#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_46065
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Of In Vitro Nematicidal Efficiency Of Copper Nanoparticles Against Root-Knot Nematode Meloidogyne Incog
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process: This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul> <li>Please add one line of statistical analysis in abstract! Where did you inspire for the doses of 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.1 and 0.2 g/L or 11.2, 19.9, 32.4, 64.9, 89.2.</li> <li>From literature or for your experience? Please indicate an author. No control used? Which what you compare the efficiency Of Copper Nanoparticles Against Root-Knot Nematode Meloidogyne Incognita Look like interesting result, but the method of data analysis in SPSS 22 software must be described (for example General Linear Model??Chi2?? Postiori test???)</li> <li>Look like interesting result, but poorest discussion! It must be documented</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments	No working in this topic from 2016? 2017? 2018? Please the discussion could be documented by some references from 2017 or 2018!	
Optional/General comments		

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

gnita

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





# SDI Review Form 1.6

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Aba Toumnou Lucie
Department, University & Country	University of Bangui, Central African Republic