.821	3.104	1.329	2.049	1.276
2002	2.884	3.178	1.480	2.083	1.110
2003	3.133	3.291	1.359	2.112	1.146
2004	3.325	3.329	1.318	2.125	1.176
2005	3.462	3.421	1.290	2.121	1.253
2006	3.709	3.580	1.272	2.109	0.914
2007	4.120	3.710	1.264	2.010	0.732
2008	3.981	3.904	1.272	2.074	1.064
2009	3.847	3.974	1.354	2.173	1.100
2010	3.996	4.043	1.352	2.177	1.137
2011	4.012	4.085	1.351	2.187	1.033
2012	4.170	4.143	1.376	2.192	1.086
2013	4.281	4.181	1.393	2.197	0.929
2014	4.227	4.247	1.411	2.200	0.903
2015	4.231	4.276	1.427	2.286	0.954
2016	4.209	4.335	1.436	2.413	1.196

363 *Source: Author's computation 2017*

364 The data in Table 2 was used to run the analyses. The results are presented in Tables 3, 4, 5,
365 6 and 7.

366 **4.2 Descriptive Statistics**

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Descriptive Statistics consider the mean, median, maximum value, minimum value and standard deviation of a data set. Whereas, the mean reports the average value for each data series, the median explains the middle or centre point for each data series in the model. The maximum value presents the highest value for each data set, while minimum value shows the least value for each data set. Standard deviation is used to measure the dispersion or spread in each data series. It shows how volatile or stable each variable is (Hejase & Hejase, 2013).

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics

	LMCP	LMS	LITR	LEXR	LIFR
Mean	2.507694	2.760972	1.312000	1.428056	1.164500
Median	2.466500	2.744000	1.329000	1.655000	1.105000
Maximum	4.281000	4.335000	1.557000	2.413000	1.862000
Minimum	0.699000	1.160000	1.000000	-0.215000	0.732000
Std. Dev.	1.307854	1.078086	0.131161	0.844338	0.315482

Source: Author's using Eviews 9

The results of the descriptive statistics presented in Table 3 points out that LMS has the highest mean value of 2.760972, while LIFR has the lowest mean value of 1.164500. The median is 2.466500 for LMCP; 2.744000 for LMS; 1.329000 for LITR; 1.655000 for LEXR and 1.105000 for LIFR. LMS has the highest (Maximum) value of 4.335000 recorded in 2016, whereas, LEXR has the lowest (Minimum) value of -0.215000 attained in 1981. LMCP has the highest standard deviation of 1.307854. This means that market capitalisation is the most volatile among the variables considered in this study.

4.3 Normality Test

Normality test is used to ascertain if a data series is normally distributed or not. The normality test is conducted under the null hypothesis of a normal distribution against the alternative hypothesis of non-normal distribution. Jarque-Bera test of normality was employed in this study to determine if each series is normally distributed or not. In Jarque-Bera test, the null hypothesis of a normal distribution is rejected at 5% level of significance.

Table 4: Normality Test Results

	LMCP	LMS	LITR	LEXR	LIFR
Jarque-Bera	3.351606	2.920932	3.564921	4.192342	3.111395
Df	2	2	2	2	2
Prob.	0.187158	0.232128	0.168224	0.122926	0.211042

Source: Author's using Eviews 9

From the normality test results presented in Table 4, Jarque-Bera statistic for each of the variables studied (LMCP, LMS, LITR, LEXR and LIFR) has a probability greater than 0.05. Thus, the null hypothesis of a normal distribution is accepted for all the variables considered in the study. This implies that the data series (variables) were normally distributed.

4.4 Stationarity (Unit Root) Test

Embarking on a regression analysis on non-stationary time series data gives spurious results. In order to avoid this, the study employed Augmented Dickey-Fuller test to determine the stationarity of the data. The results of the unit root test are presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Stationarity (Unit Root) TestResults

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Variable	Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Statistic	1% Level Critical Value	5% Level Critical Value	10% Level Critical Value	Order of Integration	Prob.*	Durbin-Watson Statistic
LMCP	-3.065735	-2.634731	-1.951000	-1.610907	1(1)	0.0032***	2.064322
LMS	-4.247195	-2.644302	-1.952473	-1.610211	1(2)	0.0001***	2.037405
LITR	-5.758056	-2.636901	-1.951332	-1.610747	1(1)	0.0000***	1.838677
LEXR	-4.023156	-2.634731	-1.951000	-1.610907	1(1)	0.0002***	2.070100
LIFR	-6.006444	-2.634731	-1.951000	-1.610907	1(1)	0.0000***	1.825915

***, ** and * connotes that variables are stationary at 1%, 5% and 10% significance level respectively.

Source: Computed by the author with the help of Eviews 9

The results in Table 5 reveal that all the variables are stationary at 1%, 5% and 10% significant level. The variables are non-stationary at levels. However, LMCP (market capitalisation), LITR (Interest rate), LEXR (exchange rate) and LIFR (Inflation rate) are stationary at first difference, while, LMS (money supply) is stationary at second difference. It is observed that the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic is less than the critical values for each of the variables tested, which confirms their stationarity. Furthermore, the Durbin-Watson statistic for each of the variable is approximately 2.0. This confirms the reliability of the results and also depicts that there is no problem of autocorrelation in the time series data.

4.5 Ordinary Least Square Regression

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

The study used OLS regression technique to analyse the short-run relationship between the dependent and independent variables in the study.

Table 6: Regression Results

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Dependent Variable: LMCP Method: Least Squares Date: 01/12/18 Time: 00:00 Sample: 1981 2016 Included observations: 36				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.231141	0.344465	0.671015	0.5072
LMS	1.166778	0.065176	17.90209	0.0000
LITR	-0.809350	0.271585	-2.980094	0.0056
LEXR	0.121024	0.099092	1.221328	0.2312
LIFR	-0.047961	0.071732	-0.668606	0.5087
R-squared	0.992415	Mean dependent var		2.507694
Adjusted R-squared	0.991436	S.D. dependent var		1.307854
S.E. of regression	0.121032	Akaike info criterion		-1.257280
Sum squared resid	0.454110	Schwarz criterion		-1.037347
Log likelihood	27.63104	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-1.180518
F-statistic	1013.959	Durbin-Watson stat		1.206926
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Formatted Table

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Source: Computed by the author using Eviews 9

$$\text{SMP (LMCP)} = 0.231141 + 1.166778\text{LMS} - 0.809350\text{LITR} + 0.121024\text{LEXR} - 0.047961\text{LIFR} + \mu$$

(3)

The regression results presented in Table 6 show that two variables (money supply and interest rate) out of four independent variables studied were found to be significant at 5% level. The implication is that only money supply and interest rate affect stock market performance in Nigeria. Whereas, money supply has a positive effect, interest rate has a negative effect on stock market performance. The results further explain that an increase in money supply by 1 standard deviation will result in 116.679% of standard deviation increase in stock market performance. However, an increase in interest rate by 1 standard deviation will cause stock market performance to decrease by 80.935% of a standard deviation. The other two variables – exchange rate and inflation rate have no significant effect on stock market performance as their regression coefficients were not statistically significant at 5% level. Therefore, it can be inferred from the results that money supply and interest rate are the main determinants that influences stock market performance in Nigeria.

The R-squared value displayed in Table 6 shows that 99% of the variations in stock market performance in Nigeria are caused by the determinants, that is, money supply and interest rate. The adjusted R-squared supports this result, meaning that the model is fit. Again, the results show that the model is significant at 1% and 5%. This is evidenced by the Probability (F-statistic) of 0.000000. However, Durbin-Watson stat of 1.206926 rejects the hypothesis of no autocorrelation. This prompted the running of another test (Correlogram) to prove the existence or non-existence of autocorrelation.

4.6 Ljung-Box Q-Statistic

This is another approach to check for autocorrelation by plotting the correlation of the residual with the residual lagged once; the residual with the residual lagged twice, and so on (Richard Startz, 2015). This plot is known as correlogram of the residuals.

Table 7: Ljung-Box Q-Statistic (Correlogram) Test Results

Date: 06/08/18 Time: 20:19						
Sample: 1981 2016						
Included observations: 35						
Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation		AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob
. *	. *	1	0.118	0.118	0.5303	0.466
.	.	2	-0.026	-0.040	0.5566	0.757

Source: Author's computation using Eviews 9

Table 4.7 presents the Correlogram. Differencing once with lags = 2, the Q-Statistic of both the first and the second rows has a Probability value that is greater than 0.05 which supports the hypothesis of no autocorrelation.

Formatted: Font: +Body, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

4.7 Cointegration Test

Cointegration test is employed in this study to analyse the long-run relationship between the dependent and the independent variables.

Table 8: Cointegration Test Results

Date: 01/11/18 Time: 21:32 Sample (adjusted): 1983 2016 Included observations: 34 after adjustments Trend assumption: Linear deterministic trend Series: LMCP LMS LITR LEXR LIFR Lags interval (in first differences): 1 to 1				
Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace)				
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None *	0.755512	92.67647	69.81889	0.0003
At most 1	0.476966	44.78449	47.85613	0.0945
At most 2	0.296658	22.74881	29.79707	0.2586
At most 3	0.199583	10.78379	15.49471	0.2251
At most 4	0.090215	3.214610	3.841466	0.0730
Trace test indicates 1 cointegrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level * denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level **MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values				
Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Maximum Eigenvalue)				
Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None *	0.755512	47.89198	33.87687	0.0006
At most 1	0.476966	22.03569	27.58434	0.2185
At most 2	0.296658	11.96502	21.13162	0.5511
At most 3	0.199583	7.569179	14.26460	0.4242
At most 4	0.090215	3.214610	3.841466	0.0730
Max-eigenvalue test indicates 1 cointegrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level * denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level **MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values				

Source: Author's computation using Eviews 9

The first panel of Table 7 presents the results for the Trace statistic. From the results, the Trace statistic of 92.67647 is higher than the critical value of 69.81889 and has a probability of 0.0003 which less than 5%. Thus, the null hypothesis of no cointegrating vectors is rejected. The results points to the fact that the variables are cointegrated, implying that a long-run relationship exists between the dependent and independent variables.

The second panel of Table 7 shows the results of the Max-Eigen statistic. It is observed that the Max-Eigen statistic of 47.89198 is greater than the critical value of 33.87687, confirming the cointegration of the variables. The result is significant at 0.05 level. This means that there is a long-run relationship between the variables (the dependent and the independent) employed in the study.

466

467

468 **4.8 Causality Test**

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

469 The study employed Granger Causality Test to determine the direction of causal effect
470 between stock market performance and the macroeconomic variables. In Granger Causality,
471 the null hypothesis is rejected at 5% level of significance.

472 **Table 9: Causality Test Results**

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests Date: 03/03/18 Time: 08:22 Sample: 1981 2016 Lags: 2			
Null Hypothesis	Observations	F-Statistic	Prob.
LMCP does not Granger Cause LEXR	34	1.05434	0.3718
LEXR does not Granger Cause LMCP		6.02945	0.0065
LMCP does not Granger Cause LIFR	34	2.36265	0.1120
LIFR does not Granger Cause LMCP		1.36049	0.2724
LMCP does not Granger Cause LITR	34	0.42773	0.6560
LITR does not Granger Cause LMCP		2.62638	0.0895
LMS does not Granger Cause LMCP	34	4.95981	0.0140
LMCP does not Granger Cause LMS		1.48543	0.2431

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

473 *Source: Computed by the author using Eviews 9*

474 The results presented in Table 8, reveal in the first panel that no causality runs from stock
475 market performance (as represented by market capitalisation) to exchange rate (Prob. =
476 0.3718). However, causality runs from exchange rate to stock market performance (Prob. =
477 0.0065). This implies that there exists a unidirectional causality running from exchange rate
478 to stock market performance.

479 The second panel reveals that Stock market performance is not granger causal for inflation
480 rate (P value = 0.1120). Also, inflation rate is not granger causal for stock market
481 performance (P value = 0.2724). Similarly, the third panel shows no causal relationship
482 between stock market performance and interest rate.

483 The fourth panel reveals a unidirectional causal effect running from money supply to stock
484 market performance (Prob. = 0.0140).

485 **4.9 Test of Hypotheses**

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

486 **Decision Rule:**

- 487 i. *Accept the null hypothesis and reject the alternative hypothesis if the regression*
488 *result is not positive and significant at 5% level.*
489 ii. *Reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis if the regression*
490 *result is positive and significant at 5% level.*

491 **Hypothesis 1**

492 H_0 : Money supply has no significant positive effect on stock market performance

493 H_1 : Money supply has a significant positive effect on stock market performance

494 From the results of the regression analysis presented in Table 6, money supply has a positive
495 and significant effect on stock market performance as proxied by market capitalisation.
496 Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected, while the alternative hypothesis is accepted.

497 The result is in-line with the studies of Singh (2004) and Shrestha and Subedi (2014) who
498 found that money supply exhibits a significant positive impact on the stock market. Ditimi,
499 Sunday, Emma-Ebere and Onyedikachi (2018) reports a significant effect of money supply
500 on stock prices. However, the result is contrary to the findings of Alam and Rashid (2014)
501 and Kolapo, Oke and Olaniyan (2018) who found that money supply is negatively associated
502 with stock returns. Jamaludin, Ismail and Manaf (2017) did not find any significant effect of
503 money supply on stock market returns.

504 **Hypothesis 2**

505 H_0 : Interest rate has no significant positive effect on stock market performance

506 H_1 : Interest rate has a significant positive effect on stock market performance

507 The regression results presented in Table 6 show that interest rate has a negative and
508 significant effect on stock market performance. Thus, the null hypothesis is accepted,
509 whereas, the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

510 This result is consistent with the findings of Alam and Rashid (2014) who found that interest
511 rate has a negative effect on stock returns. Ditimi, Sunday, Emma-Ebere and Onyedika
512 (2018) found a significant effect of interest rate on stock prices. However, Kolapo, Oke and
513 Olaniyan (2018) found a negative but not significant effect of interest rate on stock market
514 performance.

515 **Hypothesis 3**

516 H_0 : Exchange rate has no significant positive effect on stock market performance

517 H_1 : Exchange rate has a significant positive effect on stock market performance

518 The results of the regression analysis displayed in Table 6 indicate that exchange rate has a
519 positive but not significant effect on stock market performance. Hence, the null hypothesis
520 is accepted, whilst the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

521 The result is contrary to the findings of Jamaludin, Ismail and Manaf (2017), Singh (2014)
522 and Alam and Rashid (2014) who all found that stock market returns are significantly
523 affected by exchange rate.

524 **Hypothesis 4**

525 H_0 : Inflation rate has no significant positive effect on stock market performance

526 H_1 : Inflation rate has a significant positive effect on stock market performance

527 The regression results point to the fact that inflation rate has a negative and not significant
528 effect on stock market performance. As such, the null hypothesis is accepted, implying that
529 the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

530 The result is contrary to the findings of Shrestha and Subedi (2014) and Jamaludin, Ismail
531 and Manaf (2017) who found a significant negative effect of inflation on stock market
532 performance. However, Kolapo, Oke and Olaniyan (2018) found a positive effect of inflation
533 on stock market performance.

534 **4.10 Discussion of Findings**

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

535 The study revealed that money supply has a significant positive effect on stock market
536 performance in Nigeria. This implies that an increase in money supply will result in a rise in
537 stock market performance. The result is in agreement with the findings of Shrestha and
538 Subedi (2014) who found that broad money supply has a positive effect on stock market
539 performance. However, the result of this study is contrary to the findings of Alam and
540 Rashid (2014) who found that money supply is negatively associated with stock market
541 returns.

542 The result of this study also disclosed that interest rate has a significant negative effect on
543 stock market performance. The implication is that a rise in interest rate will cause a decline
544 in stock market performance. The result is in line with the studies of Shrestha and Subedi
545 (2014); Alam and Rashid (2014) who found a negative effect of interest rate on stock market
546 performance.

547 According to the study, exchange rate has no statistically significant effect on stock market
548 performance. The result of this study agrees with the findings of Izedonmi, and Abdullahi
549 (2011) who found no significant effect of exchange rate on stock returns. This result is
550 contrary to the findings of Jamaludin, Ismail and Manaf (2017) who found that both
551 conventional and Islamic stock market returns are significantly affected by exchange rate.
552 However, Alam and Rashid (2014) found a negative effect of exchange rate on stock market
553 returns.

554 The Findings of this research also revealed that inflation rate has no significant effect on
555 stock market performance. This result agrees with the findings of Owiredun, Oppong and
556 Asomaning (2016); Izedonmi, and Abdullahi (2011) who found no significant effect of
557 inflation on stock market performance. This finding is opposing the findings of Jamaludin,

Ismail and Manaf (2017); Miseman, Ismail, Ahmad, Akit, Mohamad and Mahmood (2013) and Kolapo, Oke and Olaniyan (2018) who found a significant effect of inflation rate on stock market performance.

5. Implications, Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Implications of the Study

The study considered four macroeconomic variables to ascertain the true determinants of stock market performance in Nigeria. The macroeconomic (independent) variables include money supply, interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate. The results of Johansen cointegration test showed that there exists a long-run relationship between the independent variables and stock market performance (as proxied by market capitalisation). The OLS results showed that money supply has a significant positive effect on stock market performance. It means that the supply of money, to a greater extent, affects the performance of stock market in Nigeria positively. Therefore, if money supply increases, other factors being normal, stock market performance increases too. The results also indicated that interest rate has a significant negative effect on stock market performance, implying that an increase in interest rate will result in decrease(s) in stock market performance. Conversely, exchange rate and inflation rate have no statistically significant effect on stock market performance.

The knowledge from this study will guide policy makers in formulating and adjusting policies to promote macroeconomic stability and foster the performance of the stock market which will in turn promote economic development. Furthermore, this study serves an eye opener to investors as it provides useful guides concerning investments in the capital market. Having this knowledge will enable them to make good returns on their investments. Also, this research work serves as a reference material for further research on this field of study. In addition, it serves as a study material for both Lecturers and Students interested in this topic. Moreover, as the study guides policy makers in making and adjusting policies to achieve macroeconomic stability; helps investors to make good returns on investments; it will help the economy to grow resulting in enhanced standard of living of the people.

5.2 Conclusion and Recommendations

In conclusion, money supply and interest rate are the true determinants of stock market performance in Nigeria. The reason is that out of the four independent variables considered in this study, only two of them (money supply and interest rate) exhibited a significant effect on stock market performance.

Therefore, this study recommends that monetary policies that favour the supply of money in the economy of Nigeria should be pursued in order to ensure a better performance of the stock market. This is necessary because money supply has a significant positive effect on stock market performance in Nigeria. The study also recommends that interest rate should be relatively low to guarantee a better performance of the stock market. This is indispensable judging from the fact that interest rate demonstrated a significant negative effect on stock market performance.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

5.3 Suggestions for Further Studies

The study used four macroeconomic indicators, namely: money supply, interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate as independent variables whilst market capitalisation (proxy for stock market performance) was employed as the dependent variable. However, there are other variables that were not captured in this study but could be employed in a study of this nature. Thus, further studies in this area should capture other relevant variables like stock market liquidity, industrial production index, consumer price index, wholesale price index, unemployment rate, *et cetera*.

References

- Alam, Z. & Rashid, K. (2014). Time Series Analysis of Relationship between Macroeconomic Factors and the Stock Market Returns in Pakistan. *Journal of Yasar University*, 9(36), 6261-6380
- Akani, H. W. & Lucky, L. A. (2014). Money Supply and Aggregate Stock Prices in Nigeria. An Analysis of Co-integration and Causality Tests. *Researchjournal's Journal of Finance*, 2(10), 1-24
- Azeez, A. A., Yonezawa, Y. (2003). Macroeconomic factors and the empirical content of the arbitrage pricing theory in the Japanese stock market. *Japan and the World Economy*, 18(4), 568-591
- Bissoon, B., Seetanah, B., Bhattu-Babajee, R., Gopy-Ramdhany, N. & Seetah, K. (2016). Monetary Policy Impact on Stock Return: Evidence from Growing Stock Markets. *Theoretical Economic Letters*, 6, 1186-1195
- Ballester, L., Ferrer, R. and González, C. (2011). Linear and nonlinear interest rate sensitivity of Spanish banks. *Spanish Review of Financial Economics*, 9(2), 35-48
- CBN (2018). CBN Exchange Rates
- Ditimi, A., Sunday, K., Emma-Ebere & Onyedikachi (2018). Dynamic Interrelationship between Macroeconomic Fundamentals and Stock Prices in Nigeria. *J Fin Mark.*, 2(1), 50-59
- Elyasiani, E. and Mansur, I. (1998). Sensitivity of the Bank Stock Returns Distribution to Changes in the Level and Volatility of Interest Rate: A GARCH-M Model. *Journal of Banking and Finance*. 22(5), 535-563
- Fama, E. (1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. *Journal of Finance*, 25, 383-417
- Flannery, M.J. and James, C. (1984). The Effect of Interest Rate Changes on the Common Stock Returns of Financial Institutions. *Journal of Finance*, 39(4), 1141-1153
- Gay, R. D. (2008). Effects of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Returns for Four Emerging Economies: Brazil, Russia, India and China. *International Business and Economic Research Journal*, 7(3)

635 Hejase, A. and Hejase, H. (2013). *Research Methods: A Practical Approach for Business*
636 *Students* (2nd edition). Philadelphia, PA, Masadir Inc.

637 Imegi, J. C. and Wali, A. F. (2018). Macroeconomic Variables and Financial Market Stability in
638 the Nigeria Financial Services Sector. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and*
639 *Financial Research*, 6(1), 55-66

640 Izanami and Abdullahi (2011). Effects of Macroeconomic Factors on the Nigerian Stock
641 Returns: A Sectoral Approach. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*,
642 11(7)

643 Jamaludin, N., Ismail, S. & Manaf, S. A. (2017). Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Market
644 Returns: Panel Analysis from Selected ASEAN Countries. *Journal of Economics and*
645 *Financial Issues*, 7(1), 37-47

646 Jareno, F. & Negrut, L. (2016). US Stock Market and Macroeconomic Factors. *The Journal of*
647 *Applied Business Research*, 23(1)

648 Kganyago, T. & Gumbo, V. (2015). An Empirical Study of the Relationship between Money
649 Market Interest Rates and Stock Market Performance: Evidence from Zimbabwe
650 (2009-2013). *International Journal of Economics and Finance Issues*, 5(3), 638-646

651 Kolapo, F. T., Oke, M. O. & Olaniyan, T. O. (2018). Unravelling the Impact of Macroeconomic
652 Fundamentals on Stock Market Performance in Nigeria: An Ardl-bound Testing
653 Approach. *Journal of Economics, Management and Trade*, 21(3), 1-15

654 Kumar, R. (2013). The Effect of Macroeconomic Factors on Indian Stock Market
655 Performance: A Factor Analysis Approach. *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance*
656 *(IOSR-JER)*, 1, 14-21

657 Masuduzzaman, P. (2012). Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on the Stock Market
658 Returns: The Case of Germany and the United Kingdom. *Global Journal of*
659 *Management and Business Research*, 12(16)

660 Miseman, M. R. , Ismail, F., Ahmad, W, Akit, F.M., Mohamad, R. & Mahmood, W. M. W
661 (2013). Macroeconomic Fundamentals and Stock Market Performance. *World*
662 *Applied Sciences Journal*, 23, 61-66

663 Naik, P. K. and Padhi, P. (2012). The Impact of Macroeconomic Fundamentals on Stock
664 Prices Revisited: Evidence from Indian Data. *Eurasian Journal of Business and*
665 *Economics*, 5(10), 25-44

666 Nwakoby, C. & Bernard, A. (2016). Effect of Monetary Policy on Nigerian Stock Market
667 Performance. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 4(9)

668 Olulu-Briggs, O. V. & Ogbulu, O. M. (2015). Money Supply and Asset Prices in Nigeria (2008-
669 2018): An Empirical Review. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(10), 45-
670 56

671 Osisanwo, B. G. & Atanda, A. A. (2012). Determinants of Stock Market Returns in Nigeria: A
672 Time Series Analysis. *African Journal of Scientific Research*, 9(1), 478-496

673 Owiredun, A., Oppong, M. & Asomaning, S. A. (2016). Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock
674 Market Development in Ghana. *International Finance and Banking*, 3(2)

675 Ross, S. A. (1976). The Arbitrage Theory of Capital Asset Pricing. *Journal of Economic Theory*,
676 13, 340-362

677 Singh, P. (2014). Indian Stock Market and Macroeconomic Factors in Current Scenario.
678 *IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Business Management (IMPACT:*
679 *IJRBM)*, 2(11), 43-54

680 Staikouras, S.K. (2003). The Interest Rate Risk Exposure of Financial Intermediaries: A
681 Review of the Theory and Empirical Evidence. *Financial Markets, Institutions and*
682 *Instruments*, 12(4), 257-289

683 Staikouras, S.K. (2006). Financial Intermediaries and Interest Rate Risk: II. *Financial Markets,*
684 *Institutions and Instruments*, 15(5), 225-272

685 Startz, R. (2015). Eviews Illustrated for Version 9. University of California, Santa Barbara. IHS
686 Global Inc. 4521 Campus Drive, No. 336 Irvine CA, 92612-2621

687 Sukruoglu, D. & Nalin, H. T. (2014). The Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market
688 Development in Selected European Countries: Dynamic Panel Data Analysis.
689 *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 6(3), 64-71

690 Shrestha, P. K. & Subedi, B. R. (2014). Determinants of Stock Market Performance in Nepal.
691 *NRB Economic Review – Working Paper Series*, NRB-WP-24

692 Talla, J. T. (2013). Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on the Stock Market Prices of the
693 Stockholm Stock Exchange (OMXS30). Master's Thesis of Jonkoping University

694 Udoka, O. C., Nyah, M. J. and Bassey, J. G. (2018). The Effect of Macroeconomic
695 Determinants of Stock Price Movements in Nigeria, *International Journal of*
696 *Research-Granthaalayah*, 6(1), 203-218

697 Vena, H. (2014). The Effect of Inflation on the Stock Market Returns of the Nairobi Securities
698 Exchange. An M.Sc. Thesis Submitted to School of Business, University of Nairobi

699 Zubair, A. (2013). Causal Relationship between Stock Market Index and Exchange Rate:
700 Evidence from Nigeria. *CBN Journal of Applied Statistics*, 4(2), 87-110