SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47237
Title of the Manuscript:	Rheological properties of the erythrocytes in weakened static magnetic field of the Earth. In vitro study.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript investigated a very interesting phenomenon that rheological properties of the erythrocytes maybe affected by weakened static magnetic field of the Earth. Although the sample looks relatively few in numbers, the findings are very interesting and meaningful.	
	Line Legends should be proved for each graph with multiple curves. The last subgraph in Figure 5 is NOT in English.	
Minor REVISION comments	It would be helpful for readers if more details added on how statistics carried out, including sample amounts, and so on. In Figure 5, there is an obvious discontinuity at time around 300s. The authors are suggested to explain the cause of this discontinuity.	
Optional/General comments	More proofs regarding the repeatability of the experiment would substantially increase the eloquence of the conclusions.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dong Xu
Department, University & Country	Tianjin University, China

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her