



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_47015</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A comparison of the effect of local cold and cold-hot pack on bruising of enoxaparin sodium</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The title of paper needs to reassess as it does not have complete information. Formatting, reference check and proof reading is required. Problem statement inside the introduction needs to strengthens. Kindly indicate proper inclusion and exclusion criteria? Did the study is blind folded? How you assess the biases of your study? Kindly explain in detail about Data collection tools How did you standardize cold and hot flexible packs? According to your statement "The findings of this study showed that the use of cold-hot pack in place of enoxaparin sodium injection is more effective in the size of bruising in comparison with cold pack" But how? You have observed the changes but did you take any picture? Most of your discussion and observation are without evidence of literature support. Kindly justify your discussion with last 5 year reference Table1. Characteristic of samples is not as important but the evidence of your results is missing without any documentation, standardization, development of instrument to evaluate or any picture evaluation.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aslam Muhammad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shahzad Xiamen University, Malaysia</b>