



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_46038
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic efficiency of paddy cultivated farmers in Raichur district of Karnataka (India)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The objective of the analysis is interesting but the current version of manuscript needs a radical new structure and development.</p> <p>First, the aim should be evidenced in the abstract and introduction sections.</p> <p>Second, the methodological approach should be explained in a clearer way.</p> <p>Third, the contribution can be made evident only putting the accent on the gap in the literature.</p> <p>Finally, the interpretations of results are not consistent with the objectives and need further improvement also in terms of policy implications.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Luigi Aldieri</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Salerno, Italy</i>