



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_39807
Title of the Manuscript:	A Diversity of Bacterial Spp. on Hand Surfaces in Public Buses Plying Kenyatta National Hospital 7c Route-Nairobi
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Title: A title rephrase has been suggested. Check yellow highlights in attached article</p> <p>2. Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need to be made more concise. Currently above 300 words and stand at 323 - Other comments, corrections and recommendations are highlighted in yellow in the pdf manuscript. - Period of study needs further clarification and verification <p>3. Keyword: Exceeds 8 maximum. Some word need to be combined, and others removed or replaced. See article highlight.</p> <p>4. Introduction: NO reference in first introduction paragraph (line 17-30). Include where necessary. Rephrase to reduce sentence lengths also.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If there is recent literature on African cities where similar studies have been carried out it should be included. - Other comments to be addressed are in yellow highlights. <p>5. Materials and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lines 142-182 should be removed and summarized as suggested in the pdf highlighted article. - Other corrections and typos are as in the attached article pdf <p>6. Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Figure 1 'X' and 'Y' axes need to be properly labelled - See attachment for other corrections <p>7. Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contains more of recommendations which could come in at end of discussion section. - Briefly state major findings and their significance. - Needs to be scientifically expressed, without feelings/sentiments. See comments attached. <p>8. References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need to be properly aligned or justified, with equal line spacing through out - Journal names need to be italicized and abbreviated according to NCBI standard 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good study concept and article. Few typo errors. - Sample size too small - Journal author guidelines adhered to. - Need to check general use of punctuation marks 	

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christiana Aruwa
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria