SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_46772
Title of the Manuscript:	Outdoor radon measurements during 2018 in São José dos Campos, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Provide the values of the measurement performed	,
	Abstract Provide 3-4 keywords	
	Introduction Reference the figures appropriately Provide additional paragraph as the last paragraph of the introduction section a state the statement of research problem, significance of the study and mobjective of the study.	
	Materials and Methods Provide additional paragraph as the first paragraph of the materials and metho clearly explain study design, sampling method, how the sample size was derivand the study duration.	ds; ved
	Results and Discussion	
	Explain how the measuring instrument was validated.	
	Explain the statistical used in the data analysis	
	Provide a separate sections for results and discussion	
	In the discussion section compare the findings of the study with that of previous published articles, where there is variation state the possible reasons of variation and clearly explain the implications.	
	Conclusion Paraphrase the conclusion to communicate very well with the title and mobjective of the study.	ain
	References Use Vancouver style of referencing appropriately	
Minor REVISION comments	y arr ar and	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Sidi
Department, University & Country	Bayero University, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)