



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_42528
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison the Effect of Golghand and Foot Reflexology on Constipation in Elderlies
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Very nicely written Article. Sample size calculation is unknown. The scales used need to be elaborated. Mechanisms of action of the two techniques need to be explained with reasons mentioned as to what made golghand better than foot reflexology.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijaya Krishnan
Department, University & Country	MGM College Of Physiotherapy, India