

1 **Economic efficiency of paddy cultivated farmers in Raichur district of Karnataka (India)**

2 **Abstract**

3 Chemical fertilizers have played a vital role in the success of India's Green Revolution
4 and consequent self-reliance in foodgrain production. The increase in fertilizer consumption has
5 contributed significantly to sustainable production of foodgrains in the country. Hence, in order
6 to realize the need based targets of agricultural production, in the study area to find out the
7 technically, allocative and economic efficient or inefficient in production of paddy. The result
8 pertaining to this aspect was based on the primary data collected through survey method from
9 paddy cultivated farmers 60 farmers in Raichur district during 2015-16. For paddy cultivation
10 among small farmers results of technical, allocative and economic efficiency were indicated that
11 36.67 per cent, 16.67 per cent and 10 per cent of small farmers had efficiency scores above 0.9
12 in production of paddy, about 26.67 per cent and 16.67 per cent of the farmers were technically
13 efficient with score ranges between 0.7-0.8 and 0.8-0.9. Similarly in large farmers 33.33 per
14 cent, 26.67 per cent and 10 per cent of technical, allocative and economic efficiency scores
15 above 0.9 in production of paddy. It is clear that most of the small and large farmers were
16 economically inefficient, however still there is scope to utilise the available resources for paddy
17 cultivation farmers in the study area.

18 **Key words:** Allocative efficiency, Cultivation, Economic efficiency and Technically efficiency.

19 **INTRODUCTION**

20 Agricultural sector plays an important role in economic development of developing
21 countries. In India, this sector occupies a predominant position in the economy. It contributes
22 about 13.9 per cent to the national income of the country for the year 2015-16 and sustains two-
23 thirds population of India. It is the single largest sector providing employment to the extent of
24 more than 50 per cent of the country's work force, thus agriculture continues to be mainstay for
25 livelihood of rural people. The most challenging problem today is as the population growth
26 increases the demand for food grain increases over the year. Whereas, the production of food
27 grains dropped 259.29 million tone to 252.33 million tonne from 2011-12 to 2015-16.

28 The agricultural production can be increased by either expansion of area or productivity.
29 In the Indian context, land is becoming a shrinking resource for agriculture owing to competing
30 demand for its use. Also the population growth has resulted in lower carrying capacity of land.
31 Hence, in order to realize the need based targets of agricultural production, the pattern of

32 production enhancement will have to rest heavily on increased yield. This essentially calls for
33 optimizing the usage of the existing farm land by adopting new strategy for agricultural
34 development. One of the strategy includes judicious use of chemical fertilizers. Chemical
35 fertilizers have been considered as an essential input to enhance yield in Indian agriculture for
36 meeting the food grain requirements of the growing population of the country. The use of
37 chemical fertilizers to increase the agricultural production particularly in developing country is
38 well known fact. Some argue that fertilizer was as important as seed in the Green Revolution
39 (Tomich *et al.* 1995) contributed as much as 50 percentages to the yield growth in Asia (Hopper
40 1993 and FAO 1998). Fertilizer consumption in India has been increasing over the years and
41 today India is one of the largest producer and consumer of chemical fertilizers in the world.

42 Chemical fertilizers bear a direct relationship with food grain production along with a
43 number of supporting factors like High Yielding Varieties (HYVs), irrigation, access to credit
44 and enhanced total factors of productivity. The importance of the chemical fertilizer sector in
45 Indian agriculture hardly be emphasized as it provides a very vital input for the growth of Indian
46 agriculture and is an expected factor that has to be reckoned within the attainment of the goal of
47 self-sufficiency in food grains. Accurate forecasting of fertilizer demand and supply is essential,
48 both for companies producing, importing and marketing fertilizer and for governments in their
49 efforts to monitor the development of agriculture.

50 Chemical fertilizer is a substance to soil to improve plants' growth and yield. First used
51 by ancient farmer's fertilizer technology developed significantly as the chemical needs of
52 growing plants were discovered. Chemical fertilizer was identified as one of the three most
53 important factors, along with seed and irrigation, for raising agricultural production and
54 sustaining food self-sufficiency in India (Chand and Pandey 2009).

55 The importance of fertilizer is because of shrinking cropping land and production need is
56 high. The Indian National Food Security Act. (2013) aims to provide subsidized food grains to
57 approximately two thirds of India's 1.2 billion people. India needs to produce an additional 5-6
58 million tonnes of food grains annually to meet the requirement of an increasing population. The
59 level of use of fertilizer in India is imbalance, this trend will continuous in India as well as in
60 Karnataka and also in North Eastern Karnataka (NEK) region. The results of the study will be
61 great useful to the policy makers to formulate policy related to efficient utilisation of chemical
62 fertilizers to enhance the crop output at the same time reduce the cost of cultivation and
63 maximise the profit. It is appropriate and most conducive to undertake study on proposes to

64 assess usage of chemical fertilizer in NEK region. Hence, the present paper has examined the
65 economic efficiency of paddy production in Raichur district.

66 **METHODOLOGY**

67 Primary data were obtained from the farmers who are growing selected crops through
68 personal interviews with the help of pre-tested and structured schedule. Multistage random
69 sampling techniques will be employed. In the first stage for Raichur district was selected in the
70 North Eastern Karnataka region based on highest chemical fertilizer consumption. In the second
71 stage from Raichur districts, two taluks was selected by considering above mentioned criteria,
72 Shindhanur and manvi taluks were selected, In the third stage three villages from each taluks
73 were selected by randomly, from the selected villages ten farmers were chosen by randomly.
74 Thus the data was collected from 60 (30 from each taluk) sample farmers.

75 The DEA was applied by using both classic models CRS (constant returns to scale)
76 and VRS (variable returns to scale) with input orientation, in which one seeks input
77 minimization to obtain a particular product level (Murthy et al. 2009). In this study, to estimate
78 the technical efficiency, allocative efficiency and economic efficiency input oriented and cost
79 minimization DEA were used. This approach was first used by Farrell (1957) as a piecewise
80 linear convex hull approach to frontier estimation and later by Boles (1966) and Afriat (1972).
81 This approach did not receive wide attention till the publication of paper of Charnes *et al.*
82 (1978), which coined the term data envelope analysis.

83 Mathematical form of data envelopment analysis as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 84 \quad & \text{Min} \theta, \lambda \\ 85 \quad & \text{Subject to } -y_i + Y \lambda \geq 0 \\ 86 \quad & \theta X_i - X \lambda \geq 0 \\ 87 \quad & \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

88 Where,

89 y_i is a vector ($m \times 1$) of output of the i^{th} Producing Farms TPF (Total
90 productivity factor),

91 x_i is a vector ($k \times 1$) of inputs of the i^{th} TPF

92 Y is an output matrix ($n \times m$) for n TPFs

93 X is an input matrix ($n \times k$) for n TPFs

94 θ is the efficiency score

95 a scalar whose value will be the efficiency measure for the i^{th} TPF. If $\theta = 1$, TPF (Total
96 productivity factor) will be efficient; If $\theta \neq 1$ it will be inefficient, and λ is a vector ($n \times 1$) whose
97 values are calculated to obtain the optimum solution. For an inefficient TPF, the λ values will be
98 the weights used in the linear combination of other, for efficient, TPFs, which influence the
99 projection of the inefficient TPF on the calculated frontier.

100 The DEAP version 2.1 software developed by Coelli and Battese, (1996), Centre for
101 Efficiency and Productivity Analysis, University of Queensland, Australia, was used in this
102 study by taking input orientation to obtain the efficiency levels of paddy farms.

103 Gross return (Rs/acre) was used as a output (Y) in the present case and seed (kg), farm
104 yard manure (tonnes), plant nutrients N (Kg), P (kg), K (kg) separately, total men labour (man
105 days), total women labour (woman days), plant protection chemicals (Rs), other input costs and
106 fixed input costs as inputs (X). The models were solved using the DEAP version 2.1 taking an
107 input orientation to obtain the efficiency levels.

108 **Result and Discussion**

109 Table 1 depicts the chemical fertilizer use efficiency among small and large farmers for
110 paddy cultivation. It is revealed from the table that, value of coefficients of multiple
111 determinations was found 68 per cent and 79 per cent in small and large farmers respectively for
112 paddy cultivation. In small farmers the regression coefficients of the resource variables were
113 found positive for seed (0.05), FYM (0.39), potash (0.18) and labour usage (0.12), negative
114 regression coefficients was observed for nitrogen (-1.68) phosphorous (-1.10), and PPC (-0.16).
115 The highly significant regression coefficient was observed for nitrogen indicated that one per
116 cent change in its use level would decrease the output of paddy by 1.68 per cent, phosphorous
117 1.10 per cent, keeping the use levels of the other variable constant. Similarly plant protection
118 chemical (PPC) reflected negative effect on paddy yield but it was non-significant. The
119 significant regression coefficient was observed in case of FYM indicated that the one per cent
120 changes in its use level would increase the output of paddy by 0.39 per cent, potash 0.18 per
121 cent.

122 With regard to large farmers, the significant regression coefficient of nitrogen indicate
123 that one per cent change in its use level would decrease the output of paddy by 1.24 per cent
124 keeping the use levels of the other variable constant. Whereas regression coefficients of the
125 resource variables for seed (0.14), FYM (0.51), potash (0.13) and labour usage (0.03) were

126 found positive. The significant regression coefficient was observed in case of FYM indicated
 127 that the one per cent changes in its use level would increase the output of paddy by 0.51 per cent,
 128 potash 0.13 per cent.

129 The regression model adequacy was examined with coefficient of multiple determination
 130 (R^2) 68 per cent and 79 per cent in case of small and large farmers for paddy cultivation. This
 131 implies that, about 68 per cent and 79 per cent of the variation in the output was explained by the
 132 selected exogenous variables such as seed, FYM, nitrogen, phosphorous, potash, PPC and
 133 labour. Small farmer's regression variable coefficients were negative for nitrogen consumption
 134 and phosphorous which indicate that there was no scope for attaining optimal level of output by
 135 increasing the input application. With regard to large farmers nitrogen consumption in paddy
 136 cultivation was negative which indicated that additional unit increase in nitrogen application
 137 reduce the output.

138 **Table 1 Chemical fertilizer use efficiency for small and large farmers for paddy cultivation**

Sl. No.	Variables	Small Farmers (n=30)		Large Farmers (n=30)	
		Coefficient	t-value	Coefficient	t-value
1	Constant	5.98**	2.384	6.52**	3.413
2	Seed (kg/acre)	0.05	0.729	0.14	1.625
3	FYM (kg/acre)	0.39*	2.130	0.51*	3.13
4	Nitrogen (kg/acre)	-1.68**	3.158	-1.24**	2.914
5	Phosphorus (kg/acre)	-1.10*	-2.075	-1.04	-1.569
6	Potash (kg/acre)	0.18**	3.180	0.13**	2.680
7	PPC (Rs./acre)	-0.16	-1.374	-0.28	-1.705
8	Labour usage (Rs./acre)	0.12	0.093	0.03	0.374
	R^2	0.68		0.79	

139 Note: * Significance at 5 per cent level ** Significance at 1 per cent level

140 The results of technical, allocative and economic efficiency are presented in Table 2. The
 141 results indicated that, 40 per cent of small farmers and 46.67 per cent of large farmers have
 142 efficiency scores above 0.9 under the assumption of constant returns to scale in paddy
 143 cultivation. While, 10 per cent and 16.67 per cent of the small and large farmers were technical
 144 efficiency score with ranges between 0.8-0.9 under the assumption of CRS in paddy cultivation.
 145 The average technical efficiency score was 0.74 in small farmers and 0.81 in large farmers under
 146 the assumptions of CRS in paddy cultivation. With regard to variable returns to scale, 46.67 per

147 cent of small farmers and 53.33 per cent of large farmers have efficiency scores above 0.9 under
148 the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation. While, 23.33 per cent and 20 per cent of the small
149 and large farms were technical efficiency score with ranges between 0.8-0.9 under the
150 assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation respectively. The average technical efficiency score was
151 0.83 in small farmers and 0.89 in large farmers under the assumptions of VRS in paddy
152 cultivation. However, the large farmers were technically more efficient as compared to small
153 farmers under the assumptions of CRS and VRS in paddy cultivation.

154 The results pertaining to technical efficiency revealed the estimated mean of 0.74 and
155 0.81 for small and large farmers under the assumption of CRS in paddy cultivation. This implied
156 that, there exists a 26 per cent and 19 per cent potential for increasing small and large farmers
157 cultivation by using the present technology. Whereas, technical efficiency mean of 0.83 and
158 0.89 for small and large farmers under the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation. It indicated
159 that, there exists a 17 per cent and 11 per cent potential for increasing small and large farmers
160 cultivation by using the present technology. Therefore both categories of farmers need to
161 practice recommended dosage of application in fertilizers and also other inputs as per the
162 package of practice given by State Agriculture Universities (SAU) in order to achieve the 100
163 per cent efficiency.

164 With regard to allocative efficiency in paddy cultivation is concerned about 16.67 per
165 cent and 26.67 per cent of small and large farmers attained efficiency level 90 and above under
166 CRS assumption respectively. With a score of 13.33 per cent of both small and large farmers
167 attained efficiency level 0.80 to 0.90 under CRS assumption. The average technical efficiency
168 score was 0.58 in small farmers and 0.62 in large farmers under the assumptions of CRS in
169 paddy cultivation. While, 33.33 per cent of both small farmers and large farmers have efficiency
170 scores above 0.9 under the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation. While, 6.67 per cent and 10
171 per cent of the small and large farmers were allocative efficiency score with ranges between
172 0.8-0.9 under the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation. The average technical efficiency
173 score was 0.67 in small farmers and 0.71 in large farmers under the assumptions of VRS in
174 paddy cultivation. It implies that, the large farmers were allocative more efficient as compared to
175 small farmers under the assumptions of CRS in paddy cultivation.

176 The small and large farmers in paddy cultivation have an allocative efficiency mean
177 level of 0.58 and 0.67 under the assumption of CRS. This means that, there exist a 42 per cent
178 and 33 per cent potential for increasing output by using optimum input combination. While

179 under VRS assumption, the allocative efficiency mean level were 0.67 and 0.71 for small and
 180 large farms respectively. This implied that, there exist a 33 per cent and 29 per cent potential for
 181 increasing output by using optimum input combination.

182 The average economic efficiency score was 0.51 and 0.56 of small and large farmers
 183 under the assumptions of CRS in paddy cultivation respectively. 10 per cent of small farmers
 184 and 13.33 of large farmers and have efficiency scores above 0.9 under the assumption of
 185 constant returns to scale in paddy cultivation. While, 6.67 10 per cent of small farmers and 20
 186 per cent of large farmers were economic efficiency score with ranges between 0.8-0.9 under the
 187 assumption of CRS in paddy cultivation. With regard to variable returns to scale, 13.33 per cent
 188 and 20 per cent of small and large farmers have efficiency scores above 0.9 under the
 189 assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation. While, 16.67 per cent and 23.33 per cent of the small
 190 and large farmers were economic efficiency score with ranges between 0.8-0.9 under the
 191 assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation respectively. The average economic efficiency score
 192 was 0.59 in small farmers and 0.63 in large farmers under the assumptions of VRS in paddy
 193 cultivation. However, the large farmers were economic efficiency was higher as compared to
 194 small farmers under the assumptions of CRS and VRS in paddy cultivation. The economic
 195 efficiency mean of 0.51 and 0.59 for small farmers and large farmers under the assumption of
 196 CRS in paddy cultivation, implies that there exists a 49 per cent and 41 per cent potential for
 197 increasing small and large farmers cultivation at the existing level of their resources.

198

199

200 **Table 2 Economic efficiency of farmers in paddy cultivation**

Efficiency score	Small farmers (n=30)			Large farmers (n=30)		
	Constant returns to scale					
	Technical efficiency	Allocative efficiency	Economic efficiency	Technical efficiency	Allocative efficiency	Economic efficiency
<0.5	2 (6.67)	7(23.33)	11 (36.67)	--	6 (20.00)	9 (23.33)
0.5-0.6	4 (13.33)	8 (26.67)	4 (13.33)	2 (6.67)	6 (20.00)	5 (16.67)
0.6-07	3 (10.00)	4 (13.33)	6 (20.00)	2 (6.67)	3 (10.00)	2 (6.67)
0.7-0.8	6 (20.00)	2(6.67)	4 (13.33)	7 (23.33)	3 (10.00)	4 (13.33)
0.8-0.9	3 (10.00)	4 (13.33)	2 (6.67)	5 (16.67)	4 (13.33)	6 (20.00)

0.9-1.00	12 (40.00)	5 (16.67)	3 (10.00)	14 (46.67)	8 (26.67)	4 (13.33)
Total	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)
Mean	0.74	0.58	0.51	0.81	0.62	0.56
	Variable returns to scale					
<0.5	2 (6.67)	4 (13.33)	8 (26.67)	--	3 (10.00)	3 (10.00)
0.5-0.6	1 (3.33)	6 (20.00)	5 (16.67)	1 (3.33)	5 (16.67)	4 (13.33)
0.6-0.7	3 (10.00)	4 (13.33)	3(10.00)	2 (6.67)	5 (16.67)	5 (16.67)
0.7-0.8	3 (10.00)	4 (13.33)	5 (16.67)	5 (16.67)	4 (13.33)	5 (16.67)
0.8-0.9	7 (23.33)	2 (6.67)	5 (16.67)	6 (20.00)	3 (10.00)	7 (23.33)
0.9-1.00	14 (46.67)	10 (33.33)	4 (13.33)	16 (53.33)	10 (33.33)	6 (20.00)
Total	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)	30 (100.00)
Mean	0.83	0.67	0.59	0.89	0.71	0.63

201 Note: Figures in parenthesis are percentages.

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

While, under the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation economic efficiency mean of 0.59 and 0.63 for small farmers and large farmers under the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation indicates that, there exists a 41 per cent and 37 per cent potential for increasing small and large farmers cultivation at the existing level of their resources. The results were in conformity with Samarpitha *et al.* (2016) who found that the mean economic efficiency of the sample farms was 81.68 per cent in rice farms in Nalgonda district of Telangana.

209 CONCLUSION

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

The economic efficiency mean of 0.51 and 0.59 for small farmers and large farmers under the assumption of CRS in paddy cultivation, implies that there exists a 49 per cent and 41 per cent potential for increasing small and large farmers cultivation at the existing level of their resources. While, under the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation economic efficiency exists a 41 per cent and 37 per cent potential for increasing small and large farmers cultivation at the existing level of their resources. The small and large farmers in paddy cultivation have an allocative efficiency mean level of 0.58 and 0.67 under the assumption of CRS. This means that, there exist a 42 per cent and 33 per cent potential for increasing output by using optimum input combination. While under VRS assumption, the allocative efficiency mean level were 0.67 and

219 0.71 for small and large farms respectively. This implied that, there exists a 33 per cent and 29
220 per cent potential for increasing output by using optimum input combination.

221 The results pertaining to technical efficiency revealed the estimated mean of 0.74 and
222 0.81 for small and large farmers under the assumption of CRS in paddy cultivation. This implied
223 that, there exists a 26 per cent and 19 per cent potential for increasing small and large farmers
224 cultivation by using the present technology. Whereas, technical efficiency mean of 0.83 and
225 0.89 for small and large farmers under the assumption of VRS in paddy cultivation. It indicated
226 that, there exists a 17 per cent and 11 per cent potential for increasing small and large farmers
227 cultivation by using the present technology. Therefore both the categories of farmers need to
228 practice recommended dosage of application in fertilizers and also other inputs as per the
229 package of practice given by State Agriculture Universities (SAU) in order to achieve the 100
230 per cent efficiency.

231

232 REFERENCES

233 Afriat, S. N., 1972, Efficient estimation of production functions. *International Economic*
234 *Review.*, 13: 568-598.

235 Boles, J. N., 1966, Efficiency squared – efficient computation of efficiency indexes. *Proceedings*
236 *of the the 39th Annual Meeting of the Farm Economic Association.*, pp. 137- 142.

237 Chand, and Pandey, L. M., 2009, Fertiliser use, nutrient imbalances and subsidies: trends and
238 implications, *J. of Appl. Econ. Res.*, 3 (4) : 409–432.

239 Charnes, A., Cooper, W. W. and Rhodes, E., 1978, Measuring the efficiency of decision making
240 units. *European Journal of Operations Research.*, 2: 429-444.

241 Coelli, T. J. and Battese, G. E. 1996, Identification of factors which influence the technical
242 inefficiency of Indian farmers. *Australian J. of Agri.l Econ.*, 40: 103-128.

243 FAO., 1998, Guide to efficient plant nutrition management, FAO Publication, Rome.

244 Farrell, M. J., 1957, The measurement of productive efficiency, *Journal of Royal Statistical*
245 *Society.*, 120 (3): 253-290.

246 Hopper, W., 1993, Indian agriculture and fertilizer: An outsider's observation key note address
247 to the FAI Seminar on emerging scenario in fertilizer and agriculture: global dimensions,
248 *The Fertilization Association of India.*

- 249 Murthy, S. D., Sudha, M., Hegde, M. R., Dakshinamoorthy, V., 2009, Technical efficiency and
250 its determinants in tomato production in Karnataka, India: Data Envelopment Analysis
251 (DEA) Approach. *Agril. Econ. Res. Rev.*, 22:215- 224.
- 252 Samarpitha, A., Vasudev N. and Suhasini K., 2016, Technical, economic and allocative
253 efficiencies of rice farms in Nalgonda district of Telangana state. *Economic Affairs.*, 61
254 (3): 365-374.
- 255 Tomich, T., Kilby, P. and Johnson, B., 1995, Transforming agrarian economies: opportunities
256 seized, opportunities missed Ithaca. NY: *Cornell University Press*.

UNDER PEER REVIEW