

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_42495
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF INCINERATOR FOR MEDICAL WASTES OF TWO HEALTH CARE FACIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author should highlight the study area.	
	Author should discuss more about literatures	
	Author should justify designs with literatures.	
	Methodology should be well written	
	Abstract and conclusion should be revised.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Author revised effectively	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naveen BP
Department, University & Country	Amity University, India

ILITIES

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write