



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_46228
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Expenditure and Financial Development Effects on Economic Growth: Empirical evidence from Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The researcher was to include section 3 "Objectives of the study" under which at least 2 objectives should be specified.</li> <li>(ii) The paper should have a "Recommendations" section which comes after the 'Conclusion' section. This means that under the conclusion section all statement in which the researcher used "government/households should" should be part of the recommendations. I have highlighted some of the statements in red.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The topic should be adjusted to become" Effects of Public Expenditure and Financial Development Effects on Economic Growth: Empirical evidence from Nigeria</li> <li>(ii) Section 2 should be called "Background to the study" given that it gives a background of public expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is well articulated.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>L. Ngendakumana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Africa University, Zimbabwe</b>