



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_45679
Title of the Manuscript:	An Electron Microscopic Study of the Effect of ( <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> ) on the ability of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 to attach and efface healthy young broilers
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I'd like to suggest that the author could discuss more about the protective effect of the baker yeast on the chick challenged with <i>E. Coli</i> O157:H7	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The present study evaluated the protective effect of baker yeast on chick challenged with <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157:H7. The pictures showed by this study demonstrated the effect of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and also the protective effect by the baker yeast. I'd like to suggest that all table could be grouped in one only table. And I think that the discussion could be improved showing or discussing a little more about the protective effect related to baker yeast use in chick and not only compare with the results found by other authors	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)  The study did not present the ethical authorization	



**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Everlon Cid Rigobelo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Univ Estadual Paulista, Brazil</i>