



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_46646
Title of the Manuscript:	Teacher's Career - Scientific Fiction or Reality
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recasts the working title to scholarly reflects better the intended primary objective and as could be supported by the specific objectives of the paper. For the Research Methodology section, kindly take note of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reflect how potential biases and effect of mediating variables were addressed to assure excellent generalizability of results. Establish reliability and validity of the research instrument. Provide specific details whenever necessary like for example specific statistical tool used among others. For the Results and Discussion section, kindly take note of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add second and third level interpretation of results. Make discussions scholarly and rich and situate better eventual conclusions and/or recommendations. Adopt standard format for the presentation of results of statistical analyses. Better organization and/or form of research manuscript is/are highly suggested to make the paper more scholarly convincing. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fundamentally, only inferential research objectives require hypotheses. If in case and as presented, said may only apply to research objective #1. Follow suggested format for the Reference section of the paper (even for the other sections of the paper whenever applicable). 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The paper is very interesting and publishable pending the suggested enrichments. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cesar T. Medula,
Department, University & Country	Saint Mary's University, Philippines