



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_47421
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of Power Driven Gari Fryer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The work is was well conceived. It provided improved knowledge towards standardising the gari industry. The authors should however rearrange the work such that Materials and Methods should begin with the topics – 2.1 Gari Fryer, 2.2 Design Considerations, 2.3 Orthographic and Isometric Drawings, 2.4 Fabrication/Construction of Gari Fryer, 2.4 Pictorial views of the Machine, 2.5 Description of the Power Driven Gari Fryer, 2.5 Testing of the Machine etc.</p> <p>This would give the readers better understanding of the work.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Simon O. Odey
Department, University & Country	Cross River University of Technology, Nigeria