SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_48835**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Climate Change effect on Water Supply Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) sector**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	An interesting paper offers us an insight into a Climate Change effect on Water Supply Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) sector in developing countries and possible suggestions how to improve this fact. The paper gives discussions on issues with great potential to contribute achieve them in all poor regions worldwide. Realization case studies and their analysis in such regions could be a source of opportunities for rural and urban inhabitants generally.	
Minor REVISION comments	The overall idea is fine. The topic of this paper is relevant, timely, and of interest to the audience of this journal. The abstract is concise and sufficient. The introduction provides only a few necessary background information. The same – Methodology. It should be described more precisely how authors proceed for to finding support and significance effect of climate change in WASH sector management. The supporting evidence in this paper is not reliable. The results of analysis are interpreted wihout helping of tables, graphs, etc. and for this reason conclusions are not sound. References are appropriate.	
Optional/General comments	For results and discussion are used only verbal statement of known facts. It´ll be useful describe how the methodology should be used for different regions over the world. I miss references in the text (except of two). Figures and tables missing at all. The paper is easy to read, but I recommend to read it by natural speaker because of a few grammatical errors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Zuzana Vranayova***
Department, University & Country	***Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia***