



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_47659
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Antioxidant, Antimicrobial, Antityrosinase, and Anticholinesterase Activities of <i>Psephellus hubar-morathii</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Language must be reviewed by a native. - Some tables are not necessary. Results are replicated at results text, tables on result and at discussion. Authors should find another way to present their results. - Significant figures should be correct at results. - Text from lines 265 to 284 are unnecessary, since they are not discussing the results, but presenting a new introducing to the manuscript. - Conclusions should be focuses on the main results. - References are not updated, out of format and with as excess of books. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sounds as interesting results to be presented, but need full revision. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valdir Florencio da Veiga Junior
Department, University & Country	Military Institute of Engineering, Brazil