

1 **Original Research Article**

2 **SELECTION IN BASE POPULATION OF ORNAMENTAL PEPPERS (*Capsicum annuum***  
3 **L.)<sup>1</sup>**

4 **José Ayrton Moraes de Lima<sup>1</sup>, Elizanilda Ramalho do Rêgo<sup>2</sup>, Glauber Henrique Nunes**  
5 **da Silva<sup>3</sup>, Mailson Monteiro do Rêgo<sup>4</sup>, Michelle Gonçalves de Carvalho<sup>5</sup>, Ângela Maria**  
6 **dos Santos Pessoa<sup>6</sup>**

8 *<sup>1</sup> agricultural science center, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, Brazil.*

9 *<sup>2</sup> agricultural science center, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, Brazil*

10 *<sup>3</sup> agricultural science center, rural federal university of semi-arid, Mossoró*  
11 *, Brazil*

12 *<sup>4</sup> agricultural science center, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, Brazil*

13 *<sup>5</sup> agricultural science center, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, Brazil*

14 *<sup>6</sup> agricultural science center, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, Brazil*

15  
16  
17  
18

---

\* E-mail address: aironmoraes2007@hotmail.com

**Aims:** The aim of this study was to characterize and select plants with ornamental potential and resistant to pathogens in generation F2.

**Study Design:** For genetic divergence analysis, Tocher's grouping method was used, based on the standardized Euclidean distance. Analyses were carried out for the quantitative and qualitative data separately and also for the data together. In addition, the relative importance of the characteristics evaluated for genetic divergence was calculated using SINGH's Methodology (1981). All analyses were performed using the computational Genes program.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse of the Plant Biotechnology Laboratory of the Center of Agrarian Sciences (CCA) of the Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB). The treatments consisted of 354 progenies, an F2 generation of ornamental peppers (*Capsicum annuum* L), belonging to the Bank of germplasm of UFPB, derived from the controlled self-fertilization of F1 and obtained from the crossing between the parents UFPB390 X UFPB137, plants grown in vessels of 900 mL filled with commercial substrate. There was variability among genotypes for the evaluated characters.

**Methodology:** As they reached adulthood, genotypes were characterized according to the descriptors for *Capsicum* suggested by IPGRI. 20 quantitative characters and 4 qualitative in ornamental peppers were evaluated. Leaves identified from an optical microscope using the illustrated descriptor of imperfect fungus.

**Results:** The variability between genotypes was higher for qualitative characters related to disease resistance. It is possible to select individual plants for opening lines in Generation F3. 7 plants; 7; 15; 50; 69; 120; 155; 157; 196; 314; 326; 331; 347 should be selected for not presenting symptoms of fungi diseases.

**Conclusion:** Greater diversity among genotypes was detected when the incidence of diseases in the plants was evaluated. The plants 7 ; 15; 50; 69; 120; 155; 157; 196; 314;

326; 331; 347 should be selected because they do not present symptoms of fungal diseases.

21

22 *Keywords: diversity, ornamental pepper, segregating.*

23

24

## 25 **1. INTRODUCTION**

26

27 The genus *Capsicum*, belongs to the family Solanaceae and comprises five domesticated  
28 species of peppers that are marketed around the world: *Capsicum annuum* L., *Capsicum*  
29 *chinense* Jacq., *Capsicum frutescens* L., *Capsicum baccatum* L. e *Capsicum pubescens* [ 1;  
30 2 ]

31 The peppers of the genus *Capsicum* are part of the heritage of Brazilian biodiversity, which  
32 differs as to the type, color, size, flavor, and poignancy in several marketed cultivars [3;4 ]

33 There are few varieties destined for trade in peppers to ornamentation. Although, the  
34 germplasm banks of *Capsicum* in the country possess in their collection accesses that can  
35 be used in the genetic improvement aiming to develop new cultivars [ 5 ].The ornamental  
36 pepper offers countless opportunities to develop unique cultivars, which can be marketed in  
37 three ways: plant vases, garden plants and bouquets [ 6; 7].

38 Peppers agribusiness (*Capsicum* spp.) is among the best examples of integration among all  
39 those that work in the vegetable production chain [8]. According to Finger et al. [9] family  
40 farming has been the main responsible, in Brazil, for the expansion of the growing area of  
41 peppers. Rêgo et al. [10] demonstrated that the production of new varieties of ornamental  
42 peppers allowed the increase in the income of woman family farmers of the state of Paraíba,

43 providing the generation of new jobs and the fixation of these rural farmers and their families,  
44 in the countryside.

45 All information regarding the variability of a collection of germplasm, serves to increase the  
46 efficiency of the works of improvement of the species [11; 12]. Genetic improvement acts as  
47 an important link in the agribusiness chain of ornamental plants, in search of selecting  
48 cultivars resistant to pest, diseases, biotic and abiotic stresses [14]

49 The Federal University of Paraíba in twelve years develops a program of improvement of  
50 ornamental peppers, by hybridization and selection [11]. In that program was possible to  
51 select lines with longer life post-production Rêgo et al. [13] and lines with greater resistance  
52 to ethylene SANTOS et al. [14], as well as develop 30 intraspecific hybrids (*C. annuum*)  
53 [11]), which generated several F2 families, which are in the evaluation phase.

54 This study aimed to characterize and select plants with ornamental potential and resistant to  
55 pathogens in generation F2.

56

## 57 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

58

### 59 **2.1. location of the experiment**

60 The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse of the Plant Biotechnology Laboratory of  
61 the Center of Agrarian Sciences (CCA) of the Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB) in the  
62 city of Areia - PB, at na altitude of 618 m, latitude 06 ° 57 '48'.

### 63 **2.2 Plant Material**

64 The treatments consisted of 354 progenies from a F2 generation of ornamental pepper  
65 plants ( *Capsicum annuum* L ) , belonging to the Germplasm Bank of the UFPB, from the  
66 controlled self-fertilization of F1 (Rêgo et al., 2012b [11]) and obtained from the cross

67 between the UFPB390 x UFPB137 parents , and the plants were grown in 900 mL pots filled  
68 with commercial substrate.

### 69 **2.3 Morphological Characterization**

70 When the seedlings had four pairs of definitive leaves, they were transplanted into 900 ml  
71 pots using the same substrate. When necessary, the cultural practices recommended for  
72 culture have been carried out. When they had at least one mature fruit were characterized  
73 according to the descriptors for Capsicum suggested by IPGRI[15]).

### 74 **2.4 Plant Descriptors**

75 For the morphoagronomic characterization, 20 quantitative traits were considered: plant  
76 height (PH), crown diameter (CD), height of first bifurcation (HFB), stem diameter (SD), leaf  
77 length (LL), leaf width (LW), length of the anther (LA), length of the stiletto (LS), width of the  
78 petal (WP), weight of the fruit (WF), length of pedicel (LP), larger diameter (LaD), lower  
79 diameter (LoD), fruit Length (CL), pericarp thickness (PT), placenta length (PL), number of  
80 seeds per fruit (NSF), fresh matter (FM) according to the list of descriptors suggested by the  
81 IPGRI (1995 [ 15 ]). 4 qualitative characteristics were used: = 1, 0 = no incidence.

### 82 **2.5 description and analysis of plant material**

83 To analyze the presence of pathogens, five leaves were randomly collected from each plant,  
84 then placed in trays disinfested with 70% alcohol. These were lined with paper towel added  
85 with distilled water, autoclaved, deionized and covered with plastic. The leaves were  
86 maintained for 72 hours on cement benches at room temperature. After this period the  
87 spores were collected. Durex tape was used to collect them. After being collected the spores  
88 were placed on a glass slide and stained with methylene blue. After staining the cells were  
89 identified under optical microscopy using the illustrated descriptor generates of imperfect  
90 fungi

## 2. 5 Statistical analysis

For the analysis of genetic divergence, the Tocher grouping method was used, based on the standardized mean Euclidean distance. Analyzes were performed for the quantitative and qualitative data separately and also for the data together. In addition, the relative importance of the characteristics evaluated for the genetic divergence was calculated using the methodology of SINGH [16].

All analyzes were performed using the Genes computational program [16].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

According to Tocher's methodology, using the quantitative data, the highest variation was found in group 1, composed of 352 genotypes, group 2 and 3 with only one plant per group 188 and 324 respectively (Table 1). Neitzke et al.[20], also using the Tocher method, obtained the formation of only four groups, when reporting the variability of 8 plant and fruit characters in *Capsicum spp.* Also corroborated by Bento et al.[17], found two groups based on 15 quantitative characters, in 29 accessions of *Capsicum spp.*

Table 1. Grouping of 354 individuals, according to 20 characteristics of base population of ornamental pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) according to the Tocher method. CCA-UFPB, Areia, 2018.

Groups	People
1	Other genotypes
2	188
3	324

111

112 The characteristic corolla length (9,092%), fruit weight(9,784%) and canopy width(9,725%)  
113 were the main contributors to the divergence .

114 The characters that contributed less were the weight of the fresh matter (0.699%), the  
115 diameter of the stem(0.540%), and the larger diameter of the fruit with (0.544%) (table 2).  
116 Variables that contributed a very low percentage or did not contribute to the detected  
117 variability, can be discarded in later studies of genetic diversity of the analyzed population,  
118 as described by Rêgo et al. [18].

119

120 Table 2. Estimates of the relative contribution of each variable to the genetic divergence  
121 among individuals of a base population of ornamental pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.), for 20  
122 characteristics. CCA-UFPB, Areia, 2018.

Variables	(%)
Length of corolla	9,092(%)
Flower Width	6.385(%)
Petal diameter	4.985(%)
Length of anther	4.631(%)
Length of fillet	5.323(%)
Plant Height	4.983(%)
Cup width	9,725(%)
First Bifurcation Height	3,879(%)
Stem diameter	0.544(%)

Sheet length	4.074(%)
Width of sheet	2.060(%)
Pedicle length	5.753(%)
Weight of the fruit	9,784(%)
Length of fruit	8,713(%)
Larger fruit diameter	0.540(%)
Lower fruit diameter	5.521(%)
Diameter of pericarp	1.981(%)
Length of placenta	3.444(%)
Number of seeds	7.875(%)
Weight of fresh matter	0.699(%)

123

124 For the grouping of individuals by the Tocher method for the qualitative characteristics, it  
 125 was possible to observe the formation of 7 groups, forming more groups than the grouping  
 126 using the quantitative characteristics. Group 1 had the largest number of individuals, 278 of  
 127 the total. Group 2 gathered 13 genotypes, group 3 gathered 46 subjects followed by groups  
 128 4, 5, 6 collected 11, 10, 9 respectively for each group. Group 7 gathered only two plants  
 129 (Table 3).

130

131 Table 3. Grouping of 354 individuals according to four features for base population of  
 132 ornamental pepper plant (*Capsicum annuum* L.) according to the Tocher method. CCA-  
 133 UFPB, Areia, 2018.



Groups	People
1	Other genotypes
2	4, 28, 30, 34, 41, 51, 123, 234, 239, 350, 44, 188, 226
3	7.10, 12, 14, 15, 33, 42, 45, 50, 69, 82, 83, 84, 104, 120, 157, 173, 196, 198, 201, 213, 217, 233, 240, 281, 283, 293, 294, 295, 298, 310, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 320, 331, 333, 347, 351, 354
4	8, 52, 54, 179, 290, 291, 292, 311, 312, 313, 352
5	9, 18, 105, 140, 145, 197, 210, 244, 256, 148
6	26, 158, 167, 296, 303, 307, 321, 341, 59
7	297, 353

134

135 It was possible to identify based on the criterion of Singh, greater contribution for the genetic  
136 divergence was the presence of *Fusarium* sp (43.191%), the others contributed,  
137 *Cladosporium* sp with (36.2611%), *Colletotrichum* sp. (13.7389%) and *Puccinia pampas*  
138 (6.809%) (Table 4). Added the percentages of *Fusarium* variables sp and *Cladosporium* sp  
139 corresponds to 79.472% of the contribution of the genetic variability of the study population.  
140 Results for these characteristics were important for pointing out the genetic diversity  
141 presented in the base population in relation to the tolerance to the analyzed fungal diseases.

142

143

144

145

146 Table 4. Estimates of the relative contribution of each variable to the genetic divergence  
 147 among individuals from a base population of ornamental pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.), for  
 148 24 characteristics. CCA-UFPB, Areia, 2018.

Variables	(%)
<i>Fusarium</i> sp	43.191(%)
<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp .	13.7389(%)
<i>Cladosporium</i> sp .	36.2611(%)
<i>Puccinia</i> pampas	6.809(%)

149  
 150 For the Tocher grouping, using the quantitative and qualitative characteristics, it was  
 151 possible to separate the genotypes into three divergent groups. Being in group 1 it gathered  
 152 354 individuals. Groups 2 and 3 gathered only one plant (188) and (324) respectively (Table  
 153 5). Results similar to those found for the 20 quantitative characteristics (Table 1).

154

155 Table 5. Grouping of 354 individuals, according to 24 characteristics of the base  
 156 population of ornamental pepper plant (*Capsicum annuum* L.) according to  
 157 the Tocher method. CCA-UFPB, Areia, 2018.

Groups	People
1	Other genotypes
2	324

158

159 The variables that contributed most to the relative importance of the characters were the  
 160 *Fusarium* sp ( 19.965 %), followed by *Cladosporium* sp. ( 16.762 %), *Colletotrichum* sp.  
 161 (6.351%), fruit weight (5.269%), crown width (5.229%), length of the corolla (4.889%) and  
 162 fruit length (4.685%). Added to these characteristics obtained an estimated value of 55.86%  
 163 of the detected variability. The characteristics of stem diameter(0.292%), larger fruit diameter  
 164 (0. 290%)and fresh matter weight (0.376%) for genetic diversity (Table 6). Barroso et al.  
 165 [20]) working with 23 quantitative ornamental pepper characters, it was observed that only  
 166 one characteristic, the diameter of the stem (SD), contributed with approximately 79% of the  
 167 genetic divergence. In this study, the effect of the capsicum was similar to that observed in  
 168 the control group [ 21;22 ].

169

170 Table 6. Estimates of the relative contribution of each variable to the genetic divergence  
 171 among individuals from a base population of ornamental pepper ( *Capsicum annuum* L.), for  
 172 24 characteristics. CCA-UFPB, Areia, 2018.

Variables	(%)
Length of corolla	4.889(%)
Flower Width	3.433(%)
Petal diameter	2.680(%)
Length of anther	2.490(%)
Length of fillet	2.862(%)
Plant Height	2.679(%)

Cup width	5.229(%)
First Bifurcation Height	2.086(%)
Stem diameter	0.292(%)
Sheet length	2.190(%)
Width of sheet	1.108(%)
Pedicle length	3.094(%)
Weight of the fruit	5.261(%)
Length of fruit	4.685(%)
Larger fruit diameter	0.290(%)
Lower fruit diameter	2.969(%)
Diameter of pericarp	1.065(%)
Length of placenta	1.852(%)
Number of seeds	4.235(%)
Weight of fresh matter	0.376(%)
<i>Fusarium sp</i>	19.965(%)
<i>Colletotrichum sp .</i>	6.351(%)
<i>Cladosporium sp .</i>	16.762(%)
<i>Puccinia pampas</i>	3.147(%)

174 It is important to point out that there were asymptomatic plants for all pathogens (Table 7). It  
 175 is necessary to carry out a resistance study with specific isolates to confirm the non-  
 176 susceptibility of these plants to the detected pathogens.

177

178 Table 7. Plants F<sub>2</sub> of ornamental pepper with and without pathogen incidence.

Pathogens	Infested plants
<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	2; 5;8; 16; 17; 19; 22; 23; 24; 25; 44; 52; 54; 59; 60; 64; 73; 80; 81; 85; 86; 87; 88; 89; 91; 92; 94; 95; 96; 101; 103; 107; 113; 115; 121; 125; 126; 127; 128; 130;133;134; 135; 146; 147; 148; 149;150; 152; 169; 179; 183; 188; 192; 194; 202; 203; 204; 205;214; 224; 226; 231; 234; 242; 243; 253;257; 258; 263; 264; 267; 270; 278; 282; 284; 286; 289; 290;291; 292; 302; 306; 308; 311; 312; 313; 332;336;345;352
<i>Colletotrichum sp.</i>	26; 59; 156; 158; 167; 296; 297; 298; 303; 307; 321;
<i>Cladosporium sp.</i>	2; 3; 5; 6; 8; 10; 12; 13; 16; 17; 18; 19; 20; 21; 22; 23; 24; 25; 26; 27; 31; 35; 38; 39; 40; 43; 45; 46; 47; 53; 55; 56; 57; 59; 60; 61; 62; 63; 64; 65; 66; 67; 68; 71; 72; 73; 74; 75; 76; 77; 78; 79; 80; 81; 85; 86; 87; 88; 89; 90; 91; 92; 93; 94; 95; 96; 97; 98; 99; 100; 101; 102; 104; 106; 107; 108; 109; 110; 111; 112; 113; 114; 115; 116; 117; 118; 119; 121; 122; 124; 125; 126; 127; 128; 129; 130; 131; 132; 133; 134; 135; 136; 137; 138; 139; 140; 141; 142; 143; 144; 145; 146; 147; 148; 149; 150; 151; 152; 153; 154; 156;

---

	158; 159; 160; 161; 162; 163; 164; 165; 166; 167;
	168; 169; 170; 171; 172; 174; 175; 176; 177; 178;
	180; 182; 183; 184; 185; 186; 187; 189; 190; 191;
	192; 193; 194; 195; 199; 200; 203; 204; 205; 206;
	207; 208; 209; 210; 211; 212; 214; 215; 218; 219;
	220; 221; 222; 223; 224; 225; 228; 231; 232; 236;
	237; 238; 211; 242; 243; 244; 245; 246; 247; 248;
	249; 250; 251; 252; 253; 254; 255; 256; 257; 258;
	259; 260; 262; 264; 266; 267; 268; 269; 270; 271;
	272; 273; 274; 275; 276; 278; 279; 282; 289; 291;
	296; 299; 300; 301; 302; 303; 304; 305; 306; 307;
	308; 310; 311; 312; 313; 314; 315; 316; 317; 318;
	320; 321; 322; 323; 324; 325; 327; 328; 329 330; 332;
	334; 335; 336; 337; 338; 339; 340; 341; 342; 343;
	344; 345; 346; 348; 349; 351; 352; 353; 354
<i>Puccinia pampeana</i>	4; 9; 18; 28; 30; 34; 41; 44; 51; 103; 123; 140; 145;
	148; 188; 197; 210; 226; 234; 239; 244; 256; 350
No infested plants	7; 15; 50; 69; 120; 155; 157; 196; 314; 326; 331; 347

---

179

180

181 **4. CONCLUSION**

182

183 The morphoagronomic characters were efficient for evaluation and determination of genetic

184 diversity;

185 Greater diversity among genotypes was detected when the incidence of diseases in the  
186 plants was evaluated. The plants 7 ; 15; 50; 69; 120; 155; 157; 196; 314; 326; 331; 347  
187 should be selected because they do not present symptoms of fungal diseases.

## 188 **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

189

190 The universities UFPB and UFERSA, and, Caps by grant of the scholarship.

191

## 192 **6. COMPETING INTERESTS**

193

194 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

195

## 196 **7. AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

197

198 Author A wrote most of the work and made all the corrections, the study elaborated. Author  
199 B "performed a statistical analysis, and Author C suggested the study analyzes." Author D  
200 and E managed as a bibliographic research, the author F helped in writing the work and All  
201 authors read and approved the final manuscript.

202

## 203 **8. REFERENCES**

204

205

- 206 1. Bosland PW. Breeding for quality in Capsicum. Capsicum and Eggplant Newsletter, v. 209  
207 12, p. 25-31. 1993.
- 208 2. Bianchetti L B. Aspectos morfológicos, ecológicos e biogeográficos de dez táxons de  
209 Capsicum (Solanaceae) ocorrentes no Brasil. Tese (Mestrado) Universidade de Brasília,  
210 206 Brasília, DF. p. 325-331. 1996.

- 211 3. Neitzke RS, BARBIERI RL, HEIDEN G, CASTRO CM. Divergência genética entre 229  
212 variedades locais de *Capsicum baccatum* utilizando caracteres multicategóricos.  
213 Magistra, Cruz das Almas-BA, 20(3): 249-255. 2008
- 214 4. Nascimento NFF, RÊGO ER, RÊGO MM, NASCIMENTO MF, ALVES LI.  
215 Compatibilidade em cruzamentos intra e interespecíficos em pimenteiras ornamentais.  
216 2012
- 217 5. Neitzke RS, Barbieri RL, Rodrigues WF, Carrêa IV. Dissimilaridade genética entre  
218 acessos de pimenta com potencial ornamental. Horticultura Brasileira. 28: 47-53. 2010
- 219 6. Stommel JR, Bosland P. Ornamental pepper. *Capsicum annuum*. In: Anderson NO.  
220 Flower breeding and genetics: issues, challenges, and opportunities for the 21st Century,  
221 ed. Dordrecht, Holanda: Springer.561 – 599. 2006
- 222 7. Rêgo ER, Rêgo MM, Finger FL. Production and Breeding of Chilli Peppers (*Capsicum*  
223 spp.). Springer International Publishing Switzerland. 1-129. 2016
- 224 8.Ohara R and Pinto CMF Mercado de pimentas processadas. Inf. Agropec. 33: 14-20.  
225 Popinigis F (1997). Fisiologia da semente. Agiplan, Brasília. 2012
- 226 9. Finger FL, Rêgo ER, Segatto FB, Nascimento NFF. Produção e potencial de mercado para  
227 pimenta ornamental. In: Pinto CMF, Pinto CLO, Donzeles SML. Inf. Agropec. 33: 14-20.  
228 2012
- 229 10. Rêgo ER, Nascimento MF, Nascimento NFF, Santos RMC, et al. (2012). Testing methods  
230 for producing self-pollinated fruits in ornamental peppers. Horticultura Brasileira. 30: 669-  
231 672.
- 232 11. Silva Neto JJ, Rêgo ER, Nascimento MF, Silva Filho VAL. Variabilidade em base de  
233 população de pimenteiras ornamentais (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Rev. Ceres 61: 84-89.  
234 2014
- 235 12. Costa LV, Bentes JLS, Lopes MTG, Alves SEM. Caracterização de acessos de pimentas  
236 do Amazonas. Horticultura Brasileira. 33: 290-298. 2015



- 237 13. Rêgo ER, Silva DFS, Rêgo MM, Santos RMC. Diversidade entre linhagens e importância  
238 de caracteres relacionados à longevidade em vaso de linhagens de pimenteiras  
239 ornamentais. *Revista Brasileira de Horticultura Ornamental*. 16: 165-168. 2010
- 240 14. Santos RMC, Nascimento NFF, Borém A, Finger FL. Ornamental pepper breeding: could  
241 a chili be a flower ornamental plant? *Acta Horticulturae*. 1000: 451-456. et al. 2013
- 242 15. IPGRI Descriptores para *Capsicum* (*Capsicum* spp). Roma: IPGRI, 51p. 1995
- 243 16. Singh D The relative importance of characters affecting genetic divergence. *Indian J.*  
244 *Genet. Plant Breed*. 41: 237-245. Vencovsky R. Herança quantitativa. In: Paterniani, E.  
245 Melhoramento e produção do milho no Brasil. Escola Superior de Agricultura "Luiz de  
246 Queiroz", Piracicaba, 122-201. 1981
- 247 17. Cruz CD (2006). Programa Genes: análise multivariada e simulação. UFV, Viçosa.
- 248 18. Bento CS, Sudre CP, Rodrigues R, Riva EM, Descritores qualitativos e multicategóricos  
249 na estimativa da variabilidade fenotípica entre acessos de pimentas. *Scientia Agrária*. 8:  
250 149-156. 2007
- 251 19. RÊGO ER, RÊGO MM, CRUZ CD, FINGER FL, AMARAL DSSL. Genetic Diversity  
252 analysis of peppers: a comparison of discarding variables methods. *Crop Breeding* 257  
253 and Applied Biotechnology, Londrina, v. 3, n. 1, p. 19-26. 2003
- 254 20. Bento CS, Sudre CP, Rodrigues R, Riva EM. Descritores qualitativos e multicategóricos  
255 na estimativa da variabilidade fenotípica entre acessos de pimentas. *Scientia Agrária*. 8:  
256 149-156. 2007
- 257 21. N.F.F.; NASCIMENTO M.F.; SOARES, W.S.; FERREIRA, K.T.C.; OTONI, W.C. 196  
258 2012. Analysis of segregating generation for 40 components of seedling and plant height  
259 of 197 pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) for medicinal and ornamental purposes. *Acta*  
260 *Horticulturae*.
- 261 22. Barroso, P.A., Rêgo, E.R., Rêgo, M.M., Nascimento, K.S, Nascimento NFF, Soares WS,  
262 Ferreira KTC and Otoni WC. Analysis of segregating generation for components of  
263 seedling and plant height of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for medicinal and ornamental  
264 purposes. *Acta Hort*. 2012. 953:269-276.

265

266

UNDER PEER REVIEW