



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_42829
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and characterization of non-Saccharomyces yeasts with improved functional characteristics for ethanol production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title indicates isolation and characterization, but there are no details on isolation.</p> <p>The types of yeast strains isolated. Further there is no justification for isolating non-Saccharomyces strain. What are the advantages of selecting non-Saccharomyces strains?</p> <p>The paper does not give adequate information on the characters of the strain, rather why the importance have been given to compare with <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> MTCC 11815?</p> <p>What is the speciality of this <i>S. cerevisiae</i> to consider for comparison?</p> <p>The number of figures can be reduced. Figure one is not necessary. Other figures can be combined by considering the highest amount of ethanol produced at a particular temperature or pH and the time at which the highest values obtained could be indicated in brackets. All the results can be presented in a Table.</p> <p><i>Unless the important results are included and irrelevant results are avoided, this work does not show its merit.</i></p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please make few language corrections. E.g. in the abstract, it is said that the organism could be exploited. Exploited could be written as explored or utilized.</p> <p>Please check other published papers and make the corrections accordingly.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Arasaratnam
Department, University & Country	University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka