

1

2 **Anticoccidial effects of *Ageratum conyzoides* (Asteraceae)**  
3 **and *Vernonia amygdalina* (Asteraceae) leaves extracts on**  
4 **broiler chickens**

5 **Abstract**

6 Avian coccidiosis is a parasitic disease which causes considerable economic loss in  
7 poultry. The emergence of anticoccidial drug resistance enhances the need for development  
8 of novel approach and alternative controls strategies such as plants extracts. Therefore, this  
9 study was conducted to evaluate the anticoccidial efficacy of ethanolic extracts of *Ageratum*  
10 *conyzoides* and *Vernonia amygdalina* on broiler chickens. Ninety (90) Cobb 500 broiler  
11 chickens were divided into nine groups of 10 chickens each. Each chicken in 8 groups (A-H)  
12 was orally infected with approximately 3000 sporulated oocysts of *E. tenella* at day 28 of age  
13 while one group (group I) served as uninfected control. After establishment of the disease at  
14 day 7 post-infection, chicks of groups A to F were treated with the graded concentrations  
15 (1.5, 3 and 6 g/ l) of ethanolic extracts of both plants. Group G was treated with the  
16 conventional drug (Anticox) and group H served as infected non treated control. All  
17 treatments were mixed with drinking water and administered for five consecutive days. The  
18 activity was evaluated by means of fecal oocyst count reduction, host growth and  
19 haematological parameters. The results showed that, ethanolic extracts of both plants  
20 demonstrated a gradual inhibitory effect on the shedding of oocysts in a concentration-  
21 dependent manner. Among the treated groups, the highest inhibitory effect was recorded in  
22 the extract concentration of 6 g/l (oocyst count reduction rate of 100% which was comparable  
23 to the group receiving conventional drug ( $P>0,05$ )). There were no significant differences in  
24 the food intake between experimental groups ( $P>0,05$ ). The mean body weight of treated

25 groups was significantly ( $P<0,05$ ) higher than that of the untreated group. All treated groups  
26 showed better feed conversion ratio (FCR) as compared to infected non-treated group  
27 ( $P<0,05$ ). The mean of RBC count, Hb rate and PCV after treatment with the various  
28 concentrations of ethanolic extracts of both plants was significantly ( $P<0,05$ ) higher than  
29 those of the untreated group. These results demonstrated that both plants have similar activity  
30 and could therefore find application in anticoccidial therapy.

31 **Keyword:** Anticoccidial activity, *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Eimeria*  
32 *tenella*, coccidiosis.

### 33 **Introduction**

34 Poultry plays an important role for mankind through food supply, income and  
35 employment generation, providing raw materials to some industries. However, high mortality  
36 rates due to coccidiosis constitutes one of the greatest constraints on chicken development  
37 (Nghonjuyi *et al.*, 2015). The disease has a significant economic impact on the poultry  
38 industry especially in broiler chickens due to its association with impaired growth, poor feed  
39 utilization, impaired feed conversion and poor weight gain leading to poor performance of  
40 chicken and hence mortality (El Banna *et al.*, 2016; Abbas *et al.*, 2017). Seven species are  
41 known to infect poultry and each species have its own characteristics depending on the site of  
42 infection and pathogenicity (Abbas *et al.*, 2015). Among these species, *E. tenella*, which  
43 causes caecal coccidiosis is the most pathogenic and causes heavy economic losses to  
44 commercial poultry farming (Alzahrani *et al.*, 2016).

45 In Cameroon and other developing tropical countries, coccidiosis is controlled using  
46 anticoccidial drugs which are administered in feed or water. Success has been achieved by  
47 using these drugs but, the main problem associated with their poor response is the  
48 development of resistance in *Eimeria* species to the commonly available anticoccidial drugs  
49 and thus, these drugs are becoming less effective (Blake Damas *et al.*, 2014). Along with this

50 problem of drug resistance, there are also food safety and public health concerns about drug  
51 residues in poultry products (McDougald *et al.*, 1998) which limit their use. According to  
52 Yang *et al.* (2015), anticoccidial vaccines are an alternative means to prevent coccidiosis.  
53 However, efficacy, safety and cost effectiveness are still challenging for these vaccines use in  
54 poultry (Sharman *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, there is an expedient need for an alternative  
55 approach to control avian coccidiosis. The investigation of natural product as anticoccidial  
56 drugs holds promise as an alternative in the control of avian coccidiosis. Many studies have  
57 reported the *in vivo* efficiency of natural plant extract in the treatment of coccidiosis (El-  
58 Khtam *et al.*, 2014; Gotep *et al.*, 2016; El Banna *et al.*, 2016; Abbas *et al.*, 2017 and Wang *et*  
59 *al.*, 2018).

60 *Ageratum conyzoides* and *Vernonia amygdalina* are two plants belonging to the  
61 Asteraceae family commonly known as ‘King of herbs’ and ‘Bitter leaf’ respectively. They  
62 are used in Mbouda-Cameroon to treat intestinal protozoan and gastrointestinal tract related  
63 complications. Literature reviewed revealed that, they possess many pharmacological  
64 properties such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, antiprotozoal, anthelmintic, and anti-  
65 inflammatory (Masengo *et al.*, 2015, Yeap *et al.*, 2010). The leaves of these plants contain  
66 many bioactive compounds which are responsible for its diverse biological activities.

67 Looking at these medicinal properties, the current study was conducted to evaluate the  
68 anticoccidial activity of ethanolic extracts of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* on broiler  
69 chickens experimentally infected with *E. tenella* oocysts.

## 70 2- Materials and methods

### 71 2-1 Plant collection and storage

72 The leaves of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* were collected in the Bamboutos  
73 Division, Western Region of Cameroon and identified by the Cameroon National Herbarium  
74 (Yaoundé) using a voucher specimen registered under the Reference N° 6575 /SRF and N°

75 9535/SRF for *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* respectively. The collected plant material was  
76 dried in shade, at ambient temperature for about two weeks after which it was blended into  
77 fine powder and stored in airtight plastic bags in the laboratory at 4°C.

## 78 **2-2 Preparation of the extracts**

79 Cold extraction was done with 95 % ethanol for 72 h at room temperature. The  
80 mixture was daily stirred to permit better extraction of the active ingredients. The solution  
81 was sieved and filtered through a cotton layer and a filter paper of pore size 2.5 µm. The  
82 filtrate was evaporated in a rota vapor at 82°C for 8 hours. The extract obtained was then  
83 poured in a large Petri dish and allowed to dry at room temperature for two days (Wabo Poné  
84 *et al.*, 2006). Ethanolic extracts obtained were kept in a refrigerator at 4°C for further  
85 processing.

## 86 **2-3 Source of oocysts**

87 Coccidial oocysts of *E. tenella* were obtained from the caeca of naturally infected  
88 chicks from a local market of Dschang Menoua Division, Western Region of Cameroon.  
89 Following evisceration at post mortem, the caeca were separated, sliced open longitudinally  
90 and their contents washed into a beaker using tap water. The washings were put in tube for  
91 centrifugation. Oocysts **mensuration** was done to determine the purity of the oocysts  
92 suspension obtained (Conway and McKenzie, 2007). Harvested oocysts were inoculated in  
93 three healthy chicks which served as reservoir chickens for coccidian oocysts. The chick was  
94 routinely monitored for the development of clinical signs of coccidiosis and the presence of  
95 *E. tenella* oocysts in their faeces (Adamu mesherem *et al.*, 2013; Hassan Habibi *et al.*, 2014).  
96 Ten (10) days post infection, fecal materials were collected and the oocysts were separated by  
97 sieving and sedimentation techniques. The collected oocysts were allowed to sporulate at  
98 room temperature in 2.5% potassium dichromate solution. Sporulated oocysts were cleared,

99 counted and diluted to a final concentration of 3000 oocysts/ml of the solution using the  
100 McMaster technique as described by Messai (2015).

#### 101 **2-4 Birds and management**

102 After leaving the hatchery, a total of 100 one day old Cobb broilers chickens of both  
103 sexes were grown under uniform brooder conditions from a one day old to **experimental age**.

104 The birds were housed as a single group in a disinfected deep litter system with wood  
105 shavings as bedding material. The broilers chicks were reared under standard management  
106 practices in the animal house of the Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences of the  
107 University of Dschang (FASA). The litter was stirred three times a week till day 21 to  
108 prevent cake formation. Litter material when found damp was replaced by new one. All  
109 chicks were offered broiler starter ration for first three weeks followed by broilers finisher  
110 ration till the end of the experiment. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum*. Chicks were  
111 vaccinated for Newcastle Disease, Infectious bronchitis and Gumboro disease according to  
112 the programs schedule benched and applied in the F.A.R (Ferme d'Application et de  
113 Recherche) of the University of Dschang. At 22 days of age, birds were transferred in a  
114 suspended wire meshed (battery system) cages and acclimated till 28 days of age.

#### 115 **2-5 Evaluation of anticoccidial activity**

116 Chicks were grouped into six (6) experimental groups A, B, C, D, E and F having 10  
117 chicks each with 5 replicate by random allocation. Underweight and weak chicks were  
118 excluded from the experiment. **All groups except group I (uninfected control) were orally**  
119 **infected with 3000 *E. tenella* sporulated oocysts**. Daily collection and screening of faeces  
120 were carried out to check for oocyst presence. At day 35 (day 7 post-infection) after  
121 establishment of the infection they were treated with ethanolic extracts of *A. conyzoides* and  
122 *V. amygdalina* as well as the recommended drugs according to the followings schedule.

123 Group A: infected and treated with the extract of *A. conyzoides* at **1, 5 g/t**.

- 124 Group B: infected and treated with the extract of *A. conyzoides* at 3 g/l.
- 125 Group C: infected and treated with the extract of *A. conyzoides* at 6 g/l
- 126 Group D: infected and treated with the extract of *V. amygdalina* at 1, 5 g/l.
- 127 Group E: infected and treated with the extract of *V. amygdalina* at 3 g/l.
- 128 Group F: infected and treated with the extract of *V. amygdalina* at 6 g/l
- 129 Group G: infected and treated with Anticox.
- 130 Group H: infected and received 0, 2 % Tween 80 (infected non treated control)
- 131 Group I: Non infected- non treated.

132 All treatments were mixed with drinking water and administered for five consecutive  
133 days.

## 134 2-6 Evaluation of the tested product efficacy

### 135 2-6-1 Mean oocysts count and oocysts reduction rate

136 Fresh faecal samples were collected from each replicate in all the groups on day 7  
137 post infection (day 35 of age) and subsequently at three days intervals until the end of the  
138 study. The modified McMaster technique as described by (Thienpont *et al.* (1979) was used  
139 to estimate the oocysts per gram (OPG). The fecal oocyst concentration reduction rate was  
140 determined using the formula of Nghonjuyi *et al.* (2015) below:

$$141 \text{ Fecal oocyst concentration reduction rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Initial mean OPG} - \text{Final mean OPG}}{\text{Initial mean OPG}} \times 100$$

### 142 2-6-2 Growth performance

143 Performance of broilers was evaluated by recording the weekly body weight (BW)  
144 and daily feed intake. These parameters were used to calculate the feed conversion ratio  
145 (FCR) using the formula below as demonstrated by Abbas *et al.* (2017):

$$146 \text{ FRC} = \frac{\text{Mean feed consumed}}{\text{Mean weight gain}}$$

147 Quantification of feed intake was done daily by making the difference between the  
148 weight of initial food and that of remaining food. Body weight of chicks were recorded at 7th  
149 day and then weekly for each treatment. In each week, birds were weighed early morning  
150 prior to feeding.

### 151 **2-6-3 Haematological parameters**

152 At the end of the experiment, three chickens from each replicate group were randomly  
153 selected and sacrificed, blood samples were collected from their aortic veins into a well  
154 labeled sterilized EDTA tube for haematological analysis.

### 155 **2-7 Statistical Analysis**

156 The data obtained from the study was summarized as mean  $\pm$  standard error of means.  
157 Statistical comparisons between the treatment groups were made by one- way analysis of  
158 variance. Means were considered significant at  $P < 0,05$  and the means separated using  
159 Duncan's multiple range test.

## 160 **3- Results**

### 161 **3-1 Faecal oocysts count and oocysts reduction rate**

162 Ethanolic extracts of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* demonstrated a gradual  
163 inhibitory effect on the shedding of oocyst in faeces during day 1-12 post- treatment in a  
164 concentration-dependant manner (**Table 1**). In the infected-non treated group, oocyst  
165 numbers rose rapidly to attain peak count 10 days post-infection after which they reduced  
166 progressively throughout the duration of the study. While in the uninfected untreated group it  
167 remained zero till the end of the study. Among the ethanolic extracts treated group, the  
168 highest inhibitory effect on oocyst shed in faeces was recorded in the group treated with 6 g/l  
169 of both plants with oocyst count reduction rate of 100% which was comparable to the group  
170 receiving standard- anticoccidial drug ( $P > 0,05$ ). In the group treated with 3g/l, the oocyst  
171 reduction rate was of 99,05 % and 98,02 % (for *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina*

172 respectively) while it was 93,54% and 96,12% (for *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina*  
173 respectively) at 1, 5 g/l. The differences in oocyst reduction rates between the treated groups  
174 were not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) except with the untreated group ( $P < 0.05$ ).

### 175 **3-2 Effects ethanolic extracts of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* on host growth** 176 **parameters**

177 The growth parameters of *E. tenella*- infected chickens treated with ethanolic extract  
178 of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* are presented in **Table 2**. There was no significant  
179 difference ( $P > 0,05$ ) in feed intake between treated and control group. Also, there were  
180 significant differences ( $P < 0,05$ ) between different groups in mean body weight gains.  
181 Chickens in the non-infected-non treated group (NINT) had the highest mean body weight  
182 while chicken in the infected non treated (INT) group had the lowest mean body weight. The  
183 mean body weight gain of ethanolic extracts treated groups and the Anticox treated group  
184 (ITA) were significantly higher ( $P < 0,05$ ) than that of the infected non treated (INT) group.  
185 Among the ethanolic extracts treated groups, the highest weight gain was recorded by the  
186 group treated with 6 g/l ( $1008,90 \pm 111,90^{ab}$  and  $987,60 \pm 77,58^{ab}$  for *A. conyzoides* and *V.*  
187 *amygdalina* respectively) with no significant difference ( $P > 0,05$ ) between the two plants.  
188 However there was a significant difference ( $P < 0,05$ ) between the weight gain of groups  
189 treated with 3 ( $812,80 \pm 57,92^{cd}$  and  $884,30 \pm 122,78^{bc}$  for *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina*  
190 respectively) and 1, 5 g/l ( $594,13 \pm 57,79^e$  and  $719,20 \pm 70,26^{de}$  for *A. conyzoides* and *V.*  
191 *amygdalina* respectively). All treated groups showed better FRC as compared to the infected  
192 non-treated group ( $P < 0,05$ ). Among the plant extract treated groups, the best FRC was  
193 recorded in chicks treated with 6 g/l and 3 g/l with no significant difference for the two plant  
194 ( $P > 0,05$ ). However, there was a significant difference in FRC of groups treated with 1, 5 g /l  
195 ( $3,81 \pm 1,20^b$  and  $2,90 \pm 0,57^{bc}$  respectively for *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina*). The highest

196 FRC was observed in non-treated groups (6,47) while the least was in non-infected and non-  
197 treated groups (1,81).

### 198 3-2-2 – Haematological parameters

199 The haematological parameters for *E. tenella*-infected chicken treated with ethanolic  
200 extracts of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* are presented in **Table 3**. It can be seen from  
201 this table that, the mean RBC, Hb and PCV after treatment with the various concentrations of  
202 ethanolic extracts was significantly ( $P<0,05$ ) higher than the untreated group.

### 203 4- Discussion

204 This study showed that extracts from both plants significantly reduced the oocysts  
205 count of *E. tenella*-infected chickens similarly to the reference drug (Anticox) when  
206 compared to the control non-treated chickens. Nweze and Obiwulu (2009) also observed that  
207 ethanolic extracts of *A. conyzoides* reduced the faecal oocysts output of the infected birds  
208 steadily until it got to zero after 18 days of treatment. On the contrary, AL-Fifi (2007)  
209 reported that the powder of *V. amygdalina* leaves reduced the OPG to only 35%. These  
210 authors proposed that the anticoccidial effect of these plants could be attributed to its  
211 antioxidant properties and that the antioxidants constituents are flavonoids and vernosides B<sub>1</sub>  
212 for *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* respectively. Khaliq *et al.* (2015) observed that the use  
213 of antioxidant rich plant extracts has shown comparable results to synthetic drugs against  
214 coccidiosis. Indeed, the coccidian parasite induced host cell destruction and is associated with  
215 oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation; the antioxidants which have the ability to neutralize  
216 reactive oxygen species (ROS) are protective due to their ROS-scavenging ability (Wang *et*  
217 *al.* (2016). Allen and Danforth, (1998) also reported that, the use of antioxidants from natural  
218 sources in the poultry industry can help in restoring the balance of oxidants/antioxidants,  
219 leading to an improvement in birds infected with coccidiosis. These authors also showed  
220 that, plant with antioxidants properties could reduce the severity of *Eimeria* infection by

221 ameliorating the degree of intestinal lipid peroxidation. Moreover, it can be emphasized  
222 that plant extracts inhibited development of *Eimeria* life cycle in the host cell before  
223 oocysts are released in host faeces, thus ultimately decreased *Eimeria* oocyst excretion  
224 and severity of infection (Dkhill *et al.*, 2011).

225 We also noticed that, in infected-non treated control group, the oocyst numbers rose  
226 rapidly to attend the peak count by day 10 post-infection after which it reduced progressively  
227 throughout the duration of the study. Similarly, Mpoame Mbida *et al.* (2003) observed the  
228 same trend in a control non-treated group. This could be the result of *Eimeria* resistance  
229 leading to the natural phenomenon of self-deparasitism (Lévine (1985).

230 The improvement of weight gain correlated with the lower FCR observed in treated  
231 group. Similar findings have been reported by Nweze and Obiwulu (2009), AL-fifi, (2007),  
232 Adel Feizi *et al.* (2014), Nghonjuyi *et al.* (2015), Wang *et al.* (2016), Gotep *et al.* (2016).  
233 According to Ola-Fadunsin *et al.* (2013), the improvement in total body weight could be  
234 attributed to the decrease in the number of *Eimeria* oocysts in the caeca. Loddi *et al.* (2002)  
235 also suggested that the better FCR in treated groups may be due to the healthy intestinal tract  
236 of the bird and better nutrient utilization. Moreover, Gotep *et al.* (2016) opined that the  
237 improvement of growth parameters observed in extracts treated groups when compared to the  
238 infected non-treated groups is possibly due to the inhibition of inflammation in the intestinal  
239 mucosa which is suggestive of an increased nutrient absorption across the intestinal wall.  
240 However, Adel Feizi *et al.* (2014), Nghonjuyi *et al.* (2015) and Wang *et al.* (2016) suggested  
241 that the improvement of these parameters in the extracts treated groups could be due to the  
242 antimicrobial properties of the extracts.

243 There was a significant increase ( $P<0,05$ ) in haematological parameters in treated  
244 groups when compared to the infected-non treated groups. This could be attributed to the  
245 daily reduction in the oocysts shed in feces as reported by Ola-Fadunsin *et al.* (2013).

246 Moreover, according to Gotep *et al.* (2016), the increase in RBC and haemoglobin  
247 concentration is indicative of the hematopoiesis promoting ability of the extracts, which is  
248 beneficial since the *Eimeria* parasite in the intestinal epithelium causes bloody diarrhoea and  
249 consequently anaemia. In fact, Ita *et al.* (1991) suggested that ethanolic extracts of *A.*  
250 *conyzoides* possessed haematopoietic potentials and could possibly remedy anaemia. Also,  
251 Osho *et al.* (2014) suggested that the higher values of haematological indices in groups  
252 treated with extract of *V. amygdalina* can be due to their anti-inflammatory potentials.

### 253 **Conclusion**

254 The findings of the present study suggests that ethanolic extracts of *A. conyzoides* and  
255 *V. amygdalina* when added in drinking water for five consecutive days could be considered  
256 as best substitute to anticoccidial drugs for the control of avian coccidiosis. However,  
257 further *in vivo* toxicity studies are recommended to investigate the potential presence of  
258 toxic effects in order to determine the minimum non-lethal doses for the treatment of  
259 coccidiosis.

### 260 **5- References**

261 **Abbas A., Iqbal Z., Abbas R.Z., Khan M.K., Khan J.A., 2015.** *In-vitro*  
262 anticoccidial potential of *Sacharrum officiarum* extract against *Eimeria* oocysts, *Boletin*  
263 *Latinoamericano y del Caribe Plantas Medicinales y Aromàticas*, **14**:456-461.

264 **Abbas A., Iqbal Z., Abbas R.Z., Khan M.K., Khan J.A., SindhuZuD., Mahmood**  
265 **M.S., Saleemi M.K., 2017.** *In vivo* anticoccidial effects of *Beta vulgaris* (sugar beet) in  
266 broiler chickens, *Microbial Pathogenesis*, doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2017.07.052.

267 **Adamu Meskerem, and Chaiwat Boonkaewwan. 2013.** Protective Effects of  
268 *Moringa stenopetala* leaf supplemented Diets on *Eimeria tenella* Infected Broiler Chickens in  
269 Debre Zeit, Central, *Ethiopia Kasetsart Journal*, **47**: 398 – 406

270 **Adaramoye O.A., O. Akintayo J., Achem and M.A., Fafunso., 2008.** Lipid-  
271 lowering effects of methanolic extract of *Vernonia amygdalina* leaves in rats fed on high  
272 cholesterol diet. *Vascular Health and Risk Management*, **4**: 235-241.

273 **Adediran O. A., Uwalaka E. C., and Kolapo T. U., 2014.** Antihelminthic and  
274 Anticoccidial Effects of *Vernonia Amygdalina* in Goats, *Journal of Veterinary Advances*, **4**  
275 (7): 613-618.

276 **Al-fifi ZIA, 2007.** Effects of leaves extract of *Carica papaya*, *Vernonia amigdalina*  
277 and *Azadiratcha indica* on the coccidiosis in free-range chickens. *Asian Journal of Animal*  
278 *Sciences*, **1**(1): 26-32.

279 **Allen P.C., Danforth H.D., Augustine P.C., 1998.** Dietary modulation of avian  
280 coccidiosis, *International Journal of Parasitology*, **28**:1131-1140.

281 **Alzahrani F., Al-Shaebi E.M., Dkhil M.A., Al-Quraishy S., 2016.** *In vivo* anti-  
282 *Eimeria* and *in vitro* anthelmintic activity of *Ziziphusspina-christi* leaf extracts fares,  
283 *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, **48**: 409- 413.

284 **Blake D.P., Tomley F.M., 2014.** Securing poultry production from the ever-present  
285 *Eimeria* challenge, *Trends in Parasitology*, **30**:12 - 19.

286 **Chand N., Faheem H., Khan R.U., Muhammad S. Q., Ibrahim A. A.,**  
287 **Alaeldein M. A., 2016.** Anticoccidial effect of mananoligosacharide against experimentally  
288 induced coccidiosis in broiler. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **1-8**.

289 **Conway, D. P., McKenzie, E. M., 2007.** Poultry Coccidiosis Diagnostic and Testing  
290 Procedures. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed, *Blackwell Publishing Professional*.

291 **Dkhil M.A., Abdel-Baki A.S., Wunderlich F., Sies H., Al-Quraishy S., 2011.**  
292 .Anticoccidial and anti-inflammatory activity of garlic in murine *Eimeria papillata*  
293 infections, *Veterinary Parasitology*, **175**: 66-72.

- 294 **El Banna H. A., Atef M., Ghazal Nabil., 2016.**Anti-coccidial Activity of *Moringa*  
295 *Oleifera* Plant. *Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 4(2): 19-25.
- 296 **Gotep J. G., Tanko.J. T., Forcados G. E., Muraina I. A., Ozele N., Dogonyaro**  
297 **B. B., Oladipo O. O., Makoshi M. S., Akanbi O. B., Kinjir H., Samuel A. L., Onyiche**  
298 **T. E., Ochigbo G. O., Aladelokun O. B., Ozoani H. A., Viyoff V. Z., Dapuliga C. C.,**  
299 **Atiku A. A., Okewole P. A., Shamaki D., Ahmed M. S., Nduaka. C. I., 2016.**  
300 Therapeutic and Safety Evaluation of Combined Aqueous Extracts of *Azadirachta indica* and  
301 *Khaya senegalensis* in Chickens Experimentally Infected with *Eimeria* oocysts. *Journal of*  
302 *Parasitology Research*, 1-9.
- 303 **Hamad K.K, Iqbal Z., Sindhu Z.D., Abbas R.Z., Khan A., Muhammad G.,**  
304 **Epperson B., 2014.** Combination of *Nicotiana tabacum* and *Azadirachta indica*: A novel  
305 substitute to control levamisole and ivermectin resistant *Haemonchus contortus* in ovine.  
306 *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 34 (1): 24-29.
- 307 **Hasan Habibi., Sobhan Firouzi., Hasan Nili., Mostafa Razavi., Seyedeh Leili**  
308 **Asadi., Sajad Daneshi., 2014.** Anticoccidial effects of herbal extracts on *Eimeria tenella*  
309 infection in broiler chickens: *in vitro* and *in vivo* study. *Journal of Parasitic Diseases*, 5-9.
- 310 **Ita S.O., Etim O.E., Ben E.E., Ekpo O.F., 1991.** Haematopoietic properties of  
311 ethanolic extract of *Ageratum conyzoides* (goat weed) in albino rats. *Planta Medica*,  
312 57(6):578-9.
- 313 **Khaliq T., Mumtaz F., Rahman Z.U., Javed I., Iftikhar A., 2015.** Nephroprotective  
314 potential of *Rosa damascene* mill flowers, *Cichorium intybus* linn roots and their mixtures on  
315 gentamicin 283 induced toxicity in albino rabbits, *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 35:43-47.
- 316 **Levine N.D., 1985.** Veterinary Protozoology. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition. *Iowa State University Press*,  
317 Ames, Iowa, USA. 414 p.

318 **Loddi M.M., Nakaghi L.S.O., Edens F., Tucci F.M., Hannas M.I., Moraes**  
319 **V.M.B., Ariki J., 2002.** Mannan oligosaccharide and organic acids on intestinal morphology  
320 integrity of broilers evaluated by scanning electron microscopy. *In: Proceedings 11th*  
321 *European Poultry Conference Bremen Germany.* 121-126.

322 **Masengo C.A., Mpiana T.P., Ngbolua Koto-te- Nyiwa., 2015.** Ethno-botany and  
323 Pharmacognosy of *Ageratum conyzoides* L. (Compositae). *Journal of Advancement Medical*  
324 *and Life Sciences*, **2** (4):1-6.

325 **McDougald, L.R. and Seibert, B.P., 1998.** Residual activity of anticoccidial drugs in  
326 chickens after withdrawal of medicated feeds. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **74**: 91-93.

327 **Messai, A. 2015.** Utilisation de l'armoise et de l'eau de riz en traitement adjuvant de  
328 la coccidiose chez le poulet de chair. Thèse Présentée pour obtenir le diplôme de Doctorat *es*  
329 *science* en sciences vétérinaires. Université Frères Mentouri-Constantine (Algerie). 149 p.

330 **Mpoame M., Tégua A., Joséphine Mireille A.E., 2003.** Evaluation de l'efficacité  
331 des extraits aqueux de graines de papaye (*Carica papaya* L.) dans le traitement de la  
332 coccidiose caecale à *Eimeria tenella* chez le poulet de chair. *Tropicana*, **21**(3):153-156.

333 **Nghonjuyi N.W., Kimbi H.K., Keambou C.T., Manka'a C.N., Toukala J.P.,**  
334 **Juliano R.S., Lisita F., 2015.** Assessment of anti-coccidial efficacy of ethanolic extract of  
335 *Aloe vera* leaf in Kabir chicken in Cameroon. *Journal of Advances Parasitology*, **2**(2): 23-29.

336 **Nweze N.E., Obiwulu I.S., 2009.** Anticoccidial effects of *Ageratum conyzoides*,  
337 *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, **122**: 6-9.

338 **Ola-Fadunsin S.D., Ademola I.O., 2013.** Anticoccidial effects of *Morinda lucida*  
339 acetone extracts on broiler chickens naturally infected with *Eimeria* species. *Pharmaceutical*  
340 *biology*, **52** (3): 330

341 **Osho, I.B., Akindahunsi A., Igbasan F.A., and Adekunle D.J., 2014.** Effect of  
342 orally administered bitter leaf (*Vernonia amygdalina*) extract on the growth performance and

343 haematological parameters of broiler chicken. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal*  
344 *Health*, **6**: 251-256.

345 **Sharman P. A., Smith N. C., Wallach M. G., Katrib M., 2010.** Chasing the golden  
346 egg: vaccination against poultry coccidiosis,” *Parasite Immunology*, **32**(8): 590–598

347 **Thienpont D., Rochette F.R., Vanperrijs O.F.J., 1979.** Diagnosis of verminosis by  
348 coprological examinations. Beerse, Belgium, *Janssen Research Foundation*, 48- 67.

349 **Usman J.G., Usman N.G., Ayi V.K., Hannatu A.M., 2011.** Anticoccidial Resistance  
350 in Poultry: *A Review. New York Science Journal*, **4**(8): 102-109.

351 **Wabo Poné J., Bilong Bilong C.F., Mpoamé M., Fusi Ngwa C., Coles G.C., 2006.**  
352 *In vitro* activity of ethanol, cold water and hot water extracts of the bark of *Canthium*  
353 *mannii* (Rubiaceae) stem on *Ancylostoma caninum* Eggs. *East and Central African*  
354 *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, **9**:14-18.

355 **Wang D., Zhou L., Li W., Zhou H., Hou. G., 2016.** Anticoccidial effect of *Piper*  
356 *sarmentosum* extracts in experimental coccidiosis in broilers chickens. *Tropical Animal*  
357 *Health Production*, **48**: 1071-1078.

358 **Wang, Y., Mcallister, T.A., Newbold, C.J., Rode, L.M., Cheeke, P.R. and Cheng,**  
359 **K.J., 1998.** Effects of *Yucca schidigera* extract on fermentation and degradation of steroidal  
360 saponins in the rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC). *Animal Feed Science and*  
361 *Technology*, **74**: 143-153.

362 **Yang W.C., Tien Y.J., Chung C.Y., Chen Y.C., Chiou W.H., Hsu S.Y., Liu H.Y.,**  
363 **Liang C.L., Chang C.L.T., 2015.** Effect of *Bidens pilosa* on infection and drug resistance of  
364 *Eimeria* in chickens, *Research in Veterinary Science*, **98**:74–81.

365 **Yeap K.S., Wan Y., Boon K.B.Woon S. L., Huynh K., Hadi N.Y., Noorjahan B.**  
366 **A. 2010.** *Vernonia amygdalina*, an ethnoveterinary and ethnomedical used green vegetable  
367 with multiple bio-activities. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, **4** (25): 2787-2812.

368           **Zaman, M.A., Iqbal, Z., Abbas, R.Z., Khan, M.N., 2011.** Anticoccidial activity of  
369   herbal complex in broiler chickens challenged with *Eimeria tenella*. *Parasitology*, **139** (2):  
370   237-243.

371 **Table 1:** Mean Oocyst per grams of faeces and oocysts reduction rate of broilers experimentally infested with *E. tenella* oocysts and treated with  
 372 ethanolic extracts of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina*

Treatments	Concentration (g/l)	Mean oocysts count (x 10 <sup>2</sup> )					Oocysts reduction rate
		Day 0	Day 3	Day 6	Day 9	Day 12	
	6	140,00±91,86 <sup>a</sup>	62,00±49,78 <sup>cd</sup>	6,80±3,96 <sup>d</sup>	0,40±0,55 <sup>c</sup>	0,00±0,00 <sup>c</sup>	100,00±0,00 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Ageratum</i>	3	143,80±40,47 <sup>a</sup>	71,00±47,86 <sup>cd</sup>	46,80±32,07 <sup>bc</sup>	3,00±2,12 <sup>c</sup>	1,00±1,22 <sup>c</sup>	99,05± 1,52 <sup>a</sup>
<i>conyzoides</i>	1, 5	145,20±31,63 <sup>a</sup>	135,00±34,22 <sup>ab</sup>	65,00±30,93 <sup>b</sup>	22,80±32,17 <sup>b</sup>	8,40±9,24 <sup>b</sup>	93,54±7,84 <sup>a</sup>
	6	141,80±9,28 <sup>a</sup>	41,80±31,60 <sup>dc</sup>	9,00±5,79 <sup>d</sup>	0,80±1,10 <sup>c</sup>	0,00±0,00 <sup>c</sup>	100,00±0,00 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Vernonia</i>	3	147,20±64,16 <sup>a</sup>	93,40±50,57 <sup>bcd</sup>	25,00±13,86 <sup>cd</sup>	4,80±5,07 <sup>c</sup>	2,40±3,36 <sup>c</sup>	98,02±2,83 <sup>a</sup>
<i>amygdalina</i>	1, 5	139,75±34,39 <sup>a</sup>	106,75±13,89 <sup>abc</sup>	49,25±25,57 <sup>bc</sup>	8,25±3,77 <sup>bc</sup>	4,75±2,22 <sup>bc</sup>	96,12±2,58 <sup>a</sup>
<b>INT</b>	Tween 80 0, 2%	136,20±24,80 <sup>a</sup>	154,40±2,96 <sup>a</sup>	140,60±2,96 <sup>a</sup>	117,80±6,57 <sup>a</sup>	100,00±3,08 <sup>a</sup>	25,09±10,32 <sup>b</sup>
<b>ITA</b>	0,33	147,40±76,10 <sup>a</sup>	50,60±71,41 <sup>cde</sup>	6,80±5,89 <sup>d</sup>	0,20±0,45 <sup>c</sup>	0,00±0,00 <sup>c</sup>	100,00±0,00 <sup>a</sup>
<b>NINT</b>	/	0,00±0,00	0,00±0,00	0,00±0,00	0,00±0,00	0,00±0,00	100,00±0,00

373 Values are Mean ±SEM. For the column, values carrying the same superscript letter are not significantly different at P>0, 05. INT: Infected non-  
 374 treated, ITA: Infected and treated with Anticox: NINT: Non-infected-non treated.

375 **Table 2: Mean total feed consumed, Total weight gain and feed conversion ratio of chickens treated with ethanolic extract of *A. conyzoides***  
 376 **and *V. amygdalina*.**

Host growth parameters				
Treatments	Concentration (g/l)	TFI	TWG	FCR
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	6	2086,28±148,47	1008,90±111,90 <sup>ab</sup>	2,08±0,11 <sup>c</sup>
	3	1935,00±316,60	812,80±57,92 <sup>cd</sup>	2,39±0,54 <sup>c</sup>
	1, 5	2127,63±231,69	594,13±57,79 <sup>e</sup>	3,81±1,20 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Vernonia amygdalina</i>	6	2246,40±160,57	987,60±77,58 <sup>ab</sup>	2,28±0,20 <sup>c</sup>
	3	2000,16±439,69	884,30±122,78 <sup>bc</sup>	2,34±0,73 <sup>c</sup>
	1, 5	2061,48±308,89	719,20± 70,26 <sup>de</sup>	2,90±0,57 <sup>bc</sup>
INT	Tween 80 0, 2%	2155,38±404,15	355,38±94,65 <sup>f</sup>	6,47±2,76 <sup>a</sup>
ITA	0,33	2279,00±228,16	1018,13±90,71 <sup>ab</sup>	2,25±0,31 <sup>c</sup>
NINT	/	1983,84±357,35	1105,90±101,10 <sup>a</sup>	1,81±0,50 <sup>c</sup>

377 Values are Mean ±SEM. For the column, values carrying the same superscript letter are not significantly different at P>0, 05. INT:  
 378 Infected non-treated, ITA: Infected and treated with Anticox, NINT: Non-infected-non treated, TFI: Total Feed Intake, TWG: Total Weight  
 379 Gain, FCR: Feed Conversion Ratio.

380 **Table 3:** Effect of ethanolic extract of *A. conyzoides* and *V. amygdalina* on RBC, Hb and PCV of chickens infected with *E. tenella* oocysts

<b>Haematological parameters</b>				
<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Concentration (g/l)</b>	<b>RBC</b>	<b>Hb</b>	<b>PCV</b>
	6	4,05±0,70 <sup>a</sup>	23,60±1,74 <sup>a</sup>	55,47±10,54 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Ageratum</i>	3	4,05±0,29 <sup>a</sup>	22,13±4,84 <sup>a</sup>	55,87±4,77 <sup>a</sup>
<i>conyzoides</i>	1, 5	3,72±0,21 <sup>ab</sup>	22,13±2,05 <sup>a</sup>	52,53±2,57 <sup>a</sup>
	6	4,36±0,29 <sup>a</sup>	24,67±1,22 <sup>a</sup>	61,07±7,43 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Vernonia</i>	3	4,65±0,79 <sup>a</sup>	23,47±2,20 <sup>a</sup>	57,47±4,03 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Amygdalina</i>	1, 5	4,05±0,70 <sup>a</sup>	22,40±1,06 <sup>a</sup>	54,93±6,43 <sup>a</sup>
<b>INT</b>	Tween 80 0, 2%	2,80±0,80 <sup>b</sup>	11,07±2,34 <sup>b</sup>	26,00±5,64 <sup>b</sup>
<b>ITA</b>	0,33	4,55±0,58 <sup>a</sup>	24,67±2,34 <sup>a</sup>	63,47±7,86 <sup>a</sup>
<b>NINT</b>	/	4,39±0,57 <sup>a</sup>	21,60±0,40 <sup>a</sup>	57,73±3,71 <sup>a</sup>

381

382

383

384

385 Values are Mean  $\pm$ SEM. For the column, values carrying the same superscript letter are not significantly different at  $P > 0, 05$ . INT: Infected and  
386 non-treated, ITA: Infected and treated with Anticox, NINT: Non-infected-non treated, RBC: Red Blood Cell, Hb: Hemoglobin, PCV: Packed  
387 Cell Volume