



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_46440
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro evaluation of the antibacterial activities of Zea mays' stigma and Carica papaya seeds hydro-ethanolic extracts
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Italicized 'In vitro , Zea mays and Carica papaya' in the title.</b></p> <p><b>Recast the abstract to attract readers.</b></p> <p><b>The words highlighted in yellow were corrected.</b></p> <p><b>The words highlighted in green are to be verified.</b></p> <p><b>Remove the sentence in red in the introduction. Avoid redundancy.</b></p> <p><b>In the results, these concentrations were not seen</b> 12.5 ml, 6.75 ml and 3.125 ml. Present the full result and thereafter extract the MICs and MCBs.</p> <p>The discussion is not harmonized. The author should work on the discussion to improve the readability of the work. The discussion is vague. Buck of the references in this section is not in accordance to the journals guideline. Stick to the guideline.</p> <p><b>The references are not in accordance to the journals' guideline.</b></p> <p><b>Recast the conclusion. Conclude base on the findings of your study.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i></b>