



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_46306
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating The Effect of methanolic leaf extract of Azadirachta indica and Spondias mombin on the Liver Histology of Zidovudine induced-oxidative stress wistar Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Present study has evaluated the effects of leaf extract of Azadirachta indica and Spondias mombin on the zidovudine induced liver oxidative stress in wistar rats. Based on the current results from the manuscript, the author needs provide more reliable data to support the conclusion. the opinions are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please revise the title. It is recommended to change the title to "Evaluating the Effect of methanolic leaf extracts of Azadirachta indica and Spondias mombin on Zidovudine induced liver oxidative stress in wistar Rats." 2. For the "KeyWords", the size of the text should be consistent. Please revise it. 3. For the animal study, there are five group. It is recommended to add another group, which means using a known drug/reagent that can treated liver injury as a positive group. 4. How does the author determine the dosage for the extracts? Most of time, it should provide low, medium and high, three different dosage to determine whether there is the effect related dosage-dependent. 5. The H&E pictures are too blur to see. Please re-organize the results. 6. There are a lot of grammar error in the manuscript. Please revise them. 	
Minor REVISION comments	NO	
Optional/General comments	NO	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zhihong Peng
Department, University & Country	University of Notre Dame, USA