

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_46618
Title of the Manuscript:	ENERGY RECOVERY POTENTIAL AND MANAGEMENT OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE IN NIGERIA: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 The author show the different states' waste management situation in Nigeria from different literature in different year, but the data is from different year. Form the principle, the author cannot compare the gap. In addition, the author need to compare the situation and describe why the situation and institution are different in different state government. If the author cited the other researches paper before or in the sentence, not in the end of sentence, you must show the author's name. Now, author's cited behaviour isn't adjusted to international traditions. For example,"[3] defined solid waste as a material that is no longer valuable which is not intended to be discharged through a pipe." should changed "Ajani(2008) defined solid waste as a material that is no longer valuable which is not intended to be discharged through a pipe". Please the author changed all paper cited information. Which year the result are from in Table 2? The author should compare the laws and regulations using the table, and describe the change among different laws and regulations. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 In this sentence, "Only 14 kg (14.24%) in 2001 and just 13.06% of 268 kg in 2013 were recycled [8]. ", the author didn't show the all weight in 2001. Please add it. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed w
		highlight that part in the manuso
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aiqin Wang
Department, University & Country	Xi;an jiaotong university, China