

2 **Breeding for tolerance to heat stress and on changing**
3 **environment: A case study on Potato**

4
5 Abstract

6 Potato crop is the fourth leading food crops in the world after maize, rice, and wheat. It is
7 characterized by specific temperature requirements and develops best at about 20°C.
8 Temperature is one of the essential uncontrollable factors affecting crop yield and heat stress
9 has become a serious concern in many areas of the world. As most commercial potato
10 cultivars are developed in temperate regions, therefore producing the greatest yield under
11 long photoperiods and high temperatures is a serious problem. Thus our need increases for
12 developing potato germplasm that can tolerate these adverse conditions. However, the
13 development of new methodology, such as association genetics in conjunction with marker-
14 assisted selection, offers promise that stress-tolerant germplasm can be developed as our need
15 increases.

16 Keyword: Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.); heat stress; growth; development; tuber yield.

17
18 Introduction

19 Potato, *Solanum tuberosum* L. ($2n=4x=48$) is an essential and fourth most important
20 food crop in the world, globally grown under different climatic conditions. Potato has its
21 origin in the Andean region of Peru and Bolivia in South America of the New World. The
22 productivity of potato in India came down from 23.13 t/ha (NHRDF Nasik, 2013-14) to 23.07
23 t/ha (NHRDF Nasik, 2015-16), and the possible reasons behind this reduction perhaps due to
24 more impact of biotic and abiotic stress. Analysis of recent climate trend suggests that
25 temperature in potato production areas worldwide are increasing and the severity of episodes

26 of above optimal temperature will increase in the coming decades. It is a cool-season crop,
27 and the highest yields are obtained in regions with an optimal growth temperature of
28 approximately 20°C. Using simulation model-based predictions of global warming over the
29 next 60 years, Hijmans (2003) predicted potato yield losses in the range of 18 to 32%. The
30 increasing threat of changing environment is anticipated to have a catastrophic loss of crop
31 productivity that will result in a widespread famine.

32 Temperature is one of the most essential uncontrollable factors affecting crop yield
33 and heat stress is an agricultural problem in many areas in the world. According to Wahid *et*
34 *al.*, (2007) ‘transitory’ or constantly high temperatures cause an array of morpho-anatomical,
35 physiological and biochemical changes in plants which affects plant growth and development
36 and may lead to a drastic reduction in economic yield. The acceleration of stem growth with
37 assimilate partitioned more toward the stem; the reduction of photosynthesis and increase of
38 respiration; reduction of root growth; inhibition of tuber initiation and growth; frequent tuber
39 disorders; reduction of tuber dry matter and increase of glycoalkaloid level is the adverse
40 effects of high temperatures on potato (Struik, 2007). Generally, a transient elevation in
41 temperature, usually 10-15°C above ambient, is considered to be the heat stress.

42 Heat and drought are most prevailing abiotic stresses affecting crop production, so
43 this situation necessitates orientation of a research programme for the development of
44 varieties tolerant to high-temperature stress. Traditionally, plant breeders have addressed the
45 problem of environmental stress by selecting for suitability of performance over a series of
46 environmental conditions using extensive testing and biometrical approaches. The inheritance
47 of abiotic stress resistance is likely to be multigenic, a factor that may limit the utility of
48 transgenic approaches to stress tolerance. However, the development of new methodologies,
49 such as association genetics in conjunction with marker-assisted selection, offers promise that
50 stress-tolerant germplasm which can be developed as our need increases.

51 **The physiological consequence of heat stress on potato:**

52 a) **Effect on tuber initiation:** The optimal temperature for tuber formation is 20°C. The
53 slower tuberization at temperatures lower than 20°C probably results from slowed
54 metabolism and growth, whereas the delayed tuberization at 25°C, when metabolism
55 and growth are accelerated, is due to the specific inhibitory effects of the high
56 temperature on the tuberization process.

57 b) **Effect on Yield:** Low temperatures, especially low night temperatures increase the
58 number of tubers per plant. At higher temperatures when fewer tubers per plant are
59 formed larger tubers are obtained. Although increases in either day or night
60 temperatures above optimal levels reduce tuber yields, high night temperatures seem
61 to be more deleterious. Higher soil temperatures decreased tuber yields, especially
62 when combined with high ambient air temperatures (30°C day/23°C night).

63 c) **Effect on bulking rate:** After tuber initiation, both the weight and volume of the
64 tubers increase almost linearly, a process referred to as tuber bulking. Although many
65 tubers may be initiated during the first four to six weeks of growth, only a fraction of
66 these tubers achieves commercial size (greater than 30 mm diameter). Bulking rate is
67 greater for short days and moderate temperatures. Long days and higher temperatures
68 favor dry matter partitioning to the haulm, promote haulm and root growth and delay
69 tuber growth.

70 d) **Production of hormones:** Growth substances are involved in the plant response to
71 environmental factors. Gibberellic acid (GA), endogenously increased under long
72 days, generally inhibits tuber formation, whereas cytokinins and abscisic acid (ABA)
73 have been shown to promote tuber formation. Jasmonic acid and related compounds
74 (tuberonic acid and its glucoside) have also been reported as tuber-inducing under in
75 vitro conditions (Koda, 2002).

76 e) **Partitioning of Assimilates:** Temperature has a prominent effect on the partitioning
77 of assimilates to the different parts of the potato plant. High temperature reduces
78 partitioning of assimilates to the tubers and enhance partitioning to the haulm. A high
79 ratio of GA/ABA promotes haulm growth and inhibits tuber growth, whereas a
80 relatively low ratio limits vine growth and promotes tuber growth, a finding that has
81 recently been confirmed by the construction of transgenic potato plants expressing a
82 transcription factor (POTH1) that reduces GA expression and enhances tuberization
83 (Hannapel *et al.*, 2004).

84 f) **Physiological disorders:** Some physiological tuber disorders that are closely
85 associated with heat stress are- Internal brown spots, also known as internal rust spots
86 or chocolate spots are manifested as necrotic brown spots in the tuber parenchyma in
87 response to high temperature (Iritani *et al.*, 1984). Heat necrosis, a brown
88 discoloration of the vascular ring occurs at high soil temperatures. This necrosis
89 varies with the severity of stress, tuber developmental stage, cultivar and
90 environmental conditions (Henninger *et al.*, 2000; Sterrett *et al.*, 2003). High
91 temperatures also cause irregular tuber shape, chain tuberization or secondary tuber
92 formation (often associated with excessive stolon elongation and branching), sprouted
93 tubers and reduced dry matter content (Marinus and Bodlaender 1975).

94 g) **Tuber dormancy:** High temperatures during tuber maturation may interfere with the
95 onset of tuber dormancy, shorten their rest period, or even release the inhibition of
96 tuber buds, resulting in pre-harvest sprouting. This is likely associated with an
97 increase of the endogenous content of growth-promoting substances such as
98 gibberellins.

99 **The concept and mechanism of heat tolerance:** To overcome heat stress the following
100 measures are adopted during growing period.

101 **Heat escape:** The ability of a crop plant to complete its life cycle before development of
102 serious soil and plant water deficits is called as heat escape. This mechanism involves rapid
103 phenological development i.e. early flowering and maturing, variation in the duration of
104 growth period depending on the extent of water scarcity.

105 **Heat avoidance:** Heat avoidance is the ability of plants to maintain relatively high tissue
106 water potential despite a shortage of soil moisture. The heat stress avoidance mechanisms are
107 associated with physiological whole plant mechanisms such as canopy tolerance and leaf area
108 reduction (which decrease radiation, adsorption and transpiration), stomatal closure and
109 cuticular wax formation, adjustments of sink-source relationships through altering root depth
110 and density, root hair development and root hydraulic conductance (Rivero *et al.*, 2007).

111 **Heat tolerance:** Plants alter their metabolism in various ways in response to heat stress,
112 especially by producing compatible solutes that are able to organize proteins and cellular
113 structures, maintain cell turgor by osmotic adjustment, and modify the antioxidant system to
114 re-establish the cellular redox balance and homeostasis (Valliyodan and Nguyen, 2006;
115 Munns and Tester, 2008; Janska *et al.*, 2012). Minha *et al.*, (2006) has reported that Kufri
116 Surya is expected to be the most popular variety for early planting in north western plains as
117 well as in rabi and kharif crops in peninsular India. It germinated well under high relative
118 humidity (>90%) and established a vigorous crop canopy when compared with control.

119 **Genetic mechanism for heat tolerance in potato:**

120 Heat tolerance is a complex character, expression of which depends on accomplishment
121 and interaction of various morphological traits viz. earliness, reduced leaf area, leaf molding,
122 wax content, efficient rooting system, stability in yield and number of branches; physiological
123 traits i.e. transpiration, water-use efficiency, stomatal activity and osmotic adjustment and
124 biochemical traits i.e. accumulation of proline, polyamine, trehalose etc., increasing of nitrate
125 reductase activity and storage of carbohydrate. Besides morphological and physiological

126 changes, biochemical changes involving biosynthesis of compatible solutes (fructan,
127 trehalose, polyols, glycine betaine, proline and polyamines) is another way to impart heat
128 stress (Mitra, 2001). Heat stress at relevantly high temperatures produces ROS (superoxide
129 radicals, hydroxyl radicals, and hydrogen peroxide). Tolerant plants protect themselves from
130 the damaging effects of ROS with the synthesis of various antioxidant components which
131 control gene expression and influence essential processes such as growth, abiotic stress
132 responses, and pathogen defense (Abiko *et al.*, 2005).

133 **Conventional breeding methods for heat tolerance in potato:**

134 When breeding for stress tolerance, often it is necessary that the derived lines/cultivars be
135 able to perform well under both stress and non-stress conditions. The upper limit of heat
136 tolerance in heat-tolerant lines should be fully characterized before using them in
137 combination breeding programmes. However, the desirable traits which should be included in
138 the heat-tolerance breeding programmes are high water-use efficiency, increased root and
139 early maturity to escape heat and disease resistance. The heat stress tolerance in potato is
140 controlled by multigenes.

141 The use of seed tubers introduces yet another confounding effect, namely tuber dormancy.
142 Genotypes vary for length of tuber dormancy, making it difficult to synchronize the
143 physiological status of seed tubers to a specific planting date. Young tubers emerge at a
144 slower pace, tend to produce fewer stems and tuberize and mature late, while older tubers
145 emerge rapidly, develop more stems and tuberize and mature earlier which may alter the
146 response to stress.

147

148

149

150

151 **The Role of Heat Shock Proteins and other Candidate Genes in Heat Tolerance:**

152 Plants have evolved a number of adaptive mechanisms that enable them to alleviate
153 the negative effects of high temperature stress or heat stress (HS) (Larkindale *et al.*, 2005;
154 Wahid *et al.*, 2007). One such mechanism is the synthesis of heat shock proteins (HSPs).
155 HSPs play a central role in plant heat tolerance by acting as molecular chaperones; i.e., they
156 promote the refolding of heat-denatured proteins or form complexes with denatured proteins
157 and protect them from irreversible thermal aggregation (Basha *et al.*, 2004). The role of HSPs
158 during heat stress involves the formation of complexes with heat-denatured proteins. Small
159 HSPs could be used as markers for detecting HT genotypes. Based on differential expression
160 observed in heat-tolerant and heat-sensitive cultivars, the employment of HSPs as potential
161 heat tolerance markers has been proposed, so far, for barley and wheat.

162 To assess the heat tolerance in nine commercial potato cultivars (Savic *et al.*, 2012)
163 used electrolyte leakage assay and reported that ELA combined with immunoblot analysis of
164 HSPs accumulation under HS conditions could be considered as a reliable procedure in
165 screening potato genotypes for heat tolerance and for the identification of heat tolerant potato
166 cultivars. In addition, HSP18 and HSP21 expression under HS present similar patterns in
167 potato plants grown in vitro compared to ex-vitro grown plants, opening up the possibility for
168 the use of an in-vitro culture for heat tolerance screening.

169 **Molecular and biotechnological steps for development of material for heat tolerance:**

170 Genetic enhancement using molecular marker technology has revolutionized plant
171 breeding (Collins *et al.*, 2008; Lei *et al.*, 2011). Various ingredients of resistance, handled by
172 various sets of genes are vital for heat resistance at various steps of crop growth or in diverse
173 tissues (Bohnert *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, the use of genetic stocks with diverse levels of heat
174 resistance, cosegregation and correlation analyses, molecular biology methods, molecular
175 markers and quantitative trait loci (QTLs) are promising attributes to detect the genetic source

176 of thermo-resistance (Maestri *et al.*, 2002). Recent widely studied molecular approaches have
177 included omics techniques and the development of transgenic plants through manipulation of
178 target genes (Kosova *et al.*, 2011; Duque *et al.*, 2013). Investigation of these underlying
179 molecular processes may provide ways to develop stress tolerant varieties and to grow them
180 under heat stress conditions. Molecular marker analysis for stress tolerance in vegetables is
181 limited but an effort is underway to identify QTLs underlying tolerance to abiotic stresses.

182 The key benefit of QTL based approaches is that they allow loci to be identified that are
183 linked to heat tolerance. The identification of markers linked to QTLs enables breeding of
184 stress-tolerant crops by combining or “pyramiding” QTLs for tolerance to various stresses.
185 Several QTL studies relating to various abiotic stress tolerances have already been reported
186 (Hirayama and Shinozaki, 2010).

187 An effective set of thermo tolerance markers can also be used to further implement heat
188 tolerance into various crop species. Molecular genetic markers are an example of how an
189 effective tool is used to analyze plant genomes and how heritable traits associate to their
190 underlying genetic variation. Sequence-based (microarrays) or anonymous molecular marker
191 systems [amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), ISSR, SSR and other equal
192 effectives] are often employed in applications of modern plant genetic analysis.

193 **Conclusion:**

194 Environmental constraints and the threat of global warming challenge the scientific
195 community to use its understanding of potato physiology and genetics to develop new
196 cultivars that resist both the stress of growing under high temperatures. Because of its
197 importance in the human diet, potato growth and development have received considerable
198 scientific attention, especially the regulation of tuber development. The trend of potato
199 production has been toward greater acreage in warm climates using cultivars that were
200 developed for production in cool climates. Major limitations for potato production in these

201 regions are high temperatures and the scarcity of fresh water resources for irrigation. Hence,
202 the study of abiotic stress on the potato crop has assumed substantial importance. Fortunately,
203 the germplasm base for potato is large and assessments of germplasm performance under
204 challenging conditions have revealed new possibilities. Taken together with the increased
205 knowledge of molecular biology of the potato and of genes responsible for stress resistance,
206 the outlook is promising for our ability to meet the challenge of improving potato yield in
207 nontraditional and stress-prone environments. In the view of the predicted population growth
208 and the resulting increasing requirement for food security, it is up to the scientific community
209 to adapt crop species for high tolerance to abiotic stresses and in particular high temperature
210 stress. A more complete insight of the biological processes behind the heat stress response
211 combined with classical and emerging technologies in plant breeding and genetic engineering
212 is likely to make a significant contribution to improved crops.

213 **References:**

- 214 Abiko, M., Akibayashi, K., Sakata, T., Kimura, M., Kihara, M. and Itoh, K. 2005. High-
215 temperature induction of male sterility during barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) anther
216 development is mediated by transcriptional inhibition. *Sex Plant. Reprod.* **18**: 91-100.
- 217 Ahn, Y. J., Claussen, K. and Zimmerman, J. L. 2004. Genotypic differences in the heat-shock
218 response and thermotolerance in four potato cultivars. *Plant Sci.* **166**: 901-911.
- 219 Basha, E., Lee, G.J., Demeler, B. and Vierling, E. 2004. Chaperone activity of cytosolic small
220 heat shock proteins from wheat. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **271**: 1426-1436.
- 221 Bohnert, H. J., Gong, Q., Li, P. and Ma, S. 2006. Unraveling Abiotic Stress Tolerance
222 Mechanisms-Getting Genomics Going. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **9**: 180-188.

- 223 Collins, N. C., Tardieu, F. and Tuberosa, R. 2008. Quantitative Trait Loci and Crop
224 Performance under Abiotic Stress: Where do we Stand?, *Plant Physiol.* **147**: 469-486.
- 225 Duque, A. S., De, A. M., Da Silva, A. B., Da Silva, J. M., Farinha, A. P., Santos, D.,
226 Fevereiro, P. and De Sousa, A. S. 2013. Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants:
227 Unravelling the Complexity of Genes and Networks to Survive in Abiotic Stress-Plant
228 Responses and Applications in Agriculture, *Vahdati K, Leslie C (eds). In Tech.,*
229 *Rijeka, Croatia.* p. 3–23.
- 230 Hannapel, D. J., Chen, H., Rosin, F. M., Banerjee, A. K. and Davies, P. J. 2004. Molecular
231 controls of tuberization. *American J. Potato Res.* **81**: 263-274.
- 232 Henninger, M. R., Sterrett, S. B. and Haynes, K. G. 2000. Broad-sense heritability and
233 stability of internal heat necrosis and specific gravity in tetraploid potatoes. *Crop Sci.*
234 **40**: 977-984.
- 235 Hijmans, R. J. 2003. The effect of climate change on global potato production. *Am. J. Potato*
236 *Res.* **80**: 271-280.
- 237 Hirayama, T. and Shinozaki, K. 2010. Research on plant abiotic stress responses in the post-
238 genome era: past, present and future. *Plant J.* **61**: 1041-1052.
- 239 Iba, K. 2002. Acclimative response to temperature stress in higher plants: Approaches of gene
240 engineering for temperature tolerance. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **53**: 225-245.
- 241 Iritani, W. M., Weller, L. D. and Knowles, N. R. 1984. Factors influencing incidence of
242 internal brown spot in Russet Burbank potatoes. *American Potato J.* **61**: 335-343.
- 243 Janska, A., Marsik, P., Zelenkova, S. and Ovesna, J. 2012. Cold Stress and Acclimation:
244 What is Important for Metabolic Adjustment? *Plant Biol.* **12**: 395-405.

- 245 Koda, Y. 2002. Involvement of jasmonic acid and related compounds in various morphogenic
246 events of crops. *Jpn. J. Crop Sci.* **71**:1-10.
- 247 Kosova, K., Vitamvas, P., Prasil, I. T. and Renaut, J. 2011. Plant Proteome Changes under
248 Abiotic Stress-Contribution of Proteomics Studies to Understanding Plant Stress
249 Response. *J. Proteom.* **74**: 1301-1322.
- 250 Larkindale, J., Mishkind, M. and Vierling, E. 2005. Plant responses to high temperature. *In*:
251 *Plant Abiotic Stress* (Eds. M. Jenks, and P. Hasegawa). 100-144. Blackwell, Oxford.
- 252 Lei, L., Yan, S. and Jun-Ming, L. I. 2011. Mapping of QTLs for Drought Tolerance during
253 Seedling Stage using Introgression Line Populations in Tomato. *Acta Hort. Sin.* **38**:
254 1921-1928.
- 255 Maestri, E., Klueva, N., Perrotta, C., Gulli, M., Nguyen, H. T. and Marmioli, N. 2002.
256 Molecular Genetics of Heat Tolerance and Heat Shock Proteins in Cereals. *Plant Mol.*
257 *Biol.* **48**: 667-681.
- 258 Marinus, J. and Bodlaender, K. B. A. 1975. Response of some potato varieties to temperature.
259 *Potato Res.* **18**:189-20.
- 260 Minha, J. S., Kumar, D., Joseph, T. A., Pandey, S. K., Raj, B. T., Singh, S.V., Singh, B. P,
261 and Naik, P. S. 2006. Kufri Surya: A new heat tolerant potato variety suitable for
262 early planting in North-Western plains, Peninsular India and Processing into French
263 fries and chips. *Potato J.* **33**: 35-43.
- 264 Mitra, J. 2001. Genetics and genetic improvement of drought resistance in crop plants. *Curr.*
265 *Sci.* **80**(6): 758-763.

- 266 Munns, R. and Tester, M. 2008. Mechanisms of Salinity Tolerance. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* **59**:
267 651-681.
- 268 National Horticultural Research And Development Foundation: Area and production data for
269 potato, <http://nhrdf.org/en-us/AreaAndProductionReport>.
- 270 Rivero, R. M., Kojima, M., Gepstein, A., Sakakibara, H., Mittler, R., Gepstein, S. and
271 Blumwald, E. 2007. Delayed leaf senescence induces extreme drought tolerance in a
272 flowering plant. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**:19631-19636.
- 273 Savic, J., Dragicevic, I. C. and Pantelic, D. 2012. Expression of small heat shock proteins and
274 heat tolerance in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) *Archives of Biological Sci.* **64**: 135-
275 144.
- 276 Sterrett, S. B., Henninger, M. R., Yench, G. C. and Haynes, K. G. 2003. Stability of internal
277 heat necrosis and specific gravity in tetraploid x diploid potatoes. *Crop Sci.* **43**:790-
278 796.
- 279 Struik, P.C. 2007. Responses of the potato plant to temperature In: Plant Biology and
280 Biotechnology: *Advances and Perspective* (Ed. D. Vreugdenhil). 367-393. Elsevier,
281 Amsterdam.
- 282 Valliyodan, B. and Nguyen, H. T. 2006. Understanding Regulatory Networks and
283 Engineering for Enhanced Drought Tolerance in Plants. *Current Opin. Plant Biol.* **9**:
284 189-195.
- 285 Wahid, A., Gelani, S., Ashraf, M. and Foolad, M.R. 2007. Heat Tolerance in Plants: An
286 Overview. *Environ. Expt. Bot.* **61**: 199-223.

287 Wang, W.X., Vmocur, B., Shoseyov, O. and Altman, A. 2004. Role of plant heat-shock
288 proteins and molecular chaperones in the abiotic stress response. *Trends Plant*
289 *Sci.*9:244-252.

290 **Craita E. Bitá, Tom Gerats, Plant tolerance to high temperature in a changing environment: scientific**
291 **fundamentals and production of heat stress-tolerant crops.** *Front. Plant Sci.*, 31 July 2013 |
292 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00273>

293

294