

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSE_48729
Title of the Manuscript:	DIVIDEND POLICY AND FINANCIAL PEROFRMANCE- A STUDY OF QUOTED MANUFACTURING FIRMS IN NIGERIA AND
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

ID KENYA



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract – Needs to be redefined to incorporate the relevant issues in the study. Check the sentence construction Line $8 - 9$. Also, the purpose of the study and sample technique used should be clearly stated.	
	Introduction line 49 check spelling, line 96 and 146 reference should be checked. Literature gap of the article was not clearly justified by references and needs to be addresssed.	
	Conceptual and theoretical review has a few current pieces of literature, and it is recommended for current literature citations — references in adequate.	
	Empirical review should give a critical review of the various constructs in relation to the situations in Kenya and Nigeria.	
	Methodology Convenience sampling method used should be justified by the use of a reference	
	Discussion – Good	
	Theoretical and Practical Implications needs to be included after conclusion of the study.	
	Conclusion – should include the possibility for future research based on the research gap of the study.	
	Note : In all proofreading and proper referencing should be considered	
Minor REVISION comments	Recommended	
Optional/General comments	The study is good, and it provides adequate information to be considered for publication.	
	Accept for publication if the necessary suggestions are completed	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Afriyie Sammuel
Department, University & Country	Jiangsu University, China

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write