



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_49541
Title of the Manuscript:	Channel planform dynamics along Distributary channels; case study the River Nun; a major distributary of the River Niger from 1985 to 2015.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Article needs a little technical harmonization and proofreading: 1. Usually at the end of the title is not a point (line 4). 2. It must always be a space between the numerical value and unit symbol except the plane angle and percent (lines 19, 21, 22, 72, 74, 75, 107-108, 122, 149, 153, 154, 155, 180, 181, 183, 184, 185, 194, 195, 197, 200, 205, 215, 216, 217, 219, 220, 221, 247, 294, 339). 3. There is no space between the number and the word or space between words – line 92 “1985and”, line 122 “segments(A...”, lines 263, 315, 326, 339 “30year”, line 275 “30years”. 4. Suspicious assessment period (1985-2015) – line 263.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. The article should be structured more precisely and specifically, it is advisable to use the multilevel numbering of chapters and subtitles. 2. The captions for Figure 1: and Figure 2: must be reworded, it is a very bad style. In academic writing, such wording “... <b>showing</b> study location...” and “... <b>showing</b> the transverse...” are never used in figure captions. 3. The titles for Table 1:, Table 4:, Table 5: must be reworded, it is a very bad style. In academic writing, such wording “... <b>showing</b> the ...”, “ <b>Summary table showing</b> ...”, are never used in table titles.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Agu Eensaar</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Tallinn University of Applied Sciences, Estonia</i></b>