

1
2
3 **PHYSICO-CHEMICAL QUALITY RESPONSES**
4 **OF MANGO CHIPS DRIED TO DIFFERENT**
5 **MOISTURE CONTENTS, PACKAGED AND**
6 **STORED FOR SIX MONTHS**
7
8
9

10 **ABSTRACT**
11

Fresh mango is a delicate fruit with high perishability and postharvest losses. Dried fruits are highly susceptible to mold infection and moisture reabsorption. These fruits must be packed well and stored immediately after drying to extend its shelf life. This study was thus to determine the effect of packaging methods on the quality of mango chips dried to three moisture content and stored for six months at tropical ambient condition. Mango chips (10%, 15% and 20% moisture contents) obtained from FriutProtech Consortium, Kintampo, were packed in different packages and stored for 6 months in a 3×5 factorial in completely randomized design and replicated 3 times before physico-chemical attributes were studied. Results revealed that mango chips at 10% moisture content, vacuum-packed in polypropylene and polyethylene were significantly different ($p \leq 0.01$), the driest, firmest and most crispy. They also were richest in vitamin C high in pH, highest in TSS and high in TTA. Chips at 20% moisture content in plastic pack (clamshells) were lowest in most of the measured parameters. It can be concluded that, mango chips should be dried to, at most, 15% moisture content and vacuum-packaged in polypropylene or polyethylene if they are to maintain their quality and be stored for longer periods.

12
13 *Keywords: [perishability, crispy, antioxidants, hydrolysis, ripening. enzyme]*
14

15 **1. INTRODUCTION**
16

17 Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) fruit belongs to the family of Anacardiaceae and is grown in many parts of the
18 world, particularly in tropical countries. According to [1], mango fruit has been reported the 2nd position as a
19 tropical crop, behind only bananas in terms of production and acreage used. It has been well indicated that
20 mango fruits are highly nutritious. [2], indicated that mangoes are essential to human growth, development and
21 health. Mangoes form a 50 percent share of all the tropical fruits produced worldwide [3]. Within the
22 horticultural sector, mango cultivation can easily become a major foreign exchange earner if well developed
23 and provided with the necessary logistics and support.

24 Due to high postharvest losses of fruits and vegetables, there is the need to process and preserve perishable
25 fruits during bumper harvest to make them available throughout the year in a value-added form. Dehydrated
26 mango fruits slices could be processed from the glut by individuals or farmer-groups to address the vitamin A
27 and C problems experienced in certain part of the country. According to [4] vitamin A deficiency is a major

28 public health problem in Ghana especially in the northern part of the country in children under 5 years of age.
29 [5], reported that mango fruit is climacteric with a high rate of perishability and after harvesting at ambient
30 conditions reaches the peak of its ripening process on the third (3rd) to fourth (4th) day. According to [6], the
31 longevity is between four to eight days at room storage (130⁰C). [7] also reported that the fruit is highly
32 sensitive to decay, low temperature and its perishability caused by rapid ripening and softening reduce the
33 storage, handling and transport potential. Mango fruits have been processed into chips and other forms to
34 extend the shelf-life and improve its commercial potentials through value-addition. Dried fruits are highly
35 susceptible to mold infection and moisture reabsorption and must be well- packaged and stored immediately
36 after drying to maintain its quality [8]. The type of packaging material used has been reported to have effect on
37 nutrient content during storage [9]. Packaging is an inevitable component of food processing, for assuring the
38 safe handling and delivery of fresh and processed agricultural products from producer to the consumer [10]. It
39 is therefore important to assess the packaging method to ascertain their performance in ensuring product
40 quality during storage. Postharvest management is essential for extending the consumption period of fruits, for
41 regulating their supply to the market and for transporting them over long distances. The objective of the study
42 was to determine the effect of packaging methods (sealed polyethylene, vacuumed polyethylene, sealed
43 polypropylene, vacuumed polypropylene and plastic pack-clamshell) on the physico-chemical properties of
44 mango chips dried to three different moisture contents (10%, 15% and 20%) and stored for six (6) months at
45 tropical ambient temperature.

46

47 **2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

48 **2.1 STUDY SITE**

49 The study was conducted at the laboratories of the Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, and the
50 Department of Theoretical and Applied Biology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology
51 (KNUST), Kumasi.

52 **2.2 EXPERIMENTAL MATERIAL**

53 The following materials were used in the experiment: dried mango chips at three different moisture contents
54 (10%, 15% and 20%), five different packaging methods [sealed polyethylene (0.085mm thick) (PolyEthyseal),
55 vacuumed polyethylene (0.085mm thick) (PolyEthyvac), sealed polypropylene (0.055mm thick) (PolyPropseal),
56 vacuumed polypropylene (0.055mm thick) (PolyPropvac) and plastic pack (clamshell) (PP)], vacuum machine,
57 sealer, a pair of scissors, top-loading electronic balance.

58 **2.3 SOURCE OF MANGO CHIPS AND PRODUCTION**

59 The mango chips were obtained from the FriutProtech Consortium at Kintampo.

60 **2.3.1 Mango Chips Production**

61 Keitt variety of mango fruits at a stage of fully ripe were weighed and washed thoroughly under running water
62 after which they were reweighed. The mango fruits were then peeled using a sharp knife and cut into two equal
63 halves and the seeds removed. The pulp was then cut into slices (approximately 0.5cm thick) and dried in a
64 solar-LPG hybrid oven at between 65⁰C- 70⁰C for at most 8 hours. Moisture contents of mango chips were
65 tested periodically to ensure that the three different moistures (10%, 15% and 20%) were achieved.



66
67 Figure 1: Bulk mango chips at different moisture contents packaged at FruitProtech consortium, Kintampo
68 before being sent to the laboratory for further studies.

69 2.4. SAMPLE PREPARATION

70 Forty (40) grams each of dried mango chips (10%, 15% and 20%) were weighed into each of the five different
71 packaging methods [sealed polyethylene (0.085mm thick) (PolyEthyseal), vacuumed polyethylene (0.085mm
72 thick) (PolyEthyvac), sealed polypropylene (0.055mm thick) (PolyPropseal), vacuumed polypropylene
73 (0.055mm thick) (PolyPropvac) and plastic pack (clamshell) (Plasticpack)], stored and observed for six (6)
74 months under tropical ambient conditions (27⁰C temperature at 70% relative humidity).



75
76 Figure 2: Vacuuming of packaged mango chips in vacuum machine.
77



78
79 Figure 3: Differently processed, packaged and labeled mango chips stored at ambient conditions (L-R: sealed
80 polyethylene (0.085mm thick) (PolyEthyseal), vacuumed polyethylene (0.085mm thick) (PolyEthyvac), sealed
81 polypropylene (0.055mm thick) (PolyPropseal), vacuumed polypropylene (0.055mm thick) (PolyPropvac) and
82 plastic (clamshell) pack (PLASTICPACK)).

83 **2.5 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

84 A 3×5 Factorial Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in the experiment. The three-different
85 moisture content (10%, 15% and 20%) against five packaging methods [sealed polyethylene (0.085mm thick)
86 (PolyEthyseal), vacuumed polyethylene (0.085mm thick) (PolyEthyvac), sealed polypropylene (0.055mm thick)
87 (PolyPropseal), vacuumed polypropylene (0.055mm thick) (PolyPropvac) and plastic pack (clamshell)
88 (PLASTICPACK)]. Each treatment was replicated three times

89 **2.6. PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF MANGO CHIPS DETERMINED.**

90 **2.6.1 Determination of moisture content (%)**

91 Moisture content was determined by following the procedures of AOAC, 2005 [11].

92 **2.6.2 Firmness determination (N)**

93 Pieces of mango chips of each treatment were tested using a type-C digital durometer (LX-C durometer,
94 China) and readings recorded initially and subsequently, monthly.

95 **2.6.3 Vitamin C determination**

96 Vitamin C was determined by following the procedures of AOAC, 2005 [11].

97 **2.6.4 pH determination**

98 Five grams of blended oven dried sample was weighed into a 50ml beaker. Twenty-five (25) ml of distilled
99 water was added and stirred vigorously for 20 minutes. Sample water suspension was allowed to stand for 30
100 minutes by which time most of the suspended ions would have settled out from the suspension. A pH meter-
101 ELICO (L1617) was calibrated blank at pH of 7 and 4 respectively. The electrode of the pH meter was inserted
102 into the partly settled suspension. The pH value was read from the pH meter and recorded.

103 **2.6.5 Total titratable acid determination**

104 TTA was determined by following the procedures of AOAC, 2005 [11].

105 **2.6.6 Total soluble solids (°Brix) determination**

106 The total soluble solid was determined by using HANNA refractometer (HI9680). Before determining the sugar
107 content, the refractometer was first calibrated using 25% sucrose solution and distilled water. A 10ul of the
108 prepared sample solution was placed on the prism surface of the refractometer. The reading on the prism
109 scale was noted and recorded to one decimal in degree Brix [12].

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Changes in moisture content during storage

There was significant difference ($P \leq 0.01$) between the moisture content over the storage period. Moisture reduced consistently over the storage period except for month five (5) where 15% moisture content increased and decrease the following month (Figure 4).




Figure 4: Changes in moisture content of mango chips (10%, 15% and 20% moisture contents) during a six (6) months storage period

There was significant difference ($P \leq 0.01$) between different packaging methods on mango chips during storage period. Moisture content was reduced by PolyEthvac throughout the storage period (Figure 5)




Figure 5: Moisture content of mango chips in different packages during a six (6) months storage period.

There were significant difference in ($P \leq 0.01$) moisture content and different packaging methods interaction for changes in moisture during six (6) months storage period (Table 1). Mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged using Polyethylene vacuum recorded the highest (13.76) moisture content and the least (3.27) was recorded by mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using plastic pack (clamshell). Across the different packaging methods, Polyethylene vacuum recorded the highest (12.79) and plastic pack (clamshell) recorded the least (4.89) moisture. Across the moisture content, highest (10.53) moisture content was recorded at 20% moisture content and the least (9.47) was recorded by moisture content at 10%.

Table 1: Means of moisture content (%) of mango chips dried to different moisture content and packaged differently using different methods and stored for six (6) months.

Moisture content of chips (%)	Packaging Methods					Means
	Plastic _{pack}	PolyProp _{seal}	PolyProp _{vac}	PolyEthy _{seal}	PolyEthy _{vac}	
10	3.27 ^{i*}	8.52 ^h	11.05 ^{def}	10.77 ^{efg}	7.46 ⁱ	8.21 ^b
15	3.93 ^j	10.52 ^{fg}	11.38 ^{cde}	10.17 ^g	12.79 ^b	9.76 ^b
20	13.76 ^a	11.24 ^{cdef}	10.02 ^g	12.09 ^c	11.82 ^{cd}	11.81 ^a
Mean	6.99 ^d	10.09 ^c	10.82 ^b	10.98 ^a	10.69 ^b	

CV=2.21 HSD(0.01) mc=0.25 pm=0.37 mc*pm=0.77

*Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.01

3.2 Changes in firmness during storage

There was significant difference ($P \leq 0.01$) between firmness and moisture content from month one to month four (Figure 6). Mango chips processed at 10% moisture content recorded the highest firmness and moisture content at 20% recorded the least. In month five and month six, moisture content at 10% recorded the highest firmness and the least, moisture content at 15%.




Figure 6: Firmness (N) of mango chips processed at three different moisture contents over six (6) months storage period

There was significant difference ($P \leq 0.01$) between Firmness and the different packaging methods (Figure 7). Vacuum packaging increases hardness hence scored highest firmness while Polyethylene seal packaging recorded least for firmness throughout storage periods except for month five (5) where plastic pack (clamshell) recorded the highest (43.61) firmness and Polyethylene seal (33.78) recorded the least firmness.




Figure 7: Firmness (N) of mango chips processed at five different packages over six (6) months storage period

There were significant ($P \leq 0.01$) moisture content and different packaging methods interaction for Firmness during six (6) months storage period (Table 2). Mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged using Polypropylene vacuum recorded the highest (12.67) firmness and the least (1.73) was recorded by mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using Polyethylene vacuum. Across the different packaging methods, Polypropylene vacuum recorded the highest (9.38) and plastic pack (clamshell) recorded the least (7.89) firmness. Across the moisture content, highest (11.67) firmness was recorded at 10% moisture content and the least (3.63) was recorded by moisture content at 20%.


Table 2: Means of Firmness (N) of mango chips dried to different moisture content and packaged differently using different methods and stored for six (6) months.

Moisture content of chips (%)	Packaging Methods					Means
	Plastic _{pack}	PolyProp _{seal}	PolyProp _{vac}	PolyEthy _{seal}	PolyEthy _{vac}	
10	11.77 ^{b*}	11.23 ^d	12.67 ^a	11.20 ^d	11.50 ^c	11.67 ^a
15	9.70 ^f	9.77 ^f	10.17 ^e	9.50 ^g	11.77 ^b	10.18 ^b
20	2.20 ^k	5.70 ^h	5.30 ⁱ	3.20 ^j	1.73 ^l	3.63 ^c
Mean	7.89 ^d	8.90 ^b	9.38 ^a	7.97 ^d	8.33 ^c	
CV =0.63	HSD (0.01)		mc=0.06	pm=0.90	mc*pm=0.19	

*Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p=0.01$

3.3 Vitamin C (mg/100ml) content during the storage period.


There were no significant differences in the Vitamin C content for mango chips processed at moisture content level in the early months of storage (Figure 8.). Meanwhile, in month four, mango chips processed at 10% moisture content had the highest vitamin C (3.48) and moisture content at 20% recorded the least (2.62). Mango chips processed at (10%) moisture content, maintained a higher vitamin C content while moisture content at 20% lost vitamin C content considerably throughout the storage period.



170

171 Figure 8: Vitamin C (**mg/100ml**) content of mango chips processed at three moisture content over storage
172 period.

173 There were significant differences ($P \leq 0.01$) Vitamin C content between the different packaging methods
174 during storage (Figure 9). Polyethylene vacuum recorded the highest vitamin C (9.70) and Polypropylene
175 vacuum recorded the least (5.20) in month one. In subsequent months, vitamin C reduced with time yet mango
176 chips packaged in Polyethylene seal packaging methods recorded the highest vitamin C (7.30) compared to
177 Polyethylene vacuum which recorded the least (2.86) in the final month.



178

179 Figure 9: Vitamin C (**mg/100ml**) content of mango chips in different packages stored over storage period.
180

181 There were significant ($P \leq 0.01$) packaging methods and moisture content interaction for Vitamin C during six
182 (6) months of storage (Table 3). Highest Vitamin C (8.61) was indicated by mango chips processed at 10%
183 moisture content and packaged using Polyethylene vacuum. The least (1.67) was recorded by mango chips
184 proceeded at 20% moisture content and packaged using plastic pack (clamshell). Across the moisture content,
185 moisture content at 10% recorded the highest (6.00) and moisture content at 15% recorded the least (4.81).
186 Across the different packaging method, Polyethylene seal recorded the highest (6.80) and plastic pack
187 (clamshell) recorded the least (2.76).
188

189 Table 3: Means of Vitamin C (mg/100ml) of mango chips dried to different moisture content and packaged
190 using different methods and stored for six (6) months.

Moisture content of chips (%)	Packaging Methods					Means
	Plastic _{pack}	PolyProp _{seal}	PolyProp _{vac}	PolyEthyl _{seal}	PolyEthyl _{vac}	
10	4.31 ^{de*}	5.16 ^{cd}	5.36 ^c	6.56 ^b	8.61 ^a	6.00 ^a

15	2.30 ^f	5.41 ^c	5.43 ^c	6.74 ^b	4.15 ^e	4.81 ^b
20	1.67 ^f	4.83 ^{cde}	5.53 ^c	7.11 ^b	5.57 ^c	4.94 ^b
Mean	2.76 ^d	5.13 ^c	5.44 ^c	6.80 ^a	6.11 ^b	

CV =5.30 HSD (0.01). mc=0.32 pm=0.47 mc*pm=1.0

*Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.01

3.4 Acidity (pH) of mango chips during storage.

There were no significant differences in pH for mango chips processed at different moisture content) during storage (Figure 10). Mango chips processed at 10% moisture content recorded highest pH (3.70) while mango chips processed at 20% moisture content increased with time and recorded least pH (2.77) at the later stage of storage.




Figure 10: pH of mango chips processed at three moisture content over storage period.

There were significant differences ($P \leq 0.01$) between the packaging methods over the storage period (Figure 11). Mango chips packaged using Polypropylene seal recorded the highest (3.33) pH and the least (3.22) was recorded by mango chips packaged using Polypropylene vacuum at the initial stage of storage. Meanwhile in the final month of storage, Polyethylene seal packaging method recorded the highest pH (4.38) and Polyethylene vacuum recorded the least (1.45)




Figure 11: pH of mango chips in different packages stored over storage period.

There were significant ($P \leq 0.01$) moisture content and different packaging methods interaction for acidity (pH) during six (6) months of storage (Table 4). Mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using Polyethylene seal recorded the highest (5.93) pH and the least (0.89) was recorded by mango chips

209 processed at 20% moisture content and package using plastic pack (clamshell). Across the packaging
 210 methods, highest (5.83) pH was recorded by mango chips packaged using Polyethylene seal and the least
 211 (1.19) was recorded by the plastic pack (clamshell) packaging method. Across the moisture, highest (4.67) pH
 212 between the moisture content was recorded at 10% and the least (4.36) at 15%.

213 Table 4: pH of mango chips dried to different moisture content and packaged using different methods and
 214 stored for six (6) months.

Moisture content of chips (%)	Packaging Methods					Means
	Plastic _{pack}	PolyProp _{seal}	PolyProp _{vac}	PolyEthy _{seal}	PolyEthy _{vac}	
10	1.78 ^{g*}	4.47 ^e	5.51 ^d	5.72 ^{bc}	5.85 ^{ab}	4.67 ^a
15	0.91 ^h	5.77 ^{abc}	5.63 ^{cd}	5.83 ^{ab}	3.69 ^f	4.36 ^c
20	0.89 ^h	5.70 ^{bc}	5.92 ^a	5.93 ^a	3.81 ^f	4.45 ^b
Mean	1.19 ^e	5.31 ^c	5.69 ^b	5.83 ^a	4.45 ^d	

CV =1.18 HSD (0.01).

mc=0.06


pm=0.09

mc*pm=0.19

215 *Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.01

216 3.5 Total Titratable Acid (TTA %) Content During Six (6) Storage Periods.


217 There were no significant differences ($P \leq 0.01$) in total titratable acid for mango chips at different moisture
 218 content during six (6) months of storage (Figure 12). Mango chips processed at 20% moisture content
 219 recorded highest (0.29) total titratable acid and lowest total titratable acid (0.11) of chips were recorded by
 220 moisture content at 15%. At the sixth (6) month of storage, mango chips processed at 10% and 15% moisture
 221 content recorded the highest total titratable acid (0.11) while mango chips processed at 20% moisture content
 222 recorded the least total titratable acid (0.08).



223 Figure 12: Total titratable acid (%) of mango chips processed at three moisture content over storage period.
 224

225 There was significant difference ($P \leq 0.01$) in total titratable acid for mango chips packaged at different
 226 packaging methods during storage (Figure 13). Plastic pack (clamshell) recorded the highest total titratable
 227 acid (1.10) and Polyethylene seal recorded the least (0.67) at the beginning of storage. Polyethylene seal

228 recorded the highest (0.14) total titratable acid and Polyethylene vacuum recorded the least (0.05) in the last
 229 month.



230
 231 Figure 13: Total titratable acid content (%) of mango chips in different packages stored over storage period.

232 There were significant ($P \leq 0.01$) moisture content and different packaging method interaction for Total titratable
 233 acidity over the six (6) storage period (Table 5). Highest total titratable acid (0.53) was recorded by mango
 234 chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged using Polyethylene vacuum. The least (0.14) was
 235 recorded by mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged using Polypropylene seal. Across
 236 the moisture content, moisture content at 10% recorded the highest (0.32) total titratable acid and the least
 237 (0.29) by 15% moisture content. Across packaging method, highest (0.37) total titratable acid was recorded by
 238 Polypropylene vacuum. The least (0.23) was recorded by plastic pack (clamshell).

239 Table 5: Means of Total titratable acid (%) of mango chips dried to different moisture content and packaged
 240 using different methods and stored for six (6) months.

Moisture content of chips (%)	Packaging Methods					Means
	Plastic _{pack}	PolyProp _{seal}	PolyProp _{vac}	PolyEthy _{seal}	PolyEthy _{vac}	
10	0.27 ^{de*}	0.14 ^f	0.32 ^{cd}	0.32 ^{cd}	0.53 ^a	0.32 ^a
15	0.19 ^f	0.34 ^c	0.34 ^c	0.34 ^c	0.25 ^e	0.29 ^b
20	0.24 ^e	0.28 ^{de}	0.46 ^b	0.32 ^{cd}	0.25 ^e	0.31 ^a
Mean	0.23 ^c	0.25 ^c	0.37 ^a	0.33 ^b	0.34 ^b	


CV =5.19 HSD (0.01). mc=0.2 pm=0.3 mc*pm=0.06

241 *Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p=0.01$

242 **3.6 Total soluble solids (°Brix) during storage period.**


243 There were significant differences in Total soluble solids for mango chips processed at different moisture
 244 content over the storage period (Figure 14). Mango chips processed at 15% moisture content recorded highest
 245 total soluble solids (11.77) and mango chips processed at 10% moisture content recorded lowest total soluble
 246 solids (9.47) in the initial stage of storage. Total soluble solids reduced at the later stage of storage.

247 Processed chips at 10% moisture content recorded highest total soluble solids (9.53) and mango chips
 248 processed at 20% moisture content recorded least (6.93)



249
 250 Figure 14: Total soluble solids (° Brix) of mango chips processed at three moisture content over storage
 251 period.

252 Total soluble solids of Mango chips packaged using different packaging methods declined and increased
 253 during storage (Figure 15). Polyethylene seal packaging method lost the highest (12.29) total soluble solids to
 254 Polypropylene seal in month six (6) yet Polyethylene vacuum maintained the least (4.19) total soluble solid.



255
 256 Figure 15: Total soluble solids content (° Brix) of mango chips in different packages stored over storage period.

257 There were significant ($P \leq 0.01$) packaging methods and moisture content interaction for Total soluble solids
 258 during six (6) months storage (Table 6). Highest total soluble solids (10.86) were recorded by mango chips
 259 processed at 10% moisture content and packaged using Polypropylene seal. The least (1.87) was recorded by
 260 mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using plastic pack (clamshell). Across the
 261 different packaging methods, highest (9.98) total soluble solids was recorded by Polypropylene seal and the
 262 least (2.96) by plastic pack (clamshell). Across the moisture content, processed mango chips at 10% moisture
 263 content recorded the highest (8.91) total soluble solids and least (7.09) by moisture content at 20%.

264 Table 6: Means of Total soluble solids (° Brix) of mango chips dried to different moisture content and packaged
 265 using different methods and stored for six (6) months.

Moisture content	Packaging Methods					Means
	Plastic _{pack}	PolyProp _{seal}	PolyProp _{vac}	PolyEthy _{seal}	PolyEthy _{vac}	
10%	8.91	10.86	9.98	9.98	9.98	9.53
15%	7.09	9.98	9.98	9.98	9.98	9.53
20%	1.87	9.98	9.98	9.98	9.98	6.93

of chips (%)						
10	4.97 ^{h*}	10.86 ^a	9.98 ^{bc}	9.03 ^g	9.73 ^{cd}	8.91 ^a
15	2.05 ⁱ	9.73 ^{cd}	9.52 ^{de}	9.18 ^{fg}	4.98 ^h	7.13 ^b
20	1.87 ⁱ	9.35 ^{ef}	9.09 ^{fg}	10.07 ^b	5.24 ^h	7.09 ^b
Means	2.96 ^d	9.98 ^a	9.53 ^b	9.43 ^b	6.65 ^c	

CV =0.19 HSD (0.01).

mc=0.09

pm=0.14

mc*pm=0.29

*Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.01

4.0 DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Moisture content

There were significant ($P \leq 0.01$) moisture content and different packaging methods interaction on changes in moisture during six (6) months storage period. This result illustrated a general decrease in moisture over the storage period. Also, different packaging method, had a significant effect on the moisture content of mango chip due to the fact that, packaging method had a moisture reabsorption barrier properties (PolyEthylvac). According to [12], dried products absorb moisture from its surrounding which increases moisture content, decreasing shelf-life. Deterioration and chemical reactions could be higher in plastic pack (clamshell) with higher moisture content (20%) due to the proliferation characteristics of the packaging method during storage time [13].

4.2 Firmness

Low moisture content (10%) makes the chips quite brittle and crispy whiles the higher moisture (20%) renders it flabby. Mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged using polypropylene vacuum recorded the highest firmness and the least was recorded by mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using polyethylene vacuum. This suggests that moisture content at which the chips were processed had significant impact on firmness. According to [14], firmness is the result of complex interactions among food components at micro- and macro-structural levels. Again, [15] indicated that during drying of fruits and vegetables, several changes in texture and firmness are common (e.g.; hardness, cohesiveness, springiness and chewiness). The results of the present study corroborate their findings.

4.3 Vitamin C content during the storage period.

Results indicated that vitamin C content reduced when chips were processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using plastic pack (clamshell) whiles those processed at 10% moisture content and packaged a using polyethylene vacuum increased. Furthermore, higher reduction in Vitamin C content occurred when storage duration increased. This could be due to the fact that the vacuum polyethylene methods prevented exchange of gases between the dried chips at 10% moisture content and the storage environment. However, for the plastic pack (clamshell), there was a possibility of gas exchange between the chips and the environment which enhanced rapid oxidation of abundant Vitamin C leading to its breakdown. Oxidation becomes faster when dried products absorb moisture at higher temperature. According to [16] oxidation causes the disruption of the cell membrane leading to the release of membrane bound phytochemicals. The presence of oxygen could also initiate the conversion of Vitamin C to dehydroascorbic acid and other oxidized products. [17] indicated that light has a significant influence on the stability of Vitamin C during storage. This could also be a contributory factor for the loss in Vitamin C in the plastic pack (clamshell). The results of the

299 present study agree with findings of [18] who reported that the degradation rate of ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) of
300 dried tomato pulp increased with high temperature, longer storage period and higher moisture content.
301 Reduction in the Vitamin C could be attributed to the fact that, increasing moisture content increases water
302 activity a condition suitable for oxidative degradation of Vitamins C as noted by (1995) [19] and [9]. Vitamin C
303 is sensitive to air, light and heat.

304 **4.4 pH during the storage period.**

305 Results showed that pH of dried mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and stored in Polyethylene
306 seal was the highest and the least was least was recorded by mango chips processed at 20% moisture content
307 and packaged using plastic pack (clamshell) probably due to the effect of organisms responsible for the
308 spoilage, some of which can release basic substances into the samples. This corroborates with the work of
309 [20] who reported that certain organism were responsible for spoilage by releasing basic substances into food
310 products. The plastic pack (clamshell) undoubtedly enhanced rapid absorption of moisture by the chips
311 creating a conducive environment for microorganisms to proliferate. Furthermore, [21] also explained that the
312 pH values of vegetables and fruits being weakly acidic allow growth of certain microorganisms. The food
313 acidity (pH) is an important parameter in food. Food acidity not only affects flavor but also the growth and
314 survival of bacteria and other microorganism in foods. Water ionizes as temperature rises, so hydrogen ion
315 concentration rises which means that pH decreases.

316 **4.5 Total titratable acidity during the storage period.**

317 Titratable acidity gives a measure of the amount of acid present in a fruit [22]. Major acid in mango is known to
318 be caused by Citric acid [23]. The decline in acidity by mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and
319 packaged using polypropylene seal was probably due to susceptibility of citric acid to oxidative destruction as
320 influenced by the environment for storage [24]. Again, the reduction in acidity in ripening process was as a
321 result of starch hydrolysis which led to a rise in total sugars and a decline in acidity as opined by [25]. Chips
322 produced in this study had reduced sourness with potential taste improvement as a result of decreased acidity.

323 **4.6 Total soluble solids during the storage period.**

324 Highest total soluble solids were recorded by mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged
325 using Polypropylene seal. The least was recorded by mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and
326 packaged using plastic pack (clamshell). This could be due to the oxidation of dried mango chips kept in plastic
327 pack (clamshell) and processed at 20% which possibly absorbed moisture from its environment thereby
328 increasing the breakdown or hydrolysis of sugars. As the storage time increased this rate of sugar hydrolysis
329 was also increased thereby reducing the available sugars in the product. A study done by [26], showed that
330 mango pulps are mainly made up of fructose, with about 30% sucrose and 20% glucose. In addition, sucrose is
331 known to be the major sugar in mango [27]. The high increase in total soluble solids during ripening is reported
332 to be the major cause of significant increase in the amount of sucrose. This could probably due to the
333 conversion of starch to soluble sugars as the carbohydrates in the fruit are broken down into simple sugars
334 with the action of phosphorylase enzyme during ripening [28]. Conversely, the amylase enzyme is closely
335 associated with hydrolysis of starch in the ripening of mango fruit. The extent of sweetening was due to the
336 increase in total soluble solids during ripening [29].

337
338

5.0 CONCLUSION

The study revealed that mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and vacuum packaged using polypropylene were and recommended drier, firm and crispy during the storage period. For Vitamin C, mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and vacuum packaged using polyethylene had the highest Vitamin C content while mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using plastic pack (clamshell) had the least. Mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged in plastic pack (clamshell) had the least pH and mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and seal packaged using polyethylene recorded the least. Mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged in polypropylene sealed had the highest total soluble solids while mango chips processed at 20% moisture content and packaged using plastic pack (clamshell) had the least. Total titratable acid of mango chips processed at 10% moisture content and packaged in polypropylene seal had the lowest and highest recorded by 10% vacuumed in polyethylene.

COMPETING INTERESTS

“Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.”.

REFERENCES

1. Muchiri D R, Mahungu SM, Gituanja SN. Studies on mango (*Mangifera indica*, L.) kernel fat of some Kenyan varieties in Meru. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*. 2012. 89(9): 1567-1575.
2. Jedele, S., Hau, A. M., & von Oppen, M. An analysis of the world market for mangoes and its importance for developing countries. In *Conference on international agricultural research for development*. 2003
3. Tharanathan RN, Yashoda HM, Prabha TN. Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.), “The king of fruits”—An overview. *Food Reviews International*. 2006; 22(2): 95-123.
4. Benamba ER. Nutrition in Northern Ghana. *Vitamin A deficiency*. *The Northern Health Monitor*. 2005. 4(1): 25.
5. Narayana CK, Pal RK, Roy SK. Effect of pre-storage treatments and temperature regimes on shelf-life and respiratory behavior of ripe Baneshan mango. *Journal of food science and technology*. 1996; 33(1): 79-82.
6. Carillo Lopez A, Ramirez-Bustamante F, Valdez-Torres JB, Rojas-Villegas R, Yahia EM. Ripening and quality changes in mango fruit as affected by coating with an edible film. *Journal of Food Quality*. 2000 23(5): 479-486.
7. Hoa TT, Ducamp MN, Lebrun M, Baldwin EA. Effect of different coating treatments on the quality of mango fruit. *Journal of food quality*. 2002; 25(6): 471- 486.
8. Chassery S, Gormley TR. Quality and shelf life of pre-peeled vacuum-packed potatoes. *Farm and Food*. 1994; 4(2): 30-32.
9. Salunkhe DK, Bolin HR, Reddy NR. *Storage, processing, and nutritional quality of fruits and vegetables. Volume I. Fresh fruits and vegetables* (No. Ed. 2). CRC press. 1991.
10. Mditshwa A, Fawole OA, Al-Said F, Al-Yahyai R, Opara, UL. Phytochemical content, antioxidant capacity and physicochemical properties of pomegranate grown in different microclimates in South Africa. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*. 2013; 30(2): 81-90.
11. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) Official Methods of Analysis. 2005.
12. Hrazdina G, Parsons GF, Mattick LR. Physiological and biochemical events during development and maturation of grape berries. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*. 1984 35(4): 220-227.
13. Nyame B. *Quality of keitt mango chips as affected by method of drying, packaging and storage periods* (MPhil dissertation, KNUST). 2015.

- 386 14. Guihe L, Jian G, Cuijun Y, Nutritional evaluation of fresh and wilted mixed silage of naked oats (*Avena*
387 *nuda*) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 2015. 17(4).
- 388 15. Kotwaliwale N, Bakane P, Verma A. Changes in textural and optical properties of oyster mushroom
389 during hot air drying. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2007. 78(4): 1207-1211.
- 390 16. Lemmens L, Van Buggenhout S, Oey I, Van Loey A, Hendrickx M. Towards a better understanding of
391 the relationship between the β -carotene in vitro bio-accessibility and pectin structural changes: a case
392 study on carrots. *Food Research International*. 2009. 42(9): 1323-1330.
- 393 17. Alzamora SM, Gómez PL, Castro MA, Salvatori DM. Effect of ultraviolet-C light dose on quality of cut-
394 apple: Microorganism, color and compression behavior. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2010. 98(1): 60-
395 70.
- 396 18. Goula AM, Adamopoulos KG, Chatzitakis PC, Nikas VA. Prediction of lycopene degradation during a
397 drying process of tomato pulp. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2006. 74(1): 37-46.
- 398 19. Baldwin EA, Nisperos-Carriedo MO, Baker RA. Use of edible coatings to preserve quality of lightly (and
399 slightly) processed products. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 1995. 35(6): 509-524.
- 400 20. Garangyo T, Thiombiano T, Werem A, Drawara B, Sawadogo L. Etude de l'impact du sechage solaire
401 direct sur la teneur en vit c de la tomato. *Science Technology*. 1992. 20, 53-61.
- 402 21. Matazu IK, Umar RA, Ebbo AA, Akpeji AJ, Hassan SW. Hepatoprotective effect of leaf extracts of
403 *Parkinsonia aculeata* L. against CCl₄ intoxication in albino rats. *Int J Biol Chem*. 2008. 2(2): 42-48.
- 404 22. Dadzie BK, Orchard JE. *Routine post-harvest screening of banana/plantainhybrids: criteria and*
405 *methods* (Vol. 2). Bio-versity International. 1997.
- 406 23. Ihekoronye AI, Ngoddy PO. *Integrated food science and technology for the tropics*. Macmillan. 1985.
- 407 24. Aina JO. Physico-chemical changes in African mango (*Irvingia gabonensis*) during normal storage
408 ripening. *Food Chemistry*. 1990. 36(3): 205-212.
- 409 25. Fuchs Y, Pesis E, Zauberman G. Changes in amylase activity, starch and sugars contents in mango
410 fruit pulp. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 1980. 13(2): 155-160.
- 411 26. Faure P, Corticelli P, Richard MJ, Arnaud J, Coudray C, Halimi S, Roussel AM. Lipid peroxidation and
412 trace element status in diabetic ketotic patients: influence of insulin therapy. *Clinical Chemistry*. 1993.
413 39(5): 789-793.
- 414 27. Gil AM, Duarte IF, Barros A, Delgadillo I, Almeida C. Application of FTIR spectroscopy for the
415 quantification of sugars in mango juice as a function of ripening. *Journal of agricultural and food*
416 *chemistry*. 2002. 50(11): 3104-3111.
- 417 28. Mitra SK, Baldwin EA. Mango. *Postharvest physiology and storage of tropical and subtropical fruits*.
418 1997. Pp 85-122.
- 419 29. Jha SN, Chopra S, Kingsly ARP. (Determination of sweetness of intact mango using visual spectral
420 analysis. *Biosystems engineering*. 2005. 91(2): 157-161.