SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_46394
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Implementation of a Tool for Website Usability Measurement
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Abstract: Please improve your abstract. Make sure you describe your paper properly. You should make sure you have this sentence in your abstract. "This paper presents Put your title here". In this study, then explain what you developed, highlight the merit of your study and describe the comparative studies. Highlight your results. Introduction: In your paper, you should start with the general overview within your study. Then, cite between 15 to 20 references in your paper, highlight the strength and weakness of the past research work. In the last 	
	paragraph, you should have this: "This paper presents Put your title here". In this study,explain what you will present Describe in brief the results of your study and highlight its merit over the others. Describe that you performed a comparative study to highlight the strength of your work. Explain what problems you have solved in your study. Make sure no figures, tables, equations and their equivalence. Methods/Methodology:	
	Present your methods clearly with the proper flowchart, research framework, algorithms and all the relevant equations and background theory. Whatever you proposed or developed should be explained here. Results and Discussion	
	Introduce all your figures and tables properly. Then explain them, highlight what you observed. Perform analysis to show that your results got the significance to answer your problems. (Website-1 and Website-2 are not clearly defined – type of website) Conclusion	
	In the conclusion section, start your sentence with this: "This paper has presented Put your titleexplain what you have achieved from the study. Highlight the merit of your study and what would be the future scope of the study. As it is now, we cannot see what problems that have been solved. Please address this issue.	
Minor REVISION comments	 1. Introduction "There are many assessment methods(SUCH AS)?" It is better to provide example as we cannot expect the readers to know what the available methods are. 	
	"Existing problems on the website are identified." >>what are they? State the problems to be solved here. 2. Material/methodology Since SUS is chosen, explain more on the SUS method to provide better understanding for readers	
	(framework, flowchart etc.) 3. Insufficient citation to support the research.	
Optional/Generalcomments	Please overhaul your paper.	

PART 2:

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahirah Binti Mohamed Hatim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA Perak Branch, Malaysia

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her