



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47967
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the roles of doctors and the functionality of the healthcare system in Nigeria
Type of the Article	<u>Policy Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Evaluation of the roles of doctors and the functionality of the healthcare system in Nigeria</p> <p>I have read this manuscript and I consider it acceptable for publication if certain aspects are corrected.</p> <p>The topic is interesting. I congratulate the author(s) for their effect. I will suggest that the author(s) revisit the following issues in their work.</p> <p>The topic is too broad for research. Or the topic can be restructure to reflect the institution (Ben Carson Senior School of Medicine, Babcock University) where permission was obtained for the study, which may not reflect the opinion of Nigerian doctors.</p> <p>a) This is a Policy article and should be given descriptive approach.</p> <p>b) By providing data from 100 respondents implies the study was researchable. How was the sample drawn?</p> <p>c) Explain how a sample of 100 Doctors was obtained. At least 24 states in Nigeria and in each of the states, at least 2 local governments. Nigeria has 36 States and Abuja (Federal Capital) with 744 local governments. Where was the 100 sample draw from? How did the author(s) draw the sample? What is the population of doctors in Nigeria (State – by – State)? Then the sample.</p> <p>c) Using a sample of 100 medical doctors for the study is grossly inadequate considering the largeness of Nigeria.</p> <p>d) To avoid impersonation doctors should have been contacted in their various places of work.</p> <p>e) The Demographic data of respondents are necessary, showing various categories of doctors that participated in the study.</p> <p><u>Ethical comments</u> Permission from the Ethics and Research Committee of the Ben Carson Senior School of Medicine, Babcock University is not sufficient. Was the study carried out in the said Institution alone? If the study cut across Nigeria, permission should come from all the Institutions that the sample was drawn (Primary, Secondary, Tertiary and private sector).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The ethical issue was addressed. Consent was obtained from the respondents.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Justine Akunke Adie</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>College of Health Technology, Nigeria</i>