## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_46796
Title of the Manuscript:	Low-Polarity Components Analysis and Antioxidant Activity Evaluation of Two Polyporaceae Mushrooms
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Botanical name must be in italic Discussion needs to be elaborated with compounds identified must be compared with the literature and justify. It is recommended to update your references and include only last 5 year references. Can you share the picture of anti-bacterial activity you have performed? why Antibacterial activity of different components of two mushrooms have zero in different fractions? Justify Positive control in not mentioned in table 3. how you identify the Volatile components of the petroleum ether extracts? did any one performed KI(Kovats index) determination before? cite the literature what is the extraction yield put pictures of activities and assays you performed. provide supplementary data of all assay performed	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



**SDI Review Form 1.6** 

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Shahzad Aslam
Department, University & Country	Xiamen University, China

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)