# Pattern of Pricing of Dairy Cattle and Buffaloes in Tamil Nadu, India

## Sudeep Kumar, N.K<sup>1\*</sup>, Nisha, P.R<sup>2</sup>, Senthil Kumar, S<sup>3</sup> and Senthil Kumar, G<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Director of Extension Education, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Madhavaram Milk Colony, Chennai – 600 051, sudeep66@hotmail.com <sup>2</sup> Professor, College of Food and Dairy Technology, Koduvalli, TANUVAS, Chennai – 600 052, nisha\_pr@hotmail.com <sup>3</sup> Associate Professor, Dept. of Veterinary and Animal Husbandry Extension, VCRI, Tirunelveli, usveteng@gtmail.com <sup>4</sup> Assistant Professor, Office of the Registrar, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai – 600 051

## ABSTRACT

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

1<u>8</u> 17

18 19

20 Dairy cattle and buffalo marketing in India is highly unorganized and their market prices are negotiated with hidden secret codes in livestock markets. In this context, 21 the present study was carried out with the objective of identifying the pattern of sales 22 of dairy animals and to ascertain the pricing of dairy cattle and buffaloes based on 23 their age, breed and yield. Primary data were collected from 525 dairy cattle and 24 25 buffalo farmers from seven randomly selected districts in the state of Tamil Nadu located in Southern India. The data were analysed through frequency, arithmetic 26 mean, percentages and standard deviation. Majority of the dairy farmers sold their 27 28 animals through middlemen and the rest sold their animals equally at their farm gate and shandies (livestock markets). The major reason for selling of animal was to meet 29 out family expenditure and about one-third of the dairy farmers sold because of 30 culling. The prices of dairy animals differed between the species (cattle and 31 buffaloes), age (number of calvings), presence of calf, sex of the calf, milk yield and 32 health status of the animals. Scientific price fixation need to be implemented so as to 33 regulate the dairy cattle and buffalo marketing. 34

- 35
- 36

Keywords : Marketing – Prices - Dairy breeds – Cattle – Buffalo

37

#### 38 1. INTRODUCTION (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

39

40 Dairy cattle trade is a common phenomenon existing for centuries in India. Cattle are sold and bought at fairs, shandies, daily and weekly markets and even at farm gate. Animals are 41 42 marketed directly by the owner to buyer or with the help of middlemen. The major share of 43 animal value was reaped by the unauthorized middlemen (Kumar<sup>1</sup>, 2012) The pricing of dairy cattle and buffaloes were not performed on scientific basis and found to be unorganized (Birthal<sup>2</sup>, 2014, Das<sup>3</sup>, 2016). There are no rules and regulations prevailing in 44 45 46 price fixation of dairy animals. In general, the buyers and sellers, decide the value of a dairy 47 animal based on breed, order and stage of lactation, lactation yield, udder size and 48 morphology, teat structure and position, sex of the calf, colour, temperament, whirls, etc. 49 (Selvakumar<sup>4</sup>, 2003). Animal owners fix the price of dairy animals based on various 50 traditional factors and use secret code words to define the market price in which bargaining 51 is hidden, as the bargainers close their digits with towel and negotiate through finger 52 palpation. Studies pertaining to pricing of dairy cattle and buffaloes are essential and need of the hour for giving proper guidelines in dairy animal price fixation and to minimize the 53 54 interference of the intermediaries. Hence, the present study was carried out with the specific 55 objectives viz., to identify the pattern of sales of dairy animals and to ascertain the age, 56 breed and yield-wise pricing of dairy cattle and buffaloes.

- 57

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS / EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS / METHODOLOGY 58 (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS) 59

60

61 For the present study, seven districts in Tamil Nadu viz., Tiruvannamalai, Vellore, Namakkal, 62 Salem, Tirunelveli, Madurai and Thiruchirapalli covering four agro-climatic zones of Tamil 63 Nadu were selected based on the secondary data of dairy cattle and buffalo population and 64 milk production. Among dairy cattle, three breeds namely Jersey cross, Holstein-Friesian 65 (HF) cross and non-descript breeds were chosen. Among buffalo owners, data were 66 collected from two breed owners viz., Murrah graded and non-descript. Sample respondents 67 of 75 dairy cattle and buffalo owners each from the seven districts were selected through 68 stratified random sampling making the total sample size as 525. The data pertaining to the 69 objectives of the study were collected using a pre-tested interview schedule between 70 October 2010 and January 2011. The value of dairy cattle and buffaloes at various age 71 groups with or without calf were ascertained from the respondents. The details on place of 72 selling, purpose of selling with average value of animals were summarized and analysed 73 through frequency, arithmetic mean, percentages and standard deviation.

74

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

75 76

### 77 3.1 Pattern and purpose of sales of dairy cattle and buffaloes

78 It is evident from the Table 1 that out of 525 dairy cattle and buffalo owners, 45.33 per cent 79 sold their animals through middlemen, 26.29 per cent at their farm gate and 24.00 per cent 80 at shandies. It is clear from the table that the rest 4.38 per cent of respondents have not sold 81 their cattle and buffaloes. Similar scenario was observed among 370 dairy cattle farmers and 82 155 dairy buffalo farmers. The breed-wise analysis on place of selling dairy animals 83 indicated that half of HF cross-bred cattle were sold through middlemen and about 21 per 84 cent each at farm gate and shandies. However, it was 45.29 per cent, 31.18 per cent and 85 22.35 per cent, respectively for Jersey cross cattle. It is peculiar to note that the non-descript 86 cattle were sold to a tune of 37.14 per cent in shandies, followed by middlemen (34.29 per 87 cent) and farm gate (25.71 per cent). More or less, same trend was seen in non-descript buffaloes. However, Murrah graded buffaloes followed the trend of HF cross cattle. Only one-fourth of the buffalo farmers sold their animals at their farm gate, which is in contrast to the findings of Jadoun *et al.*<sup>5</sup> (2014). The factors like lack of marketing information, unscientific price fixation, lack of awareness, absence of regulated livestock markets and forced selling of animals might be the reasons for the farmers to depend on middlemen for selling their animals.

95				(in nu	mbers)					
			Dairy	Cattle		C	Dairy Buffalo			
S.	Particulars	Jersey	HF	Non-	Overall	Murrah	Non-	Overall	dairy	
No.	Farticulars	cross	cross	descript	cattle	graded	descript	buffalo	animal	
		(n=170)	(n=165)	(n=35)	(n=370)	(n=75)	(n=80)	(n=155)	(n=525)	
1	Through	77	81	12	170	42	26	68	238	
I	Middlemen	(45.29)	(49.09)	(34.29)	(45.95)	(56.00)	(32.50)	(43.87)	(45.33)	
2	Farm gate /	53	36	9	98	20	20	40	138	
2	House	(31.18)	(21.82)	(25.71)	(26.49)	(26.67)	(25.00)	(25.81)	(26.29)	
3	Shandies	38	35	13	86	11	29	40	126	
3	Shanules	(22.35)	(21.21)	(37.14)	(23.24)	(14.67)	(36.25)	(25.81)	(24.00)	
4	Not sold	2	13	1	16	2	5	7	23	
4	NOT SOID	(1.18)	(7.88)	(2.86)	(4.32)	(2.67)	(6.25)	(4.52)	(4.38)	
	Total	170	165	35	370	75	80	155	525	
	Total	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	

94	Table 1.	Pattern of sale of dairy cattle and buffaloes by the sample respondents

96 Figures in parentheses indicate percentage to the number of respondents

97

The purpose of selling of dairy cattle from the sample respondents is presented in Table 2 and it revealed that out of the total sample respondents, about 45 per cent sold their cattle and buffaloes to meet out their family expenditure, which concurs with findings of Senthilkumar *et al*<sup>6</sup>. (2012), Ramesh *et al*<sup>7</sup>. (2012) and Ekka<sup>8</sup> (2016). About 28 per cent for the farmers sold due to culling and about 23 per cent sold due to management difficulty. The present results contradicts with the findings of Bhattacharjya<sup>9</sup> (2017) who reported reasons for selling goats as urgent need for money, fodder scarcity, fear of sickness and natural

105 calamities.

106 A more or less, similar trend was observed among overall dairy cattle farmers with the 107 percentage of 42.43, 28.92 and 24.33, respectively for family expenditure, culling and 108 management difficulty, respectively. However, about one-half of the dairy buffalo farmers 109 sold their animals to meet out family expenditure, about one-fourth for culling and about one-110 fifth due to management difficulty. No sales were noticed among four per cent of dairy cattle 111 and buffalo owners. The purpose of selling the Jersey cross cattle was similar with that of 112 overall dairy cattle. However, in HF cross cattle, the reasons for selling was in the order of 113 family expenditure (37.58 per cent), management difficulty (27.87 per cent) and culling 114 (26.67 per cent). As HF cross cattle are high yielding animals, it has to be managed well, 115 failing which milk production will be hampered thereby increasing the cost of production. In 116 case of non-descript cows and buffaloes, remarkable percentage of farmers (about 57 to 61 117 per cent) sold their animals for meeting family expenditure. Indian farmers treat non-descript 118 cattle and buffaloes as their mobile bank. Hence, as and when there is a need for family 119 expenditure, they tend to sell their animals to meet out their expenditure. It was noticed that 120 comparatively lesser percentage of animals were sold since they have to be culled (15 to 17 121 per cent) among non-descript cows and buffaloes. As the farmers maintained these animals 122 traditionally, they do not follow scientific practice of culling and this might be the reasons for 123 the above results.

	124 <b>Lable</b> 125	2. P	urpose of s	•	nbers)	by the sam	ple respon	aents	
			Dairy	Cattle		D	airy Buffal	0	Overall
S. No.	Particulars	Jersey cross	HF cross	Non- descript	Overall cattle	Murrah graded	Non- descript	Overall buffalo	dairy animal
		(n=170)	(n=165)	(n=35)	(n=370)	(n=75)	(n=80)	(n=155)	(n=525)
1	Family	75	62	20	157	29	49	78	235
1	expenditure	(44.12)	(37.58)	(57.14)	(42.43)	(38.67)	(61.25)	(50.32)	(44.75)
2	Culling	57	44	6	107	28	12	40	147
2	Culling	(33.53)	(26.67)	(17.14)	(28.92)	(37.33)	(15.00)	(25.81)	(28.00)
3	Difficulty in	36	46	8	90	16	14	30	120
3	management	(21.18)	(27.87)	(22.85)	(24.32)	(21.33)	(17.50)	(19.35)	(22.87)
4		2	13	1	16	2	5	7	23
4	No sales	(1.17)	(7.88)	(2.87)	(4.33)	(2.67)	(6.25)	(4.52)	(4.38)
	Total	170	165	35	370	75	80	155	525
	Total	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)

and of colling doing animal by the comple reconcidents 101 Tabla 2

126 Figures in parentheses indicate percentage to the number of respondents 127

#### 128 3.2 Pricing of dairy cattle breeds

129 On perusal of Table 3, it is clear that among different breeds of cattle, HF cross cattle fetched comparatively higher price followed by Jersey cross and non-descript cattle. Higher 130 milk yield might be the reason for this scenario. The value of pregnant heifer of HF cross 131 cattle was found to be Rs.19,793.94, followed by Jersey cross (Rs.17,264.71) and non-132 descript cattle(Rs.12,352.94). With female calf, the value of HF cross cow at first calving was 133 found to be Rs.23,103.03, which further increased to Rs.21,896.97 upto fourth calving and 134 there after decreased to Rs.15,012.20 for more than 5 calvings. The value of Jersey cross 135 136 cow with male calf was at Rs.17,911.76 at first calving and increased to about Rs. 17500 137 upto third calving and thereafter decreased. It is peculiar to note that the value of non-138 descript cows decreased with increase in number of calving. With female calf, its value was found to decrease from Rs.14,705.88 (first calving) to Rs.7261.76 (more than 5 calvings). 139 However with male calf, non-descript cow valued at Rs.14,617.67 (first calving) to 140 Rs.7705.88 (more than 5 calvings). Without calf, they were sold at Rs.12,147.06 at its first 141 calving and its value decreased to Rs.6882.35 at the stage of more than five calvings. The 142 143 dry cows of different breeds of cattle were valued at Rs.7589.63 for HF cross, Rs.6716.77 144 for Jersey cross and Rs.5264.71 for non-descript breed. In case of sick animals, the values 145 were at Rs.3206.49, Rs.2998.13 and Rs.2161.29, respectively for HF cross, Jersey cross 146 and non-descript cattle, respectively.

1	47 Table 3. Valua	ation of dai	ry cattle b	reeds (in ru	ipees)		
S.No.	Particulars	Jersey (n=1		HF cr (n=1		Non-de (n=3	•
		Value	S.D	Value	S.D	Value	S.D
1	Female calf (0 to 6 months age)	2204.71	1066.42	2643.03	1383.93	2617.65	1637.90
2	Female calf (7 to 12 months age)	4184.12	1877.34	5160.61	2644.02	3794.12	1528.11

3Male calf1470.4Heifer8348.5Pregnant heifer17264.6Cow with male calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 17911.7Cow with female calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 19941.8Cow without calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 15747.9Cow with male calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 19417.10Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 19417.11Cow with nale calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 16923.12Cow with male calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 19197.13Cow with female calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 16941.14Cow without calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 16941.15Cow with male calf $(4^{th} calving)$ 17588.	.524268.43.714163.16.764123.59.184419.84.654360.38.655003.46.825142.95.535498.52	9429.70 19793.94 20295.15 23103.03 17945.45 21581.82	2385.89 3613.70 4913.27 4486.44 4615.22 4329.78 5328.33	3197.06 6720.59 12352.94 14617.65 14705.88 12147.06	1629.93 2520.19 2901.23 2498.66 2552.83 2720.65
5Pregnant heifer $17264$ 6Cow with male calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving) $17911$ 7Cow with female calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving) $19941$ 8Cow with out calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving) $15747$ 9Cow with male calf(2 <sup>nd</sup> calving) $19417$ 10Cow with female calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving) $21808$ 11Cow with female calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving) $16923$ 12Cow with male calf(3 <sup>rd</sup> calving) $19197$ 13Cow with female calf(3 <sup>rd</sup> calving) $21488$ 14Cow with out calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving) $16941$ 15Cow with male calf(4 <sup>th</sup> calving) $17588$	.714163.16.764123.59.184419.84.654360.38.655003.46.825142.95.535498.52	19793.9420295.1523103.0317945.4521581.82	4913.27 4486.44 4615.22 4329.78	12352.94 14617.65 14705.88 12147.06	2901.23 2498.66 2552.83
6Cow with male calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 179117Cow with female calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 199418Cow without calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 197479Cow without calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 1941710Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 1941711Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 1692312Cow with male calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 1919713Cow with female calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 2148814Cow without calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 1694115Cow with male calf $(4^{th} calving)$ 17588	.764123.59.184419.84.654360.38.655003.46.825142.95.535498.52	20295.15 23103.03 17945.45 21581.82	4486.44 4615.22 4329.78	14617.65 14705.88 12147.06	2498.66 2552.83
7Cow with female calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 199418Cow without calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 157479Cow with male calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 1941710Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 2180811Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 1692312Cow with male calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 1919713Cow with female calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 2148814Cow without calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 1694115Cow with male calf $(4^{th} calving)$ 17588	.184419.84.654360.38.655003.46.825142.95.535498.52	23103.03 17945.45 21581.82	4615.22 4329.78	14705.88 12147.06	2552.83
8Cow without calf $(1^{st} calving)$ 157479Cow with male calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 1941710Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 2180811Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} calving)$ 1692312Cow with male calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 1919713Cow with female calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 2148814Cow without calf $(3^{rd} calving)$ 1694115Cow with male calf $(4^{th} calving)$ 17588	.654360.38.655003.46.825142.95.535498.52	17945.45 21581.82	4329.78	12147.06	
9Cow with male calf( $2^{nd}$ calving)1941710Cow with female calf ( $2^{nd}$ calving)2180811Cow with out calf ( $2^{nd}$ calving)1692312Cow with male calf( $3^{rd}$ calving)1919713Cow with female calf( $3^{rd}$ calving)2148814Cow without calf ( $3^{rd}$ calving)1694115Cow with male calf( $4^{th}$ calving)17588	.65 5003.46 .82 5142.95 .53 5498.52	21581.82			2720.65
10Cow with female calf $(2^{nd} \text{ calving})$ 2180811Cow without calf $(2^{nd} \text{ calving})$ 1692312Cow with male calf $(3^{rd} \text{ calving})$ 1919713Cow with female calf $(3^{rd} \text{ calving})$ 2148814Cow without calf $(3^{rd} \text{ calving})$ 1694115Cow with male calf $(4^{th} \text{ calving})$ 17588	.82 5142.95 .53 5498.52		5328.33	4 4 9 9 9 6 4	
11Cow without calf $(2^{nd} \text{ calving})$ 1692312Cow with male calf $(3^{rd} \text{ calving})$ 1919713Cow with female calf $(3^{rd} \text{ calving})$ 2148814Cow without calf $(3^{rd} \text{ calving})$ 1694115Cow with male calf $(4^{th} \text{ calving})$ 17588	.53 5498.52	24775.76		14088.24	3008.75
12Cow with male calf(3rd calving)1919713Cow with female calf(3rd calving)2148814Cow without calf (3rd calving)1694115Cow with male calf(4th calving)17588		-	5269.97	13205.88	4903.80
13Cow with female calf(3rd calving)21488.14Cow without calf (3rd calving)16941.15Cow with male calf(4th calving)17588.		19230.30	5691.91	11411.76	3322.26
14Cow without calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving)1694115Cow with male calf(4 <sup>th</sup> calving)17588	.65 5990.98	21539.39	6419.53	13029.41	3588.58
15 Cow with male calf(4 <sup>th</sup> calving) 17588	.24 6172.56	24612.12	5958.79	12852.94	4053.67
	.18 6084.42	19018.18	6371.12	10029.41	3857.19
	.24 6099.61	19100.00	6574.43	11558.82	3799.45
16 Cow with female calf(4 <sup>th</sup> calving) 19657.	.65 5979.95	21896.97	6337.68	11441.18	3823.30
17 Cow without calf (4 <sup>th</sup> calving) 15056	.47 5689.56	16775.76	6230.68	8588.24	3340.46
18 Cow with male calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving) 14547.	.06 5077.58	16175.76	6286.80	9735.29	3776.39
19 Cow with female calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving) 16950.	.00 5193.49	18993.94	6309.59	9911.76	3800.86
20 Cow without calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving) 12435	.29 4741.72	13370.91	5932.31	6882.35	3291.54
21 Cow with male calf (more than 5 calving) 11797.	.06 4469.65	13284.85	7523.79	7705.88	3614.19
22 Cow with female calf(more than 5 calving) 13438	.24 4890.58	15012.20	5655.76	7261.76	3219.07
23 Cow without calf (more than 5 g500.	.00 3886.71	9936.59	4358.13	5544.12	2807.91
24 Dry cow 6716	.77 2279.89	7589.63	2953.29	5264.71	2178.53
25 Sick animal 2998		3206.49	1914.81	2161.29	1872.55

148

## 149 3.3 Pricing of dairy buffalo breeds

150 On perusal of Table 4, it is clear that the value of Murrah graded buffalo was found to be 151 higher than non-descript buffalo. Higher milk yield among Murrah graded buffalo might be 152 the reason for this result. The value of female calf of Murrah graded buffalo was Rs.2872.00 153 at the age of upto 6 months and Rs.4878.67 at the age of 7-12 months. However, its male 154 calf was valued to a minimum at Rs.1801.33. The value of pregnancy in Murrah graded 155 buffalo heifers was clearly evinced by its difference in value for about Rs.10,000. The value 156 of Murrah graded buffalo cow with and without calves were found to be above Rs.20,000 157 upto four calvings except in Murrah graded buffalo without calf at first and fourth calving. The 158 dry Murrah graded buffalo fetched a lesser price of Rs.7756.76 and that of sick animal at Rs.3245.59. Comparison of Table 3 and Table 4 indicated that non-descript buffaloes 159 160 fetched a higher value when compared to non-descript cows. The value of non-descript 161 buffalo with male calf was found to be increasing from first calving (Rs.18,600.00) to second 162 calving (Rs.18,753.75). Further, it decreased to Rs.18,600.00 at third calving, Rs.17,893.75

at fourth calving, Rs.15,612.50 at fifth calving. In case of non-descript buffaloes with female calf, the value stood at Rs.21,850 at first calving and increased to Rs.23,875 at third calving and thereafter deceased to Rs.14,772.50 at the stage of more than five calvings. The value of non-descript buffalo without calf followed similar pattern as that of non-descript buffalo with female calf. The dry non-descript buffalo fetched Rs.8298.73 and sick animal fetched the least (Rs.2314.04).

			graded	Non-descript		
S.No.	Particulars	(n=	75)	(n=80)		
		Value	S.D	Value	S.D	
1	Female calf (0 to 6 months age)	2872.00	1599.29	1907.50	477.01	
2	Female calf (7 to 12 months age)	4878.67	2796.05	3916.25	1167.65	
3	Male calf	1801.33	1466.33	1320.00	1288.65	
4	Heifer	8786.67	2986.65	8300.00	2111.75	
5	Pregnant heifer	18386.67	4862.69	19375.00	4082.61	
6	Cow with male calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving)	20266.67	4198.88	18600.00	3527.83	
7	Cow with female calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving)	22666.67	4173.06	21850.00	3522.44	
8	Cow without calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving)	16933.33	5484.83	15912.50	2904.36	
9	Cow with male calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving)	22277.33	5517.63	18753.75	4565.94	
10	Cow with female calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving)	25066.67	4924.66	23387.50	3541.77	
11	Cow without calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving)	20053.33	5826.07	16425.00	2980.00	
12	Cow with male calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving)	23280.00	6985.62	18600.00	5755.79	
13	Cow with female calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving)	25466.67	6562.25	23875.00	3879.11	
14	Cow without calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving)	20906.67	7188.91	16581.25	3524.28	
15	Cow with male calf (4 <sup>th</sup> calving)	21253.33	6971.16	17893.75	4343.40	
16	Cow with female calf (4 <sup>th</sup> calving)	24130.67	6675.85	20975.00	5419.08	
17	Cow without calf (4 <sup>th</sup> calving)	18146.67	6562.99	15175.00	3734.12	
18	Cow with male calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving)	17173.33	6717.01	15612.50	4493.30	
19	Cow with female calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving)	19400.00	6571.85	18137.50	5182.11	
20	Cow without calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving)	14180.00	5830.16	12650.00	3077.48	
21	Cow with male calf (more than 5 calving)	12933.33	5622.31	12181.25	5338.68	
22	Cow with female calf (more than 5 calving)	15233.33	6026.03	14772.50	5330.95	
23	Cow without calf (more than 5 calving)	10526.67	4790.12	9687.50	3429.56	
24	Dry cow	7756.76	3377.24	8298.73	2144.49	
25	Sick animal	3245.59	2356.92	2314.04	1731.58	

Table 4.Valuation of dairy buffalo breeds (in rupees)

170

169

## 171 **3.4 Pricing of overall dairy cattle and buffalo breeds**

172 The valuation pattern of overall dairy cattle is shown in Table 5. The average value of male 173 calf was found to be the least (Rs.1806.89) followed by female calf and heifers. The value of 174 pregnant heifer (Rs.17,932.43) was found to be double when compared to non-pregnant 175 heifers (Rs.8676.61). The value of cow with male calf at first calving was observed to be Rs.18,664.05 and it increased to Rs.19,881.08 at second calving. However, from third 176 177 calving onwards, the value decreased to Rs.19,661.08, Rs.17,695.95, Rs.14,824.32, 178 Rs.12,079.73 and so on. Similar trend was observed for the value of cows with female calves with a value of Rs.20,854.05 (first calving), Rs.22,320.27 (second calving), 179 180 Rs.22,064.86 (third calving), Rs.19,880.54 (fourth calving), Rs.17,198.65 (fifth calving) and 181 Rs.13,556.64 (more than 5 calvings). The value of dairy cattle without calf also had similar 182 pattern of valuation. The value of cow without calf was found to be lesser than the value of cow with calf. It is obvious that the cow and calf fetched higher price than cow alone due to 183 184 the calf value. Further, it was found that the cow with female calf had higher value than cow 185 with male calf due to its utility value of female calves as dairy animals and male calves were 186 exclusively sold for meat purpose only. The average value of dry cow was found to be 187 Rs.6968.31. The value of sick animals was observed to be extremely low at Rs.3010.12, as 188 cows were not slaughtered for meat.

189 The average value of male buffalo calf was found to be Rs.1552.90. The value of female calf 190 (upto 6 months), female calf (7-12 months), heifer and pregnant heifers of overall buffalo 191 was found to be Rs.2374.19, Rs.4381.94, Rs.8535.48 and Rs.18,896.77, respectively. The 192 value of buffalo increased from first calving upto third calving and thereafter it was found to 193 decrease. The scenario of buffalo value without calf were Rs.16,406.45, Rs.18,180.65, 194 Rs.18,674.19, Rs.16,612.90, Rs.13,390.32 and Rs.10,093.55 at the stage of first to more 195 than five calvings, respectively. With male calf, buffalo cow was valued at Rs.19,406.45 at 196 first calving and increased to Rs.20,864.52 at third calving and there after decreased to 197 Rs.12,545.16 at the stage of more than five calvings. The value of buffalo cow with female 198 calf was observed to be maximum at the stage of third calving (Rs.24,645.16). At the first 199 and second calving, their values were observed at Rs.22,245.16 and Rs.24,200.00, 200 respectively. However, they were Rs.22,501.94, Rs.18,748.39 and Rs.14,995.48 at fourth, 201 fifth and more than five calvings, respectively. The table clearly evinced that the value of 202 buffalo with female calf was found to be more followed by with male calf and without calf. 203 This might be due to the utility of female calf in terms of future milk production and male for 204 beef production.

205 Table 5 showed that the value of male calf of overall dairy cattle and buffalo was found to be 206 Rs.1731.90 and that of female calf was at Rs.2417.90 (upto 6 month of age) and Rs.4520.95 207 (7-12 months of age). The value of heifer was observed to be Rs.8634.16 and pregnancy 208 increased the value of heifer by Rs.9582.98. On perusal of table, it is evident that the overall 209 dairy cattle and buffalo with male calf valued Rs.18,883.24, Rs.20,051.62, Rs.20,016.38, 210 Rs.18,234.29 and Rs.15,280.00 at the stage of first to five calvings, respectively. However, it 211 was Rs.21,264.76, Rs.22,875.24, Rs.22,826.67, Rs.20,654.48 and Rs.17,656.19, 212 respectively for overall dairy cattle and buffalo with female calf. However, in case of dairy 213 animal without calf, the overall dairy cattle and buffalo fetched lower price at Rs.16,392.57 at 214 first calving stage and Rs.9552.67 at more than 5 calvings stage. The average value of dry 215 cow was found to be at Rs.7283.24 and about Rs.3000 for sick animals.

216

S.No.	Particulars	Overall cattle (n=370)		Overall buffalo (n=155)		Overall dairy animal (n=525)	
		Value	S.D	Value	S.D	Value	S.D
1	Female calf (0 to 6 months age)	2436.22	1288.89	2374.19	1256.82	2417.90	1278.62
2	Female calf (7 to 12 months age)	4579.19	2285.08	4381.94	2165.39	4520.95	2250.15
3	Male calf	1806.89	2013.00	1552.90	1394.02	1731.90	1854.21
4	Heifer	8675.61	3922.18	8535.48	2575.55	8634.16	3575.15
5	Pregnant heifer	17932.43	4907.63	18896.77	4489.78	18217.14	4804.03
6	Cow with male calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving)	18664.05	4504.15	19406.45	3943.92	18883.24	4355.75
7	Cow with female calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving)	20854.05	5025.17	22245.16	3860.12	21264.76	4750.29
8	Cow without calf (1 <sup>st</sup> calving)	16386.76	4548.05	16406.45	4364.03	16392.57	4490.36
9	Cow with male calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving)	19881.08	5426.09	20458.71	5333.34	20051.62	5400.24
10	Cow with female calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving)	22320.27	6104.62	24200.00	4335.60	22875.24	5701.22
11	Cow without calf (2 <sup>nd</sup> calving)	17432.43	5849.58	18180.65	4916.80	17653.33	5596.21
12	Cow with male calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving)	19661.08	6459.20	20864.52	6778.57	20016.38	6571.61
13	Cow with female calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving)	22064.86	6767.32	24645.16	5389.67	22826.67	6494.23
14	Cow without calf (3 <sup>rd</sup> calving)	17216.22	6532.74	18674.19	5992.31	17646.67	6406.95
15	Cow with male calf (4 <sup>th</sup> calving)	17695.95	6477.51	19519.35	5988.87	18234.29	6385.99
16	Cow with female calf (4 <sup>th</sup> calving)	19880.54	6641.43	22501.94	6243.64	20654.48	6629.52
17	Cow without calf (4 <sup>th</sup> calving)	15215.14	6188.58	16612.90	5483.61	15627.81	6017.84
18	Cow with male calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving)	14824.32	5823.18	16367.74	5713.98	15280.00	5828.47
19	Cow with female calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving)	17198.65	6148.60	18748.39	5910.14	17656.19	6114.63
20	Cow without calf (5 <sup>th</sup> calving)	12332.97	5491.95	13390.32	4666.92	12645.14	5279.57
21	Cow with male calf (more than 5 calving)	12079.73	6159.33	12545.16	5472.89	12217.14	5963.51
22	Cow with female calf (more than 5 calving)	13556.64	5545.25	14995.48	5664.02	13982.25	5613.83
23	Cow without calf (more than 5 calving)	9325.47	4190.90	10093.55	4151.66	9552.67	4190.08
24	Dry cow	6968.31	2677.95	8036.60	2812.75	7283.24	2759.07
25	Sick animal	3010.12	1774.09	2820.80	2138.37	2959.87	1877.16
26	Value per litre of milk (with calf)	2269.16	2447.83	2619.44	787.34	2357.34	2158.25
27	Value per litre of milk (with out calf)	1904.05	2741.38	2347.52	2883.07	2010.19	2778.94

## 217Table 5.Valuation of overall dairy cattle and buffalo (in rupees)

210

219

#### 220 3.5 Pricing of dairy cattle and buffalo based on milk yield

221 The valuation of dairy cattle and buffalo based on milk yield is presented in Table 6. 222 From the table, it is clear that each litre of milk produced increased value of dairy cattle and 223 buffalo by Rs.2357.34 for animals with calf and Rs.1924.88 for animals without calf. Among 224 cattle and buffaloes with calf, buffalo milk had more influence on the animal value 225 (Rs.2619.44) than cow (Rs.2269.16). The trend was similar for dairy cattle and buffalo 226 without calf but with lesser value averaging Rs.300 when compared to the animals with calf. 227 As fat percentage in buffalo milk is higher than the cow milk, it fetched higher market price, 228 which reflected in animal valuation also. Among different breeds of cattle with calf, the value 229 of HF cross cattle increased by Rs.2698.55 per litre of milk. However, it was Rs.2095.39 for 230 Jersey cross cattle and Rs.1216.67 for non-descript cattle. Among buffalo breeds, Murrah 231 graded buffalo with calf fetched the rate of Rs.2842.22 per litre of milk and for that of non-232 descript buffalo it was Rs.2460.32. Similar trend was observed among different breeds of 233 cattle and buffalo without calf, however at the value lesser than the respective breeds of 234 cattle and buffalo with calf.

C No	Dortiouloro	Sample	With	calf	Without calf		
S.No.	Particulars	size	Value	S.D	Value	S.D	
1	Jersey cross cow	170	2095.39	2248.25	1728.95	2449.80	
2	HF cross cow	165	2698.55	2802.45	2333.33	3227.62	
3	Non-descript cow	35	1216.67	677.77	853.33	491.12	
Α	Overall cow	370	2269.16	2447.83	1904.05	2741.38	
1	Murrah graded	75	2842.22	1010.29	2082.05	1864.33	
2	Non descript	80	2460.32	532.66	1933.87	466.92	
В	Overall buffalo	155	2619.44	787.34	1991.09	1207.90	
С	Overall dairy animal	525	2357.34	2158.25	1924.88	2461.75	

(in rupees)

235 Table 6. Valuation of dairy cattle and buffalo based on per litre of milk y
---

236

### 238 239 4. CONCLUSION

240

241 It could be concluded from the study that about one-half of the dairy farmers depend on 242 middlemen for their animals' sale and purchase. Only one-third of the dairy farmers followed 243 the scientific practice of culling. The prices of dairy animals differed between the species 244 (cattle and buffaloes), age (number of calvings), presence of calf, sex of the calf, milk yield 245 and health status of the animals. Among various species, dairy buffalo fetched more price 246 than dairy cattle. Among various cattle breeds, HF cross fetched more price and likewise 247 Murrah graded fetched more price among dairy buffaloes. The value of dairy animal was 248 found to increase upto three calving and there after found to decrease. Each litre of milk was 249 found to increase the value of dairy cattle and dairy buffalo with calf by Rs.2269 and Rs. 250 2619, respectively. Thus, efforts might be taken to educate the farmers about scientific price 251 fixation so as to avoid malpractices and intervention of middlemen.

\* E-mail address: <a href="mailto:sudeep66@hotmail.com">sudeep66@hotmail.com</a>

### 252 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Institute for Financial Management and Research, Chennai for the financial assistance and Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai-51 for having permitted to carry out the research project.

257 258

260

253

## 259 COMPETING INTERESTS

261 <u>Authors have declared that no competing interests exist</u> 262

## 263 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

264

Author 1 designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, edited and finalized the draft, Author 2 and Author 3 involved in data collection and data entry and and Author 4,,' performed the statistical analysis, managed the literature searches and wrote the draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## 269

### 270 CONSENT (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

271

272 Not applicable 273

## 274 ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

276 Not applicable

REFERENCES

### 277

278 279 280

281 282

286

275

- Kumar UP, and Tanaji LN. The Buffalo Marketing System in Solapur Cattle Market Centre of Solapur District: A Geographical Study. *Asian Review of Social Sciences*. 2012; 1(1): 63-74.
- Birthal PS. Livestock Marketing and Supply Chain Management of Livestock Products. *Ind. Jn. of Agri. Econ.* 2014;69(3): 432-437.
   Das AK, Anjanevulu ASR, Verma AK and Biswas S. Scenario of Indian livestock and
  - 3. Das AK, Anjaneyulu ASR, Verma AK and Biswas S. Scenario of Indian livestock and meat marketing. *Indian food industry.* 2006; 58-63.
- Selvakumar KN Survey of cattle markets in different agro-climatic zones of Tamil
   Nadu. Research report of ICAR sponsored scheme. Department of Animal
   Husbandry Economics, Madras Veterinary College, Chennai 600 007; 2003.
- 5. Jadoun YS, Jha SK, Bhadauria P and Kale R. Marketing pattern of Murrah buffaloes among dairy farmers affected by Integrated Murrah Development Scheme of Haryana. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.2014*; 67(6): 541-546.
- Senthilkumar S, Ramprabhu R, Pandian ASS. Small ruminant marketing practices in southern Tamil Nadu: A case study. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants*. 2012; 18(1):129-131.
   Ramesh D, Meena HR, Meena KL. Analysis of small ruminant market system in
  - 7. Ramesh D, Meena HR, Meena KL. Analysis of small ruminant market system in different agro-climatic zones of Southern India. *Vet World*. 2012; 5(5):288-293.
  - 8. Ekka S. Marketing Practices Of Goat And Chevon In Kamrup (Metro) District of Assam. *M.V.Sc. Thesis submitted to Assam Agricultural University*, Khanapara,
- Bhattacharjya R, Saharia J, Roychoudhury R, Haque A, Borah MC, Ray MN and
  Hazarika M. Livestock marketing in Assam purpose and effect of seasonal
  variation. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 2017; 5(4): 1304-1307.
- 303

297 298

299