Methodological Flaws: A Review of Sample Master Theses

2

3

17

18 19

1

Abstract

- 4 This paper reports results of a review of Master theses from four academic units at the 5 College of Education and Behavioral Studies, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia. The purpose 6 of this review was to explore and reflect on the appropriateness of research designs of 7 Masters theses across four academic units. The review focused on the research designs, 8 tools, methods of data analyses, and sampling used in the theses. A total of 121 Master theses were randomly selected. The review found similar research designs adopted by 9 theses across each academic unit. Findings common to the theses under review include: 10 qualitative data analysis was hardly explained using appropriate methods of qualitative data 11 analysis. In addition, in most of the theses, the sample size was not determined and justified 12 using the proper sample size calculation formula or justification. Therefore, there is a need 13 14 for the college and academic units on how the research course instructors and supervisors 15 support students to craft their research designs properly. Finally, the researchers suggest 16 that more studies of this kind need to be conducted in the broader context in other higher
 - Keywords: methodological flaw, MA thesis, appropriateness, research design, and

education institutions in order to build up a more coherent picture of the area.

20 academic units

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

21

52

53

54

55 56

57

58

59 60

61

62

63

64 65

66 67

68

69

70

71

72

22 The role of higher education institutions in knowledge and skills creation and dissemination 23 is paramount (Yizengaw, 2004). Abbott and Doucouliagos (2004) argue that higher 24 education institutions are the foundation for the research and human capital generating 25 process. These days, the quality and quantity of scholarly research outputs produced by 26 academics and their students are one of the principles set to evaluate the research output of 27 higher education institutions (Cadez, Dimovski, & Zaman Groff, 2017; Ngulube, 2005). Similarly, the issue of quality research output is an area of concern in the Ethiopian higher 28 29 education institutions (Office of the Academic Vice President Addis Ababa University, 2015; 30 Woldegivorgis, 2017). The Ethiopian Higher Education Proclamation specifies that teaching. 31 conducting research and rendering community services are central to the mission of higher 32 education institutions (The Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia, 2009). According to Kahsay (2012), quality research outputs in higher education are vital for a country's 33 34 economic, social, and political development. In view of this, higher education institutions are 35 expected to carry out scientific research using a sound research methodology, which is the 36 focus of this review.

37 The Ethiopian government has emphasized the role of research in higher education plays in 38 the economic growth and development of the country in the Growth and Transformational 39 Plan II (GTP) (The Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia, 2016). As specified in the 40 GTP-II. "The direction of the next five years plan is to ensure quality and relevance in the public and private higher education institutions" (The Federal Democratic Republic of 41 Ethiopia, 2016, p. 185). The GTP-II further stipulated that universities need to plan "to 42 43 improve the quality of education and research activities in order to supply competent human power for the industrial sector in leadership, engineering and science fields" (p. 143). In this 44 45 context, Addis Ababa University (AAU), which is the oldest and the biggest public university 46 of the country and has been engaged in teaching, research and community service activities 47 since its establishment in 1950. The AAU strategic plan is underpinned by its mission to become a premier research university in Africa (Addis Ababa University, 2011; Addis Ababa 48 University, 2012; Bisrat, 2013; Kibret & Kebede, 2016). The quality of research outputs 49 apparently helps the university to achieve its mission, aspiration to become well known 50 51 higher education research institution of the country.

As part of tackling both local and global pressures, Ethiopia has increased the number of its higher education institutions from two to eight in 1999 and currently there are to more than forty public universities (Ministry of Education, 2016). Despite the tremendous expansion, there is a prevailing chorus of complaint among stakeholders about the quality of postgraduate research outputs (Bisrat, 2013; Woldegiyorgis, 2017). To approach the problem, Addis Ababa University, was one of the public universities that engaged to take the leading role in research activities (Kibret & Kebede, 2016; The Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia, 2016). AAU is witnessing a significant increase in the number of postgraduate students and research (Addis Ababa University, 2011; Kibret & Kebede, 2016). The increase in the number of postgraduate students was from 211 in 2000 (Education Management Information Systems (E. M. I. S), 2000) to 17,738 in 2019 (Addis Ababa University, 2019) at AAU requires attention to be placed on the research training and quality of output for the institution. In Ethiopian public universities and AAU as well, postgraduate research output is considered as the final thesis report of a student when it meets university requirements and is approved by the thesis examining board. Approved theses are publicly available (Addis Ababa University School of Graduate Studies, 2012). However, achieving quality research outputs is a complex task, and little is known about the AAU learning and teaching of research study design as one component that may contribute to this end. Therefore, this review explores and reflects upon the appropriateness of research designs of Masters theses across four academic units: Department of Curriculum and Instruction.

Comment [QU1]: If the university has Doctoral programs, it may be key to mention justification of the choice of MA theses.

Department of Educational Planning and Management, Department of Special Needs, and

School of Psychology.

74 Review of literature

In the journey of research, crafting an appropriate study design and addressing the proposed research question is not an easy task for postgraduate students and beginner researchers (Dawson, 2002; Jonker & Pennink, 2010). This entails, the selection of research design is essential to taking up a research project so that the conclusion serves the purpose for which the project is undertaken. In line with this, Jonker and Pennink (2010) argue that "it is not surprising that in many studies - directed either at regular students, teachers or doctoral students - methodology forms a difficult, and preferably avoided, a subject of conversation" (p. 21). In any academic journey, in order to carry out a quality piece of research, careful choices of methodology and methods are the key to success. However, most of the time the term 'methodology' and 'method' and 'design' are often used erratically and inconsistently among the graduate students (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2011; Dawson, 2002; Jonker & Pennink, 2010).

A methodology is a justification for the research approach and the lens through which the analysis occurs (Howell, 2013). Said another way, a methodology describes the general research strategy that outlines how research is to be undertaken, whereas methods identify means or modes of data collection (Howell, 2013). Similarly, Cohen et al. (2011) pointed out that "Research methodology (approaches and research styles, e.g. survey; experimental; ethnographic/naturalistic; longitudinal; cross-sectional; historical; correlational; ex post facto) (p. 79) whereas "methods, we mean that range of approaches used in educational research to gather data which are to be used as a basis for inference and interpretation, for explanation and prediction" (p. 47). Kothari (2009) further added research methods refer to the techniques that are used to carry out research. On the other hand, the same author explained research methodology as a means to systematically solve the research problem, and it is a science of studying how research is done scientifically (Kothari, 2009). According to Kothari (2009), a methodology is broader than research methods.

Thus, when we talk of research methodology we not only talk of the research methods but also consider the logic behind the methods we use in the context of our research study and explain why we are using a particular method or technique and why we are not using others so that research results are capable of being evaluated either by the researcher himself or by others(p. 8).

Drawing on Kothari (2009)'s thinking, a methodology is broader than methods because methodology covers the theoretical and philosophical assumptions of particular interest of research while methods are not. Saunders (2011) pointed out the distinction between the two terms, where methodology refers to the theory of how research should be undertaken, including the theoretical and philosophical assumptions upon which research is based, (p. 3). On the other hand, methods refer to techniques and procedures used to obtain and analyse data, including for example questionnaires, observation, interviews, and document analysis as well as both quantitative (statistical) and qualitative (non-statistical) analysis techniques Saunders (2011). In the academic context, the methodology is often said to be the most relevant section of the project (Kelley, Clark, Brown, & Sitzia, 2003), yet in everyday research practice, it is not always treated accordingly.

A significant number of research outputs confuse research design with methods and methodology. It is common to see research design treated as a means of data collection rather than the guiding principle of the inquiry. Most of the time "research design" and "methodology" are incorrectly used interchangeably even though they are distinct concepts (Marczyk, DeMatteo, & Festinger, 2005, p. 22). Marczyk, DeMatteo, and Festinger (2005) further explain that "methodology refers to the principles, procedures, and practices that govern research, whereas research design refers to the plan used to examine the question of interest" (p. 22). Leedy and Ormrod (2015) concur further strengthening the distinction

- 124 between the two terms as, "the research design provides the overall structure for the
- 125 procedures the researcher follows, the data the researcher collects, and the data analyses
- 126 the researcher conducts. Simply put, research design is planning" (p. 92). A research design
- is a conceptual structure and blueprint for data collection, measurement, and analysis of the 127
- data (Kothari, 2009). It is guided by the idea of 'fitness for purpose' (Cohen et al., 2011, p. 128
- 129 78). In general, the research design explains what kind of data is required, what kind of
- 130 methods are going to be employed for collection and analysis and overall, it tells how all of
- this is going to answer the proposed research questions. 131

The context of the study

132

133 Nowadays, there is a prevailing complaint among university academics and leaders about 134 the quality of MA research papers of graduate students at AAU (Kibret & Kebede, 2016; 135 Office of the Academic Vice President Addis Ababa University, 2015). For instance, 136

Woldegiyorgis (2017) argued that "Given the quality of graduates, and of those admitted into

graduate programs, the research capacity of Ethiopian universities is in serious jeopardy" (p. 137 138

19). The researchers teaching experience and examining several MA theses examiners at

139 different departments of the College of Education and Behavioural Studies of Addis Ababa 140

University also confirms Woldegiyorgis's argument. This situation inspired the team to

141 explore and reflect on the postgraduate students' MA theses at AAU. In addition to this, the

142 University Senate legislation in article 95 (1) stipulated that "A thesis/dissertation shall

143 constitute an individual's effort in academic pursuits to identify and analyse problems by

applying sound methodology" (Addis Ababa University, 2013b, p. 103). Hence, the 144

researchers believed that a critical review of AAU students MA theses' research design and 145

146 methods section would help both the institution and the university system participants such

as, students, academics, and institutional leaders to see and feel the gaps to improve the 147

quality of postgraduate research outputs in the future. With this in mind, the study is deemed 148

149 to answer the following research questions.

Research questions

- 1. What research designs and methods are being employed in AAU MA theses?
- 2. How appropriate were research designs and methods applied in AAU MA theses?
- 3. What are the implications of this review for quality postgraduate research?

154

150

151

152

153

155

156

169

forwarded.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study design and setting

This study used document analysis as a research method. According to Bowen (2009) 157 158 "Document analysis is a systematic procedure for reviewing or evaluating documents both printed and electronic (computer-based and Internet-transmitted) material"(p. 1). In 159 qualitative research, like other analytical methods, document analysis needs data to be 160 161 studied and interpreted in order to elicit meaning, gain understanding, and develop empirical knowledge (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). Martin and Stella (2007) note that the policy document, 162 research papers, and records give the researchers access to the necessary information and 163 164 insights into the issue under investigation. In line with this idea, a thorough review of MA 165 theses of four academic units at Addis Ababa University was made. The methodology 166 section of the theses was analysed thematically, with themes drawn from literature on the 167 use of appropriate methodology in a research project. Finally, the findings of the review of 168 the theses from each sample academic unit was presented and its implication was also

Comment [QU2]: State justification for this choice and not any other for example Doctoral This study was conducted at one of the higher learning institutions, Addis Ababa University (AAU), Ethiopia. Founded in 1950 as University College of Addis Ababa, AAU is the biggest and oldest public university of the country, with a student population of 51,500 (Addis Ababa University, 2013a). AAU has 10 colleges and approximately 70 departments delivering undergraduate and graduate programs. Among the ten colleges, the College of Education and Behavioural Studies was selected as the study area because it is the oldest college of AAU (Addis Ababa University, 2013a; Addis Ababa University, 2013b). The has also experienced, and senior faculties are engaged in research and teaching activities. In addition, the College has committed itself in preparing teachers, educational policy analysts, educational planners, educational managers/leaders, human resource developers, and trainers (Geberew & Demoze, 2014). Therefore, much is expected from the college in terms of maintaining quality education, which comprises quality research outputs as well. The population of the study was all the academic units delivering post-graduate education programs in the college.

Sampling

Determining appropriate sample size depends upon the nature of the population of interest or the data to be gathered and analysed, and subject availability (Best & Kahn, 2003; Leedy & Ormrod, 2015) & Kahn 1993). Accordingly, the sample size for the review was determined based on the recommendation by Kothari (2009); (Kumar, 2019); Mills and Gay (2012). The review considered MA theses in the College from 2014-2018 as represented in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Total MA papers from 2014-2018 across the academic units

Academic units	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Educational management and planning (EDPM)	65	20	29	23	5	142
Curriculum & instruction (CI)	34	12	12	4	0	62
Psychology	77	36	8	11	3	135
Special needs education (SNE)	32	12	12	8	0	64
Total	208	80	61	46	8	403

As indicated in Table 1, from 2014 to 2018, a total of 403 MA theses were found in the database of the university.

As specified in the Addis Ababa University School of Graduate Studies (2012) thesis writing and grading grades guideline and the University Senate legislation, MA theses are rated as Excellent ≥ 85 A; Very Good $75 \leq X < 85$ B+; Good $60 \leq X < 75$ B; Satisfactory $50 \leq X < 60$ C+; Fail < 50 F. From the researchers' personal experience, it is only the thesis which is rated as 'excellent' or 'very good' that is available in the university database for public access. As recommended by Mills and Gay (2012), for a descriptive study, "it is not uncommon to sample 10% to 20% of the population" (p. 139). However, the researchers sampled 30% of the total MA papers that are found in the database of the university to get a wider picture of the issues of the review. This idea is also supported by Leedy and Ormrod (2015), and Best and Kahn (2003) who argued having a large sample size is yet essential. Therefore, of 403 MA theses, 30% of the theses was sampled, and finally, 121 MA theses were selected for the review (see Table 2).

Comment [QU3]: Follow journal's guidelines on text citations. This may not have been done correctly.

Table 2: Sampled papers

Academic units	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Educational management and planning (EDPM)	20	6	9	7	2	43
Curriculum & instruction (CI)	10	4	4	1	0	19
Psychology	23	11	2	3	1	41
Special needs education (SNE)	10	4	4	2	0	19
Total	62	24	18	14	2	121

As can be seen from Table 2, after determining the sample size, the required total number of MA thesis (n=121) were proportionally drawn from each academic unit using Pandey,

- 211 Ashraf, and Verma (2012)'s formula: $n_t = \frac{N_t}{N}n$
- Where, n_i = the number of MA theses required to be selected from a given academic unit
- with a total number of N_i MA theses, and the n=the total number of MA theses sampled from
- 214 the four academic units with a total of MA theses=N. Finally, the required MA theses were
- 215 selected using the simple random technique from each academic unit.

Data gathering instrument

Document analysis was employed as a tool for data collection. As Bowen (2009) argued, document analysis is a systematic procedure for reviewing both printed and electronic materials. The documents which might be used for reviewing study have a variety of forms such as "...manuals; background papers; books and brochures; diaries and journals; event programs (i.e., printed outlines); letters and memoranda; maps and charts; newspapers... (Bowen, 2009, p. 27). This review utilised Addis Ababa University graduate students' MA theses, and considered associated legal documents, for instance, thesis assessment and grading guidelines, the University's Senate legislation, Ethiopian Higher Education Proclamation, and the Ethiopian Growth and Transformational Plan-II.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results and discussion pertaining to the data that emerged from the review of the MA theses. The section outlines the findings of each of the four Academic Units. The first academic unit is the School of Psychology. The findings of the review encompassed the research design used, tools employed, data analysis used, and the sampling process of the theses as indicated in Table 3.

Table 3: Reviewed MA theses in the School of Psychology

	Tools employed							analys	is us	ed	Sampling			
Research designs used (n=41)	Questionnaire	Test	Interview	Focus group discussion	Document analysis	Observation	Descriptive statistics	Inferential analysis	Both	Thematic	determined with reasonable	determined without reasonable	iustification not justified	
Cross-sectional design (n=9)	9	1	2	-	-	-	1	7	1	1	3	-	6	
Descriptive (n=8)	8	-	3	2	-	2	2	2	4	1	5	-	3	
Qualitative (n=6)	1	-	6	1	1	2	-<	-	1	5	4	-	2	
Merged/Fused (n=5)	4	1	2	2	2	1)	1	2	2	2	1	2	
Correlational design (n=4)	4	-	1	-	.<	-	-	-	4	-	2	-	2	
Mixed (n=3)	3	1	2	-//	-	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	
Quantitative (n=3)	3	-	1		(-/	-	-	1	2	-	1	-	2	
Unstated (n=3)	3	-	-		-	-	-	2	1	-	3	-	-	
Total	35	3	17	5	3	6	4	14	15	9	21	3	18	

245 246

247

248

249 250

251 252

253

254

255

256

257 258

259

260

261

262

238

239

240

241

242 243

244

As indicated in Table 3, the MA theses in the School of Psychology predominately used cross-sectional, descriptive, and qualitative research designs. Other designs such as correlational design, mixed methods, and quantitative research designs were also used in the methods section of the theses. From the review, it has also been learned that students used two different research designs, that is fused or merged different research designs in a study. In some theses, the research designs and procedures were clearly explained, but there were discrepancies in the use of appropriate terminology. For instance, cross-sectional study design was used with different terms such as cross-sectional survey research design. cross-sectional mixed methods design, cross-sectional design with the theses in School of Psychology. In a similar view, the remaining research designs, for example, descriptive, correlational, and others were given different names. This leads us to the question of whether students understood the concept of study design clearly or not. The use of two different designs at a time would also justify this. For instance, in some theses, two different designs were stated as the designs of the study. For example, 'descriptive survey and 1 correlation research design'; 'descriptive and explanatory research design'; 'exploratory sequential design and cross-sectional survey design'; 'sequential explanatory mixed method design and cross-sectional mixed method design', 'quantitative and qualitative design and

¹ Indicates the use of two study designs at a time in an MA thesis.

descriptive survey design' was claimed as the research designs in some of the theses. Also, the research designs were hardly explained in a few theses (see Table 3). From this, it could be argued that students seem to lack some understanding of how to craft appropriate study design.

With regard to the study tools used, questionnaires and interviews were largely used. For the quantitative data analysis, both inferential and descriptive statistics were employed even though inferential statistics commonly used in the method sections of the theses. The data collection tools such as interview, focus group discussion, document analysis, and observation were utilised for qualitative data collection. However, in most of the theses, methods of qualitative data analysis were not stated. Very few theses, (9 out of 36 theses) had explained "thematic analysis" as the method of the qualitative data analysis (see Table 3). The methods of analysis were inclined to the descriptive and inferential analyses with little attention to qualitative data analysis. If the students were using the qualitative data spart of their study, they would not have listed the tools as a means of qualitative data collection. Sometimes, qualitative data collection instruments were used arbitrarily without serious consideration on how to analyse and use the data that collected through qualitative data gathering instruments such as interview, observation, document analysis, and focused group discussion.

In order to examine the sampling employed, the sample theses were grouped into three categories: reasonable justification, without reasonable justification, and without justification. 21 out of 41 MA theses, determined their sample size with justification. A significant number of the theses, nearly 18 out of 41 theses did not determine and justify their sample size (see Table 3). Sampling issues are also at the heart of research. As Leedy and Ormrod (2015) argued, "Sampling is a concern for any researcher, but it is especially so for the researcher who wants to draw inferences about a large population" (p. 176). This shows, study power determination is an area of concern and students need support on how to determine sample size. The respective academic unit is expected to equip students with with the necessary knowledge and skills in determining their study sample size in the future.

In the previous discussion, we have seen the review of theses from the School of Psychology. The next discussion is about the finding of the review of MA theses from the Department of Educational Planning and Management (EDPM) as indicated in Table 4.

Table 4: Reviewed MA theses in the Department of EDPM

	Dat	ta anal	ysis us	sis used Sampling									
Research designs used (n=43)	Questionnaire	Interview	Focus group discussion	Document analysis	Observation	test	Descriptive statistics	Inferential analysis	both	thematic	determined with reasonable justification	determined without reasonable justification	not justified
Descriptive (27)	27	23	8	13	6	-	21	2	4	8	3	5	19
Merged/fused design (7)	7	7	1	2	1	-	4	-	3	3	2	-	5
Mixed approaches (4)	4	4	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	1	1	1	2
Correlational (3)	3	2	-	1	1	1	1	2	-	-	2	1	-

Explanatory research design (1)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
Quasi- experimental design (1)	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	1		1	ı	-	1
Total	43	37	9	16	9	2	29	6	8	13	9	7	27

295

296

297 298

299

300 301 302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313 314

315

316

317 318

319

320 321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337 338

339

As shown in Table 4, most of the MA theses from the Department of Educational Planning and Management (EDPM) employed a descriptive study design. From 43 MA theses, 27 used descriptive research designs. However, within the same department, different terms were used to explain the descriptive research designs, namely, 'descriptive survey method.' 'descriptive survey research,' 'descriptive survey research method,' 'descriptive survey study,' 'descriptive research,' and 'descriptive survey design.' There is no problem with the use of different terms if the students clearly identify the concept behind the terms. For instance, if students understand the difference between, design, methods, and approaches, using different terms interchangeably do not create any problem as the concept is the main guiding principles. However, the problem lies if the students misunderstood the difference between those terms, using different terms without understanding the concept will apparently affect the research processes. This is evident from the review that students did not clearly conceptualise the terms as the research designs, tools employed and methods of data analysis were not properly aligned (See Table 4). In line with this, Velentgas, Dreyer, Nourjah, Smith, and Torchia (2013) argued that "the choice of study design often has profound consequences for the causal interpretation of study results" (p. 21). In spite of this, some of the theses employed fused/merged research designs (see Table 4), which refers to the use of more than one study design. This may infer that either student did not fully grasp the knowledge of study design or did not get supervision. However, the Addis Ababa University Academic Senate legislation specifies, "The academic advisor of the graduate student provides advice to the student both on general academic matters such as course enrolment and choice of specialization and on the organization and supervision of the student's research and writing and/or or preparation for a comprehensive/qualifying exam (Addis Ababa University, 2013b, p. 83). Therefore, advisors are expected to guide students to properly structure their study design as it is a foundation of the analysis and interpretation for the result of a study (Leedy & Ormrod, 2015).

Concerning the data collection tools used, questionnaires and interviews were mainly utilised. Other tools such as document analysis, focus group discussion, observation, and testing were employed as additional means of data collection. Almost, all the theses employed more than one tool for data collection except one study (Explanatory research design, see Table 4), which used only questionnaire as data collection instrument. Despite the use of different data collections tools, the theses widely employed descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation) with few inferential statistics, and thematic analysis for quantitative and qualitative data analysis respectively. If we take the descriptive study design (see Table 4), as an example, 23 interviews, 13 document analyses, eight focus group discussions, and six observation utilised in the 27 MA theses. These are all qualitative data collection tools. However, only eight theses explained 'thematic analysis' as the methods of qualitative data analyses, and the remaining were silent about its methods of analyses. Therefore, from the review, it appears to us that students lack full understanding about the methods of qualitative data analysis, that is, how to analyse the qualitative data collected through different tools (interviews, document analysis, focused group discussion, and observation). In addition, the qualitative data were also rarely analysed and interpreted in the body of the theses. Most of the results of the theses were reported using descriptive statistics (percentage, frequency, mean and standard deviation),

and a very few theses (6 out of 43 theses) employed analytic analysis.

As shown in Table 4, out of 43 theses, the majority (n=27) did not justify the sample size employed in the studies. They merely mentioned the simple size, for example, 317 (thesis 32), 347 (thesis 33), and 362 (thesis 13), and so on. A few theses, 7 out of 43 (see Table 4) determined the sample size without reasonable justification. At some point, the students tried to mention the percentage, to mention some, 40% of the population (N=547; thesis 1), 50% of the population (N=1353; thesis 22), and 63% of the population (N=448; thesis 7) and so on without any justification of why such a figure was used to determine the study power. However, 9 theses did determine their study power with reasonable justification. Overall, the sampling size determination was another area of concern for this academic unit.

The finding of the review of MA theses from the Department of Curriculum and Instruction is presented in Table 5 below.

Table 5: Reviewed MA theses of the Department of Curriculum and Instruction

	loyed			Data	analys	sis us	sed	Sampling				
Research designs used (n=19)	Questionnaire	Interview	Focused group discussion	Document analysis	Observation	Descriptive statistics	Inferential analysis	both	thematic	determined with reasonable justification	determined without reasonable justification	not justified
Descriptive (n=11)	11	9	4	7	3	9	1	1	5	1	5	5
Mixed (n=5)	5	5	4	2	1	5	-	-	2	-	1	4
Qualitative (n=3)	3	3	1	-	1	2	-	-	1	-	-	3
Total	19	17	9	9	5	16	1	1	7	1	6	1 2

Similar to the Department of Educational Planning and Management, the MA theses in the Department of Curriculum and Instruction mainly used descriptive study design. As displayed in Table 5, 11 out of 19 theses employed descriptive study design followed by mixed methods (n=5), and qualitative study design (n=3). Nevertheless, the research designs employed were explained by using different terms as stated in the footnotes. In fact, this could be attributed to different assumptions such as knowledge of study design (confusion on the difference between, study design, methods, and approaches), language problem (vocabularies on technical research terms), lack of proper supervision, lack of critical reading and so on. A similar problem was identified in EDPM and Psychology academic units.

As indicated in Table 5, questionnaire and interview were the primary tools employed in the theses followed by document analysis, focus group discussion, and observation. Descriptive statistics was mainly used as the methods of data analysis. Even though different tools of qualitative data collection were also used, little attention was given to methods of qualitative data analysis. It is unusual to employ a closed questionnaire as a data collection tool and descriptive statistics as methods of data analysis in qualitative study design (see Table 5). In line with this idea, Leedy and Ormrod (2015) argued that "Qualitative research involves looking at characteristics, or qualities, that cannot be entirely reduced to numerical values. A qualitative researcher typically aims to examine the many nuances and complexities of a particular phenomenon" (p. 24). Marczyk et al. (2005) also further argued that "qualitative research is characterised by the fact that the researcher works on the basis of an open question" (Marczyk et al., 2005, p. 78). From this, the students could not have employed

numerical values when only the qualitative approach was cited as the study design. This shows that students lack a full understanding of the study design and appropriate tools need to be utilised for that design.

Regarding the sample size determination, 12 out of 19 theses could not determine their study power. Only one thesis did determine the sample size with reasonable justification. The remaining 6 theses attempted to determine their sample size using percentage, for example, 20% of the population (N=871; thesis 3), 70% of the population (N=1082; thesis 14), 46.6% of the population (N=494: thesis 6), but, they did not justify the proposed percent for determining the sample size.

The finding of the review of MA theses from the Department of Special Needs Education is presented in Table 6 that follows.

Table 6: Reviewed MA thesis of the Department of Special Needs Education

	Too	ls em	ployed	ł		Data	analys	sis us	Sampling			
Research designs used (n=19)	Questionnaire	Interview	Focused group discussion	Document	analysis Observation	Descriptive statistics	Inferential analysis	both	thematic	with reasonable justification	determined without	not justified
Qualitative (n=10)	4	10	8	2	10	4	-		5	1	-	9
Mixed (n=5)	3	4	2	3	3	4	-	1		-	1	4
Descriptive (n=2)	1	1	-			1	1	-	-	-	-	2
Merged/fused (n=2)	2	2		1	/-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1
Total	10	17	10	6	13	10	2	1	5	2	1	16

As opposed to the previous academic units, which were characterised by more of quantitative in nature, the theses from this academic unit are marked by qualitative research methods (see Table 6). As can be seen from Table 6, from 19 theses, 10 of them employed a qualitative research method. However, different terms were used to explain the qualitative research method as the main design of the study. In two MA theses, more than one study design was reported. Similar problems have been identified from the other three sample academic units.

With regard to data collection tools employed, interview and observation were used as the main tools followed by focus group discussion, questionnaire, and document analysis. Even though most of the theses used different qualitative data collection tools, only five theses explained 'thematic analysis' as a technique of qualitative data analysis. From the review, it seems students could not acquire enough research knowledge and skills because, in most of the theses, students preferred to keep aside from analysing and reporting the results of qualitative data. They even preferred to quantify the qualitative data and report using descriptive statistics (frequency and percentage). The data in Table 6 also confirm this circumstance, where four theses employed descriptive statistics in qualitative research methods.

When it comes to sampling, 12 out of 19 theses did not determine and justify the number of research participants (see Table 6). Only two theses scientifically determined their sample size using appropriate sample size determination formula. Even if, unlike quantitative, sample determination for qualitative research is not rigid in most cases, yet a researcher needs to justify the number of participants needed for the study. Concerning this, Morse (2000) propose the following sample determination for qualitative research:

If, when using semi-structured interviews, one obtains a small amount of data per interview question (i.e., relatively shallow data), then to obtain the richness of data required for qualitative analysis, one needs a large number of participants (at least 30 to 60). If, on the other hand, one is doing a phenomenological study and interviewing each person many times, one has a large amount of data for each participant and therefore needs fewer participants in the study (perhaps only 6 to 10). Grounded theory, with two to three unstructured interviews per person, may need 20 to 30 participants...(pp. 4-5).

From Morse (2000)'s argument, a researcher also needs to justify the sample size for the qualitative research though this was not the case for most of the sample theses of this academic unit.

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

425 This paper reported a review and document analysis of the content of MA theses of four academic units at the College of Education and Behavioural Studies, Addis Ababa 426 427 University. The analysis focused mainly on the study design used, tools employed, methods of analyses utilised, and sampling determination. The results of the review indicated that the 428 429 theses from each academic unit had almost similar and repetitive study design. For instance, 430 the MA theses from EDPM and Curriculum and Instruction academic units were characterised by descriptive research designs. The theses of the Psychology academic unit 431 employed more of cross-sectional and correlational research designs while Special needs 432 433 followed a qualitative research method. This repetitive use of similar study design in each 434 academic unit could be ascribed to different assumptions such as organisational culture (the 435 influence of the research designs of previous MA theses available as references), the influence of instructors who offer research methodology courses, and the influence of 436 supervisors, and students' study design preferences, and so forth. From the four academic 437 units, the theses from the School of Psychology were characterised by analytical research 438 whereas the rest followed a descriptive research approach. 439

The review also revealed that different tools were used to collect both quantitative and qualitative data. However, the qualitative data analysis and report of the results were hardly discussed using appropriate methods of qualitative data analysis, that is, thematic analysis and emerging themes from the data.

From the review of the theses, sampling was also found as an area of concern. Most of the theses from the sample academic units did not determine and justify properly the sample size of their studies. However, relatively, the theses from the Psychology academic unit managed to determine the sample of study using appropriate sample size calculation

448 formula.

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420 421

422 423

424

449 In general, the review of the MA theses of the sample academic units revealed some methodological flaws of the theses because the research designs, tools employed, the 450 451 analyses used, and sampling of the studies were not well connected. From the finding of the 452 review, almost the homogenous research design is employed by the students of each 453 academic unit in writing their theses. The reasons why students used a similar research design in their respective academic unit left open for further investigation. Students should 454 be supported with the necessary knowledge and skills on how to craft their research design 455 456 properly, and align the research design with tools of data collection and analysis. They need 457 to be also equipped with how to determine the sample size of the study scientifically. Finally, the researchers suggest that more studies of this kind need to be conducted on this area in the broader context of other higher education institutions in order to build up a more coherent picture of the area.

462 References

476

477

478

481

482

483

484

485

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

- Abbott, M., & Doucouliagos, H. (2004). Research output of Australian universities. *Education Economics*, *12*(3), 251-265.
- Addis Ababa University. (2011). Framework document for reforming academic governance
 In. Addis Ababa Addis Ababa University.
- 467 Addis Ababa University. (2012). *Addis Ababa University Reorganization of Structural Set-up*468 *and Governance System of the University*. Retrieved from Addis Ababa University:
 469 http://www.aau.edu.et (Accessed: 14/03/2019).
- 470 Addis Ababa University. (2013a). *Addis Ababa University general information*. Addis Ababa: 471 Eclipse Printing Press.
- Addis Ababa University. (2013b). Addis Ababa University Senate Legislation. Addis Ababa:
 Addis Ababa University Printing Press.
- 474 Addis Ababa University. (2019). AAU at a glance. Retrieved from 475 http://www.aau.edu.et/aau-at-a-glance/ (Accessed: 15/02/2019)
 - Addis Ababa University School of Graduate Studies. (2012). *Thesis writing, examination and grading guidelines*. Addis Ababa University: School of Graduate Studies.
 - Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (2003). Research in Education (9th). New Delhi: Prentice Hall Pl. .
- Bisrat, M. (2013). *Relevance and quality of research.* (MA), Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa. (Accessed:
 - Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative research journal*, *9*(2), 27-40.
 - Cadez, S., Dimovski, V., & Zaman Groff, M. (2017). Research, teaching and performance evaluation in academia: the salience of quality. *Studies in Higher Education, 42*(8), 1455-1473.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2011). Research methods in education (7th ed.).
 [electronic resource]. New York: Routledge.
 - Dawson, C. (2002). *Practical research methods: a user-friendly guide to mastering research techniques and projects.* Newtec Place,UK: How to books Ltd.
 - Education Management Information Systems (E. M. I. S). (2000). *Education statistics annual abstract* (1999-2000). Addis Ababa: Ministry of Education.
 - Geberew, T., & Demoze, D. (2014). Proceedings of Consultative Workshop on the Implementation of Postgraduate Diploma in Teaching (PGDT) Program. Addis Ababa University, College of Education and Behavioral Studies: Addis Ababa University Printing Press.
 - Howell, K. E. (2013). An introduction to the philosophy of methodology. London: Sage.
 - Jonker, J., & Pennink, B. (2010). *The essence of research methodology: A concise guide for master and PhD students in management science*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Kahsay, M. (2012). Quality and Quality Assurance in Ethiopian Higher Education: Critical
 Issues and Practical Implications. (PhD), Universiteit Twente, Twente, the
 Netherlands. Retrieved from
- 503 https://ris.utwente.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/6064589/thesis M Nega Kahsay.pdf
 504 (Accessed: 14/05/2019)
- Kelley, K., Clark, B., Brown, V., & Sitzia, J. (2003). Methodology Matters:Good practice in the conduct and reporting of survey research. *International Journal for Quality in health care*, *15*(3), 261-266.

- Kibret, B. T., & Kebede, Y. D. (2016). Researching into Postgraduate Research in Addis Ababa University: Threats to the Future Generation of Social, Educational and Behavioral Researchers. *Bahir Dar Journal of Education, 16*(2).
 - Kothari, C. (2009). *Research methodology: An introduction*. New Delhi: New Age International Publishers

- Kumar, R. (2019). Research Methodology-A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners. edited by Sage Publications. London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Leedy, P. D., & Ormrod, J. E. (2015). Practical research: planning and design. Essex: Pearson
 Education
 - Marczyk, G., DeMatteo, D., & Festinger, D. (2005). *Essentials of research design and methodology*: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
 - Mills, G. E., & Gay, L. R. (2012). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications*: Pearson Upper Saddle Ridge, NJ.
 - Ministry of Education. (2016). Education Statistics Annual Abstract. Retrieved 05/02/2019, from Ministry of Education www.moe.gov.et
 - Morse, J. M. (2000). Determining sample size. Qualitative Health Research, 10(1), 3-5.
 - Ngulube, P. (2005). Improving the quality of research outputs in higher education through knowledge sharing and collaboration: a case study. *Mousaion*, *23*(1), 39-61.
 - Office of the Academic Vice President Addis Ababa University. (2015). *Addis Ababa University graduate programs review report*. AAU: AAU Business Enterprise PLC.
 - Pandey, R., Ashraf, J., & Verma, M. R. (2012). Proportional allocation stratum weight for population units under external impact attributed to binomial functions in successive strata. *International Journal of Mathematics Sciences and Applications, 2*(3), 867-872.
 - Saunders, M. N. (2011). *Research methods for business students* (5th ed.). London: Pearson Education Limited.
 - The Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia. (2009). Higher education proclamation No. 650/2009. Federal Negaret Gazeta, 64, 4976-5044.
 - The Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia. (2016). *Growth and transformation plan II* (GTP II) (2015/16-2019/20). Addis Ababa: National Planning Commission.
 - Velentgas, P., Dreyer, N. A., Nourjah, P., Smith, S. R., & Torchia, M. M. (2013). *Developing a protocol for observational comparative effectiveness research: a user's guide:*Government Printing Office.
 - Woldegiyorgis, A. (2017). The Vicious Circle of Quality in Ethiopian Higher Education. *International Higher Education*(90), 18-19.
 - Yizengaw, T. (2004). Transformations in Higher Education: Experiences with Reform and Expansion in the Ethiopian Higher Education System. Keynote address to "A training Conference on Improving Tertiary Education in Sub-Saharan Africa: Things That Work, September 2003.

Field Code Changed