



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_39807
Title of the Manuscript:	A Diversity of Bacterial Spp. on Hand Surfaces in Public Buses Plying Kenyatta National Hospital 7c Route-Nairobi
Type of the Article	Original research article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>1. Title:</b> A title rephrase has been suggested. Check yellow highlights in attached article</p> <p><b>2. Abstract</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Need to be made more concise. Currently above 300 words and stand at 323</li> <li>- Other comments, corrections and recommendations are highlighted in yellow in the pdf manuscript.</li> <li>- Period of study needs further clarification and verification</li> </ul> <p><b>3. Keyword:</b> Exceeds 8 maximum. Some word need to be combined, and others removed or replaced. See article highlight.</p> <p><b>4. Introduction:</b> NO reference in first introduction paragraph (line 17-30). Include where necessary. Rephrase to reduce sentence lengths also.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- If there is recent literature on African cities where similar studies have been carried out it should be included.</li> <li>- Other comments to be addressed are in yellow highlights.</li> </ul> <p><b>5. Materials and Methods:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lines 142-182 should be removed and summarized as suggested in the pdf highlighted article.</li> <li>- Other corrections and typos are as in the attached article pdf</li> </ul> <p><b>6. Results and Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Figure 1 'X' and 'Y' axes need to be properly labelled</li> <li>- See attachment for other corrections</li> </ul> <p><b>7. Conclusion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Contains more of recommendations which could come in at end of discussion section.</li> <li>- Briefly state major findings and their significance.</li> <li>- Needs to be scientifically expressed, without feelings/sentiments. See comments attached.</li> </ul> <p><b>8. References</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Need to be properly aligned or justified, with equal line spacing through out</li> <li>- Journal names need to be italicized and abbreviated according to NCBI standard</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Good study concept and article. Few typo errors.</li> <li>- Sample size too small</li> <li>- Journal author guidelines adhered to.</li> <li>- Need to check general use of punctuation marks</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Christiana Aruwa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria</b>