



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_47114
Title of the Manuscript:	Achieving Inclusive Growth in Nigeria through Exports: An Empirical Investigation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper is fine in all accounts: subject selection, measurement of variables and study methods are all okay. The data is presented in appropriate way. The conclusions answer the aims of the study and the references are relevant.	
Minor REVISION comments	The author should explain the "In" in the Econometric model (7). As a reviewer, I believe this In stands for "income" whatever it is, the only way that readers could know this In is the author's explanation. $\ln y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln OX + \beta_2 \ln NOX + \beta_3 \ln INV + \beta_4 \ln FDI + \mu$	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husein Mohamed Irbad
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India