



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49333
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing qualities of different Sources of Water for mixing Concrete
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is not too real. In most cases, water is available in the nearest area.</p> <p>It must be corrected: Review English Materials: composition of aggregates: Granite rock ?. Explain Water samples: indicate more clearly the origin of the water. Mixing and casting of concrete: granite? Water samples analysis and table 1: magnesium or Mg²⁺; iron or Fe²⁺ / Fe³⁺; Cu or Cu⁺; Zinc or Zn²⁺; TDS? Explain / calcium or Ca²⁺ + Table 2 is the same as Table II? C. Strength versus alkalinity and TDS: alkalinity explain the concept, indicating the participating ions.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosario García Giménez
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain