



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_46336
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Studies on Effectiveness Of Branded And Unbranded Disinfectants On on E. coli and Staphylococcus species.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rephrase the topic like - Comparative Studies on Effectiveness of Branded and Unbranded Disinfectants on E. coli and Staphylococcus species instead of Comparative Studies on Effectiveness Of Branded And Unbranded Disinfectants On on E. coli and Staphylococcus species.</li> <li>2. Line 21, start citing the reference from number 1 in the text. Refer to the manuscript guideline of the journal.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Always start sentence with the uppercase letter. Manage it in the abstract section and maintain throughout the manuscript (like in line 192).</li> <li>2. Rephrase the first sentence of the study design.</li> <li>3. Remove Sample from the place and duration of study.</li> <li>4. The first sentence of results in the abstract seems incomplete. Rephrase it.</li> <li>5. In the result section and throughout the manuscript 'Salvon' is used. Make corrections because it must be Savlon.</li> <li>6. Line 75, it should be Voges-Proskauer.</li> <li>7. Line 93, try some other word form done.</li> <li>8. Line 139, use uppercase letter to start writing caption of the table. Maintain throughout.</li> <li>9. Line 171, use from between ranging and minor.</li> <li>10. Line 190, write the name of author who performed the work before parenthesis.</li> <li>11. Line 206, try have instead of has.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Vivek Kumar Singh</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Public Health and Infectious Disease Research Center (PHIDReC), Nepal</i></b>