

## **Original Research Article**

### **“Determination of the Quality of Coconut Oils (unrefined grade) and (refined grade) produced from three survey regions of East Godavari district, India”**

#### **Abstract**

**Aim:** The study sought to assess the quality of coconut oil extracted from fresh copra milk by Traditional fermentation(FWCE) and from dry copra balls by continuous processing using expellers(TDCE,DMCE) method with respect to moisture content (MC), free fatty acid (FFA) content, Acid value (AV) and Saponification value in comparison with Food safety and standards authority of India. **Methodology:** Three samples of coconut oil were taken from major processing centers in east Godavari district (AMP, SML, KRG) for quality determination. Similarly three samples of coconut oil were prepared from fresh grated coconut milk in the Medicinal chemistry laboratory at Korangi College of pharmacy and three samples were prepared from dry coconut cups. Replicate titer values of each of the ten (10) oil samples obtained were compared with branded double refined oil and the averages were taken into consideration. The AOAC and AOCS methods were used in the analyses and the results compared with Standards provided by Food safety and standards authority of India, Coconut Development Board, Kochi. **Results:** The results showed that 50% of the total fermented oil sample had high moisture content than standard and expected to undergo oxidative rancidity. According to the standards, 100% of the oil samples had free fatty acids and acid value within acceptable range indicating no signs of rancidity. **Conclusion:** There was a significant difference in saponification value, free fatty acid profile and moisture content of Traditional fermented

(FWCE) and dry copra balls by continuous processing expellers (TDCE, DMCE) method. The ANOVA ( $P < 0.05$ ) showed that there were significant differences in the MC, FFA, AV of oils produced among the processing centers and that produced in laboratory. The processes involved in all the extraction centers vary and might have accounted for that. The results indicate that the coconut oils produced in both the cases meet the standards however new technologies in processing should be adopted to improve the quality to meet the standards.

**Keywords:** virgin coconut oil, Moisture content, free fatty acids, Acid value, Indian standards.

## Introduction

The coconut palm *Cocos nucifera*, is a member of the family Arecaceae (palm family) which grows well in humid areas in the region of the equator. The name coconut often refers to the entire plant, the seed or fruit (botanically drupe not nut). The freshly harvested coconut flesh has 50% moisture 34% oil, 2.2% ash, 3.0% fibre, 3.5% protein and 7.3% carbohydrate. Coconut has many uses which are of domestic, commercial and industrial importance. Fresh coconut kernel is used as snack and in pastries. Dried coconut flesh, copra, contains about 60-65% of oil which is produced by crushing the copra. Coconut oil contains 92% of saturated fatty acids and naturally has a very good flavor [1]. Coconut is used in domestic cooking, food processing industries particularly baking, pharmaceuticals, soaps, cosmetics and hair oil [2]. The moisture content of the oil is of great importance for many scientific, technical and economic reasons (Food Standards Committee, 1978). Low moisture content is a requirement for long storage life [3]. The maximum limit of moisture in edible oils according to Indian standards should be 0.1%-0.5%. Levels of acidity in fats and oils normally reflect the amount of fatty acids hydrolysed from triacylglycerols. In addition to free fatty acids, acid phosphates and amino acids can also

contribute to acidity. Acid value is defined as the milligram (mg) of KOH or NaOH necessary to neutralize the free acids present in 1g of fat or oil. High levels of free fatty acids are unwanted in crude oils since they end up in huge losses of neutral oil during refining. Acid value or FFA is often used to approximate the quantity of oil that will be vanished during refining steps intended to get rid of fatty acids in crude fats [4]. Free fatty acids are also undesirable in finished oils because they can cause off-flavors and shorten the shelf life of the oil.

According to standards the free fatty acids for edible virgin oil should not be more than 4mg KOH/g oil whilst 0.5 mg KOH/g oil is recommended by APCC. FFAs' influence the period of division of distinct cells which results in an inhibitory end product compared to the control medium's longer period of divisions [5]. A lot of reviews have been done on the quality of coconut oil internationally but little in Godavari region. Coconut is a major and a principal cash crop in Godavari district found particularly in the East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh with a 520,000 metric tons output in 2015 (MOFA, 2015). Small scale oil extraction forms a greater part of the coconut industry in the area. However, the quality of the oil is not known since no laboratory tests are conducted to determine the quality and nutritional characteristics such as free-fatty acid (FFA) content, moisture content and acid value. It is therefore necessary to determine the quality of oil produced in the area. Furthermore, the producers and marketers face the problem of off-flavors and aromas which are the major signs of rancidity and deterioration as high rate of deterioration in the rainy season is experienced by some oil sellers [6].

This study seeks to assess the quality (moisture content, free fatty acid content and acid value) of coconut oil produced in different processing centers in the East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh and to compare the out comes with standards.

## Materials and methods

### Sample Collection

Three different samples of coconut oil (500cm<sup>3</sup> per sample) were collected in hermetically sealed plastic containers from each of the three major coconut oil processing centers which have been randomly selected. The oil samples were kept at room temperature in the laboratory for analysis. The total numbers of samples analyzed were ten (10). The collection was done between July and September. These processing centers include: (AMP) Amalapuram, (SML) Samalkota, ( KRG) Korangi Village of east Godavari district.

Figure: 1 Samalkota oil Samples



Figure: 2 GPS Location



Figure: 3 Korangi oil Samples




Figure: 4 GPS Location



Figure: 5 Korangi oil Samples



Figure: 6 GPS Location



## **Preparation of virgin coconut oil**

Coconuts were collected from the three provinces, A voucher specimen, ASK/2018/01, was deposited at Korangi College of pharmacy, Dept.of Pharmacognosy, Kakinada. Virgin coconut oil (VCO) was separated from the coconut as follows. The fresh coconut meat was shredded and then cold-pressed to make coconut milk. The coconut milk was fermented for 48 h, where upon boiling the milk for 2hrs at a temperature of  $40^{\circ}\text{C}$  leaves behind the crude oil and it is naturally separated out by filtering. This unique process means that the pure oil does not have a coconut taste or odor. The virgin coconut oil was stored in air tight container for further investigations [7].

## **Analysis of Quality Parameters**

The parameters determined were moisture content, free fatty acids and acid value, Iodine value. Three replicate titer values of each of the Nine (09) oil samples were obtained and the averages found. The average values found were designated as AMP, SML, and KRG for each of the centers. These indices were chosen because bulk fats and oils can vary markedly in these parameters due to differences in source, composition and susceptibility to deterioration.

## **Moisture Content Determination**

**Air-Oven Method:** Moisture content of oils and fats is the loss in mass of the sample on heating at  $105\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  under operating conditions specified. Metal dishes 7 – 8 cm diameter and 2 - 3 cm deep provided with tight fitting slip on covers. Weigh in a previously dried and tarred dish about 5 - 10g of oil or fat which has been thoroughly mixed by stirring. Loosen the lid of the dish and heat, in an oven at  $105\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 1 hour. Remove the dish from the oven and close the lid. Cool in a desiccator containing phosphorus pentoxide or equivalent dessicant and weigh. Heat in the oven for a further period of 1 hour, cool and weigh. Repeat this process until change in weight

between two successive observations does not exceed 1 mg. Carry out the determination in duplicate [8].

$$\text{Moisture and volatile matter Percent by weight} = \frac{W_2}{W_1} \times 100$$

Where,  $W_2$  = Loss in gm of the material after drying

$W_1$  = Weight in gm of the material taken for test.

**Determination of Saponification Value:** The saponification value is the number of mg of potassium hydroxide required to saponify 1gram of oil/fat. The oil sample is saponified by refluxing with a known excess of alcoholic potassium hydroxide solution. The alkali required for saponification is determined by titration of the excess potassium hydroxide with standard hydrochloric acid. The saponification value is an index of mean molecular weight of the fatty acids of glycerides comprising a fat. Lower the saponification value, larger the molecular weight of fatty acids in the glycerides and vice-versa [9].

$$\text{Saponification Value} = \frac{(56.1) B-S}{W} \times N$$

Where,

B = Volume in ml of standard hydrochloric acid required for the blank.

S = Volume in ml of standard hydrochloric acid required for the sample

N = Normality of the standard hydrochloric acid and

W = Weight in gm of the oil/fat taken for the test.

**Determination of Acid Value** The acid value is defined as the number of milligrams of potassium hydroxide required to neutralize the free fatty acids present in one gram of fat. It is a relative measure of rancidity as free fatty acids are normally formed during decomposition of oil glycerides. The value is also expressed as per cent of free fatty acids calculated as oleic acid. The acid value is determined by directly titrating the oil/fat in an alcoholic medium against standard potassium hydroxide/sodium hydroxide solution [10].

$$\text{Acid value} = \frac{(56.1) VN}{W}$$

Where, V = Volume in ml of standard potassium hydroxide or sodium hydroxide used

N = Normality of the potassium hydroxide solution or Sodium hydroxide solution; and

W = Weight in g of the test sample

The acidity is frequently expressed as free fatty acid for which calculation shall be

$$\text{Free fatty acids as oleic acid} = \frac{28.2}{W} \times VN \text{ per cent by weight}$$

$$W \text{ Acid value} = \text{Percent fatty acid (as oleic)} \times 1.99$$

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using Graph pad prism version 5.0, one way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test for multiple comparisons. The Prism was used to run Analysis of Variance and the means compared using the least significant difference (LSD) to determine the significance levels of the parameters. Results obtained were compared with standards. The analysis was made to determine differences between and within the communities.

## Results

Saponification value, Acid value and moisture content are summarized in Table 1,2,3 which showed significant change in MC,SV,FFA & AV. In the present study, the saponification value obtained for the VCO samples in a range of 248-265 (mg KOH/g) oil which is in agreement with APCC standard. The similar results were applicable for moisture content in the range of 0.1-1.1(%).The saponification value is a measure of the average molecular weight of all the fatty acids present. The higher the saponification value, the shorter the fatty acids on the glycerol backbone. Fatty acid profile of the VCO as well as other coconut oil samples showed little difference and this could be the reason for similar saponification values.

**Table-1 Determination of study parameters –three survey regions**

S.no	Study design	Participant zone	MC	SV	FFA	AV
1	Fermented Wet –Lab-extracted oil (FWCE)	AMP	1.11±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	253.89±1.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.68±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.37±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
2	Traditional Dry-Lab-Milled oil (TDCE)		1.04±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	252.16±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.95±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.21±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
3	Processed Dry milled Traded oil(DMCE)		0.37±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	251.62±1.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.50±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.01±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
4	Branded solvent extracted oil(BSEO)	Parachute	0.1±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	248.01±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.31±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.98±0.01 <sup>a</sup>

Notes: Mean± SD ( $n = 3$ ); Mean values within the same superscript letters in the same column do not differ significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table-2**

S.no	Study design	Participant zone	MC	SV	FFA	AV
1	Fermented Wet –Lab-extracted oil (FWCE)	KRG	2.18±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	265.89±1.02 <sup>a</sup>	2.66±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.94±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
2	Traditional Dry-Lab-Milled oil (TDCE)		1.53±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	259.16±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.03±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.33±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
3	Processed Dry milled Traded oil(DMCE)		0.96±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	253.62±1.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.81±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.19±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
4	Branded solvent extracted oil(BSEO)	Parachute	0.1±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	248.01±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.31±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.98±0.01 <sup>a</sup>

Notes: Mean± SD ( $n = 3$ ); Mean values within the same superscript letters in the same column do not differ significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).



**Table-3**

S.no	Study design	Participant zone	MC	SV	FFA	AV
1	Fermented Wet –Lab-extracted oil (FWCE)	SML	1.69±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	260.89±1.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.99±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.58±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
2	Traditional Dry-Lab-Milled oil (TDCE)		1.32±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	256.16±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.27±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.29±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
3	Processed Dry milled Traded oil(DMCE)		0.25±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	251.62±1.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.94±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.17±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
4	Branded solvent extracted oil(BSEO)	Parachute	0.1±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	248.01±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.31±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.98±0.01 <sup>a</sup>


Notes: Mean± SD ( $n = 3$ ); Mean values within the same superscript letters in the same column do not differ significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table-4** Physico-chemical Characteristics of coconut oil as per standards

S.no	Study design	Process, expressed First grade refined oil			
		AOAC	CODEX	INDIAN	AOCS
1	Moisture at 105°C (%)	<0.1	0.1	0.25	0.1
2	Saponification value(mg KOH/g)	248-265	245-255	245-255	250-255
3	Fatty acid composition (relative %)	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3
4	Acid value (max)	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.5


## Discussion

The moisture contents (MC) of the oil from the AMP region were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower than those of KRG and SML (Figure- 7). Also SML was significantly lower than KRG. The Moisture content values of oil in KRG and SML constituting >50% within the recommended range of 0.2-0.5%. The high moisture contents shown in KRG may be due to limited drying periods. However the improper drying process will have some water component in the oil. The low moisture content is a requirement for a long storage life (Food Standards Committee, 1978).




**Fig:7 Graph indicating Moisture content**

The result shows that the free fatty acid (FFA) contents of oil in all the three centres (AMP, KRG, SML) were significantly higher ( $p > 0.05$ ) than the standard (Figure-8). This indicates that the FFA content from the entire centers does not satisfy the Indian requirement. The maximum limit of free fatty acid content is 0.5mg KOH/g oil, according to the Asian and Pacific Coconut Community (APCC) standard. However, compared to the APCC, the FFA content was significantly higher in all (100%) the centers. It indicates that the oil will show signs of rancidity and this confirms the findings. The differences among the communities might be due to variation in the processing of oil extraction.




**Fig:8 Graph indicating Free fatty acid values**

The acid values indicate that the oil prepared and collected in all the centers was insignificant. ( $p > 0.05$ ) The values were greater than the requirement (Figure-9) indicating moderate quality. The reference maximum level of 4mg KOH/g oil does not produce off-flavors and are also desirable for consumption. Acid values are dependent on FFA. According to literature, Acid value =  $1.99 \text{ FFA}$ . This means that the higher the FFA content the higher the acid value and vice versa. Therefore, higher acid values in finished oils are undesirable. All the oil samples (100%) did not meet the APCC standard range of 0.5mg KOH/g oil. This means that based on the APCC standard, the oils will go rancid with time, become undesirable for consumption and may require further refining. The Acid value (AV) content of the traded oil sample from the AMP zone was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower than those of KRG, SML



**Fig:9 Graph indicating acid values**

The saponification values clearly indicate an index of mean molecular weight of the fatty acids of glycerides comprising a fat. Lower the saponification value, larger the molecular weight of fatty acids in the glycerides and vice-versa. The saponification value (SV) of the lab processed wet copra derived oil from AMP zone was found excellent to meet the Indian standard requirements and the values are within the range. The rest of oils considered to be edible only for the short storage time and prolonged stocking of oils may lead to inedible (Figure-10). The saponification value (SV) content of the traded oil sample from the AMP zone was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower than those of KRG, SML.



**Fig:10 Graph indicating Saponification values**

### **Conclusions**

Among the two VCO (hot and cold extraction process) and traded samples, there was a significant difference in saponification value, fatty acid profile, Acid value and moisture content. In comparison to all the three oils Fermented wet copra derived oil from Amalapuram found to be better in terms of rancidity and almost similar with the traded first grade coconut oil. The good quality content gives a significant medicinal value in terms of diabetes and hyperlipidemia. Therefore, VCO has a wide future scope as functional oil. It can be incorporated in various products for fortification.

### **Abbreviations**

FWCE -Fermented wet copra extracted virgin coconut oil  
 TDCE-Traditional Dry copra-Lab-Milled oil  
 DMCE-Processed Dry copra -milled traded oil  
 BSEO-Branded solvent extracted oil

## References

1. Diana Moigradean, Mariana-Atena P., and Ioan Gogoasa. 2012. Quality characteristics and oxidative stability of coconut oil during storage. *Journal of Agroalimentary Processes and Technologies*. 18(4): 272-276.
2. Gopala Krishna AG, Gaurav R, Ajit Singh B, Prasanth Kumar PK and Preeti C. 2010. Coconut Oil: Chemistry, Production and Its Applications - A Review. *Indian Coconut Journal*. 15-27.
3. Pomeranz Y and Meloan CE. 1987. *Food analysis: Theory and Practice*. 2nd ed. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York. 81-765.
4. Kirk RS and Sawyer R. and Egan H. 1991. *Pearson's Composition and Analysis of Foods*, 9th ed. Addison Wesley Longman Ltd., England. 9-29, 608-640.
5. Sado Kamdem S, Guerzoni ME, Baranyi J and Pin C. 2008. "Effect of capric, lauric and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids on the division time distributions of single cells of *Staphylococcus aureus*," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 128(1): 122–128.
6. Baidoo EA and Johnson PNT. 2002. Rancidity profile of palm oil, palm kernel and coconut oil at two selected tertiary markets in Accra. Food Research Institute Report 011.
7. S. Intahphuak *etal* Anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic activities of virgin coconut oil, *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 48:2, 151-157,
8. I.S.I. Hand book of Food Analysis (Part XIII) – 1984, page 62)
9. A.O.A.C 17th edn, 2000, Official method 920.160 Saponification number of oils and fats / IUPAC 2. 202 / I.S.I Handbook of Food Analysis (Part XIII) 1984, page 78).

10. I.S.I. Handbook of Food Analysis (Part XIII)-1984 Page 67/ IUPAC 2.201(1979) / I.S:  
548 (Part 1) – 1964, Methods of Sampling and Test for Oils and Fats/ ISO 660:1996  
Determination of acid value and acidity).

UNDER PEER REVIEW