



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_47200
Title of the Manuscript:	A SPATIAL ANALYSIS OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION IN TELANGANA STATE, INDIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the first place, the language of the manuscript must improve in terms of syntax and grammar. The parts of the manuscript that need to be revised significantly are as follows: The theoretical framework is lacking. In this, extensive reference to key words and their clarification should be made. This must be supported by appropriate literature. Besides the objective, the research questions or hypotheses are lacking. Moreover, the methodology section must improve significantly. This section must be extended. Is it a quantitative study? This must be stated explicitly and be supported by literature accordingly. The whole section must be extended and elaborated meaning that the author must provide information about the specific study in terms of sampling, research tools, research credibility and trustworthiness. On top of that, the "discussion" section must be inserted right after the analysis of the results. In this, the author must discuss their findings in relation to the research questions or hypotheses. Besides, what is discussed here, it must also be supported by corresponding literature. At the end of this section, research restrictions and recommendations for future research must also be stated.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evaggelia Kalerante
Department, University & Country	University of Western Macedonia, Greece