



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_47421
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of Power Driven Gari Fryer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How come the machine has just two sections? There should be a need for the collection section 2. Production was given per day? How many hours makes up the 'per day' 3. 5kg/hr = 100kg per day. Are you expecting the machine to work for 20 hours? 4. How were you able to produce a machine without odor? 5. Why do you have references as old as 1962 still be referred to now? 90% of your references are before 1996!! 6. "NIS 181: 2004" was in the body of the text but missing in your list of references 7. Add just one (1) image for figure 1 and replace fig 2 with an exploded labelled view of the machine 8. Centralise all images and remove the labels from the images 9. Increase the size of the images because we can hardly see them 10. KINDLY INSERT AN IMAGE OF THE DEVELOPED MACHINE BEFORE FURTHER PROCESSING OF THE MANUSCRIPT 11. Why do you have two (2) images listed each as fig 1 and 3 12. Value of all parameters solved for in the design calculations section should be placed in a tabular form and each parameter should be defined 13. Kindly justify the materials selection for each part of the machine 14. Kindly add the source of all equations used in this manuscript 15. All equations should be prepared with the equation editor 16. Rearrange and work on your table. Please use standard table for the result in table 1 17. Explain the need for each of the tables and state the relevance of each 18. What are the health benefits and nutrients in gari and what likely foods can gari be converted to? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 19. Different line spacing in abstract and introduction 20. Font not the acceptable font for publishing with this journal 21. Put a spacing between the last line of the abstract and keywords 22. Reconstruct your abstract section 23. Rearrange your references and put it in order 24. Check your spellings and correct where necessary 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adejugbe, Idowu Tolulope
Department, University & Country	Engineering Materials Development Institute, Nigeria