

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_46697
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Nematode Parasites in Sheep and Goat at Eastern Hararghe Administrative zone, Haramaya Woreda Shoat Farm
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	While I appreciate the care with which it was carried out, I have several limitations. Reference and writing may be improved. In a view of provision and causality, its distinction and novelty may be systematically improved with additive data/information. In addition, the discussion of generalized interpretation may be needed. In result section, it may be further improved. The format of presentation may be reorganized and improved.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J.S. Bak
Department, University & Country	Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Republic of Korea

a of Six Kebeles and Haramaya University

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her