Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JENRR_47946**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Impact of Electricity Service on Performance of Microenterprises of Rural Entrepreneurs in Ogun State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	***Original Research Article***

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Please reduce the length of the abstract. Usually abstracts are 200-250 words long. - Please expand the section concerning "electricity and its cost" – line 42. Among others please consider: https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/11/6/1460 https://www.ovoenergy.com/guides/energy-guides/average-electricity-prices-kwh.html (Nigeria) https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/6/3/034002/meta - Please keep care on how to use the term "power". Power is a synonym of capacity (installed capacity). Power is not a service (line 74) etc. In your manuscript, it is most times possible to substitute the term "power" with "energy". E.g. line 310: "Also, energy supply…" - Please expand section 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION. Much more could be stated here.	
Minor REVISION comments	- Line 28: "…They also believe…" - Line 105: "…rural microenterprises in **the area of** the Niger Delta…" - Line 155: "…(National Bureau of statistics**,** 2009)…" - Line 191 "…= Marital…" - Line 192 "…= Age…" - Line 214 "…= Monthly" - Line 259: "…Fig**.**1…" - Line 285: "…0.0548 units…" - Line 290: "…Furthermore, **r**eliable…" - Line 293: "…by 0.0146 units…" - Line 322: "…sign**.**"	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Simon Pezzutto***
Department, University & Country	***EURAC Research, Italy***