



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_46739
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived effects of charcoal production on the health status of rural dwellers in Rainforest and Guinea Savannah Agro-ecological zones of Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Indicate if the questionnaire or survey was validated by experts 2. If you want to reduce the health effects when producing charcoal, you could not recommend using kerosene 3. Indicate what software was used for the statistical analysis 4. Did you obtain the informed consent of the people who were subjects of study? <p>Ethical issue:</p> <p>Through the study in which a survey was used to know socioeconomic aspects, production of charcoal, among others, did not use invasive techniques; however, the informed consent of the subjects under study could be obtained.</p>	
Optional/General comments	This study allows to know the state of the charcoal production and the consequences in the health; in subsequent studies, its effect on the environment could be determined	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Doris Chirinos Peinado
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú, Perú