



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_32939
Title of the Manuscript:	HYDROGEOCHEMICAL ASSESSMENT OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY IN OWERRI METROPOLIS, IMO STATE, SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract, it is mandatory to complete the mean result by standard deviation values</p> <p>Fig 1, there is not scale bar, no orientation the geographical North.</p> <p>Line 113 : delete the. before [13].</p> <p>Line 135 : change Deeply by deeply weathered and intensively leached ; at the starting of the sentence</p> <p>Line 168 temperature symbol is not correct</p> <p>Line 172 : it is better to write 22 and 23</p> <p>Line 175 to Line 180 is part of the method of study. It is better to send this part in method part of the document.</p> <p>In the Table 2 : pH @ must be delete</p> <p>Line 255 to Line 258: part of the method of study. It should be send in method part of the document.</p> <p>Line 263 in not important for this study. Author is mandatory to delete this part</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Reference : 2. All references must be uniform as per journal style (example for the reference 1 the number of the document is absent)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Statistical analysis is well done but more can be done to test significance difference between the study areas 2. Acknowledgement section is very important as you appreciate the effort/support from others and therefore it is proper to be included. 3. Declaration of no conflict of interest between/among the authors should also be stated 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	The paper is well written and presents scientific originality. Please clarify the ethical issue if any.	
----------------------------------	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ahoussi Kouassi Ernest</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire</i>