

2
3 **DOMESTICATION AND SURVIVAL OF SELECTED MEDICINAL TREES AND**
4 **SHRUBS IN CHAPERERIA DIVISION WEST POKOT COUNTY KENYA**

5
6 **ABSTRACT**

7 Depletion of medicinal plant species as a result of over over-extraction in their natural
8 habitats will have detrimental effects on the livelihood of the locals that herbal medicine
9 is part and parcel of their health systems. Though domestication is the best strategy to
10 conserve medicinal tree and shrub species, most medicinal trees and shrubs have
11 remained undomesticated due to low survival rates and inadequate information on the
12 best strategies to improve survival rates. This study was designated to determine the
13 domestication level and survival rates of selected medicinal tree and shrub species in the
14 semi-arid regions of Chepareria division. A cross-sectional research design was
15 employed in this study. Chepareria division was purposely selected. 384 households were
16 selected using systematic random sampling technique. A pre-designed data collection
17 sheet was used to collect the information on medicinal plant species and photographs
18 were taken where necessary during data collection. The study indicated that there were 25
19 medicinal tree and/or shrubs in Chepareria division. It was also found that 91.7%
20 households had domesticated trees on their farms with *Croton megalocarpus* (71.3%)
21 being the highly domesticated tree while *Myrsine africana* was the least (0.9%) prevalent
22 medicinal tree in the area. Further analysis using Chi-Square (χ^2) test of fitness indicated
23 that there were significant differences in the number of households that have
24 domesticated different medicinal trees and/or shrub species in Chepareria division (P
25 <.0001). The indicated that the various medicinal trees and/or shrubs had different
26 survival rates in the area. The mean survival rates of *Aloe graminicola* (62.6%), *Croton*
27 *macrostachyus* (69.8%) *Vernonia amygdalina* (69.3%) and *Croton megalocarpus*
28 (72.7%) are significantly higher while the survival rates of *Tamarindus indica* (12.0%),
29 *Myrsine africana* (6.6%), *Dalbergia vacciniifolia* (9.4%) and *Commiphora boi viniana*
30 (7.2%) are significantly lower. Chapareria to increase the domestication and survival rate
31 of trees/shrubs

32 **Keywords:** medicinal, domestication, preference, abundance, survival

33
34 **1. INTRODUCTION**

35 Over 25% and 80% of human population in developed and developing countries
36 respectively are using herbal medicinal and food supplements derived from trees and
37 shrubs for primary healthcare [1,2,3]. In developing countries, traditional medicine from

38 plants are preferred because they are affordable, corresponds to the ideologies of many
39 culture, perceived ineffectiveness of conventional medicine to treat some diseases like
40 advanced cancer and erectile dysfunction [1,3], and low level of side effects as compared
41 to conventional medicine as they are perceived natural and safe without toxic elements
42 among other reasons [1,4]. High percentage (85%) of African population has at least used
43 traditional medicine from plant extracts due to affordability and accessibility [5].

44 In Kenya, the use of traditional medicine from plants is widespread as over 90% of the
45 population in rural and urban areas has used plant extracts to treat various health
46 challenges [6,7,8]. The number of highly recognized medicinal tree species in Kenya
47 varies from one region to the other. In Mwingi [6], and Kakamega [7] found 28 and 40
48 highly prioritized tree species respectively, while in Marakwet [9] found a total of 111
49 tree species used for medicinal purposes.

50 Given the increasing market base that is leading to over-collection of existing species
51 populations, coupled with threatening impacts of climate change, about 33.3% of
52 medicinal plant species may be extinct in many countries in Kenya [6,10,11,13]. This is
53 evidenced that most valuable medicinal tree species are only found growing in small
54 scattered populations in remote rural areas especially in semi arid regions [11].

55 Depletion of medicinal plant species will have detrimental effects on the livelihood of the
56 locals that herbal medicine is part and parcel of their health systems [9]. This is because
57 herbal medicine is deeply rooted in the socio-economic and cultural values of many
58 people especially in the former Rift Valley province of Kenya [14]. To ensure
59 conservation of depleting medicinal species in the wild, and enhance sustainability of
60 herbal medicine to continue meeting the increasing demand, [1, 11,15] recommend

61 domestication of endangered and medicinal trees and shrubs. Domestication increases the
62 probability of optimizing yield as it may embrace the use of biotechnology, pest and
63 disease control among other benefits [11].

64 Though domestication was considered as the best option to towards conservation of
65 endangered medicinal plants enhance sustainable supply of the products to the increasing
66 markets, most medicinal plants have remained undomesticated [12]. This has led to
67 unsustainable dependence on medicinal plants from the wild whose depletion will
68 negatively affect the livelihood of many people especially in arid and semi-arid regions
69 [10,9]. A low rate of domestication has been due to low survival rates and inadequate
70 information to improve survival rates [1,11,16]. Therefore, this study looks at the
71 domestication and survival of selected medicinal trees and shrubs in Chapareria division,
72 West Pokot County, Kenya.

73 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

74 **2.1 Research Design**

75 This study used a cross-sectional research design, which according to Yin [17] involves
76 collecting data from the participants or treatments at a single point of time without
77 altering the environment in which such participants or treatments are situated.

78 **2.2 Study Area**

79 The study was conducted in the semi-arid regions of Chepareria division located in Pokot
80 South Sub-County of West-Pokot County in Kenya. The division lies at latitude between
81 1° 15' 40"N and 1° 55' 37"N and at longitude between 35° 7' 46"E and 35° 27' 10" E. The
82 altitude ranges from 708 m to 1200 m above sea level, with annual rainfall ranging from

83 750 mm to 1500 mm [18]. The division covers 500 km², divided into six administrative
84 locations, namely: Kipkomo, Senetwo, Ywalateke, Pserum, Chepkopegh and Shalpogh,
85 and 15 administrative sub-locations. The total population is about 41,600 people
86 occupying approximately 7,640 households [18]. Over 90% of the populations are
87 agropastoralist, though some farmers have started keeping improved livestock breeds for
88 livestock [19].

89 **2.3 Target Population**

90 The study targeted about 7,640 households living Chepareria division, both practicing
91 agropastoralist and those that have adopted improved livestock farming.

92 **2.4 Sampling Procedures and Sample Sizes**

93 The study used a multi-stage sampling technique. Chepareria administrative division was
94 selected based on purposeful sampling technique because it is one of the few divisions in
95 West-Pokot County where farmers are practicing agropastoralist, meaning they have
96 farms where they cultivate and the same time rear livestock. Out of six administrative
97 locations, half of the locations (3 locations) namely; Kipkomo, Ywalateke and
98 Chepkopegh were selected using systematic random sampling technique, where, a
99 location was selected after every one location; meaning, the first location, the third and
100 the fifth locations were selected after selecting the first location (Kipkomo) randomly. In
101 each of the selected locations, 2 administrative sub-locations namely: Kipkomo
102 (Kipkomo and Kosulol sub-Locations), Ywalateke (Kapchemogen and Propoi Sub-
103 locations) and Chepkopegh (Chesra and Chepkope Sub-locations) were selected using
104 systematic random sampling. In each administrative sub-location, two villages were

105 selected based on simple random sampling and households were selected using
106 systematic random sampling technique in each location.

107 The sample size was calculated based on Israel [20] equation (eqn. 1) at 0.5 margin error,
108 and divided in each village based on equal distribution

109
$$n = \left[\frac{N}{(1+Ne^2)} \right] \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

110 Where n = Sample size

111 e = margin error = 0.05 corresponding to 95% confidence level

112 N= total population size = 7640 households

113 Therefore:
$$n = \left[\frac{7640}{[1+(7640*0.05*0.05)]} \right] = 380.0995025 = \text{households.}$$

114 The number of villages were (3 Location * 2 sub-locations * 2 villages) = 12 villages

115 Therefore, the total number of households in each village was

116
$$380.0995/12 = 31.7 \text{ households} = 32 \text{ households in each village}$$

117 **2.5 Data Collection Procedures**

118 The data in this study was collected using a pre-designed data collection sheet and a
119 digital camera.

120 **2.5.1 Number of households that had domesticated highly valued medicinal plant**
121 **species**

122 Field research assistants with prior experience on tree species (mainly those that had
123 already worked for VI Agroforestry in various projects) were selected to visit selected
124 households and establish whether they have domesticated by planting any medicinal tree

125 and shrub species on the provided list. The percent of households (H%) that had
126 domesticated by planting at least one of the medicinal tree and or shrub species provided
127 on the list was calculated as indicated in equation 2.

$$H\% = \frac{n}{N} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

128 Where:

129 H%: is the percentage of households that have domesticated by planting at least
130 one of the medicinal tree and shrub species provided on the list.

131 n: is the number of households that have domesticated by planting at least one of
132 the medicinal tree and shrub species provided on the list.

133 N: is the total number of households that were involved in the study.

134 The percent of households (Hs%) that had domesticated by planting specific medicinal
135 tree and or shrub species provided on the list was calculated as indicated in equation 3.

136 For some species, a photograph was taken using a digital camera.

$$Hs\% = \frac{ns}{N} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

137 Where:

138 N: is the total number of households/farms that were involved in the study

139 ns: is the total number of households that have domesticated by planting a
140 specific medicinal tree and or shrub species on the provided list.

141 **2.5.2 On-farm Prevalence of highly valued medicinal plant species**

142 In each farm, the number of trees in each species category was counted and recorded in
143 the data sheet. The percent prevalence (Ps%) of each species on each farm was calculated
144 as indicated in equation 4.

$$Ps\% = \frac{nx}{Nt} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

145 Where:

146 nx: is the total number of medicinal tree and or shrub species that have been
147 domesticated by planting by the farmer

148 Nt: is the total number of a specific medicinal tree and or shrub species that has
149 been domesticated by planting by the farmer

150 The average percent prevalence (Psv%) of each species was calculated using equation 5

$$Psv\% = \frac{(Ps1\% + Ps2\% \dots \dots + Psn\%)}{Nx} \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

151 Where:

152 Ps1%, Ps2%, all the way to Psn% refers to the percent of a particular tree and or shrub
153 species domesticated by the 1st household, 2nd household all the way to the nth
154 (last) household.

155 Nx refers to the total number of households/farms that have domesticated that particular
156 tree or shrub species.

157 **2.5.3 The average on-farm survival rates of highly valued medicinal plant species**

158 In each farm with any medicinal tree and or shrub, the owner was asked to give the
159 number of trees that he/she initially planted. Then the farmer accompanied the field
160 assistant to the farm to manually count those trees and shrubs that had survived. Survival
161 rates (S%) of each medicinal tree or shrub species in each farm was estimated based on
162 equation 6.

$$S\% = \frac{nx}{Nx} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

163 Where:

164 nx: is the total number of an individual species that has survived since planting, and
165 was counted during data collection

166 Nx: is the total number of an individual species the farmer planted.

167 The average of an individual species in Chepareria was estimated using equation 7

$$Sv\% = \frac{(S1\% + S2\% \dots \dots + Sn\%)}{Nx} \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

168 Where:

169 S1%, S2%, all the way to Sn% refers to the survival percent of a particular tree or shrub
170 species in the 1st 2nd all the way to nth (last) farm

171 Nx refers to the total number of households/farms that have domesticated that particular
172 tree or shrub species.

173 **2.6 Data Analysis and Presentation**

174 Data was analyzed using chi-square goodness of fit test and one way ANOVA using
175 SPSS version 16 and presented in bar graphs and tables. Chi-square goodness of fit was
176 used to determine whether or not the occurrence of categories within a variable is
177 significantly equal based on the frequency of their occurrence (Hole, 2006). This test was
178 used to test if there were significant differences in the number of households that have
179 domesticated different medicinal tree and shrub species. In this case, the test variable will
180 be the medicinal tree or shrub species that has been domesticated by the farmer.


181 One way ANOVA was used to establish whether there is significant difference in the
182 mean prevalence and survival of medicinal trees and shrubs on farms. The species was
183 independent variable while prevalence and survival was dependent variables. In case of
184 significant difference between the means ($P < 0.05$), then mean separation was done using
185 Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) which has been proved to show real difference
186 better than other methods [21].

187 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

188 **3.1 Results**

189 **3.1.1 Number of Households that have Domesticated Selected Medicinal Plant**

190 Out of 384 households/farms that were involved in the research, 352 households (91.7%)
191 had domesticated at least one medicinal tree or shrub species (Figure 1).



192

193 **Figure 1: Domestication of Medicinal Trees and or Shrubs in Chepareria**




194 Table 1 indicates that 25 medicinal tree and shrub species belonging to 20 families were
 195 mainly domesticated. They included: Flacourtiaceae (1 species), Burseraceae (2 species),
 196 Ochinoideaceae (1 species), Aloaceae (1 species), Fabaceae (4 species), Oleaceae (1
 197 species), Combretaceae (1 species), Myrsinaceae (1 species), caper (1 species),
 198 Myrtaceae(1 species), Pittosporaceae (1 species), Rhamnaceae (1 species), Moraceae (1
 199 species), Ebenaceae (1 species), Rutaceae (1 species), Euphorbiaceae (2 species),
 200 Anacardiaceae (1 species), Meliaceae (1 species), Compositae (1 species) and
 201 Mimosaceae (1 species).

202 Chi-square test of fitness indicated significant differences in the number of households
 203 that have domesticated different medicinal trees and shrubs ($\chi^2 = 220.056$, d.f 24, P =
 204 0.0001). Further chi-square goodness of fit test on pairs of medicinal trees and shrubs
 205 indicated that the highest number of households (71.3%) have domesticated *Croton*
 206 *megalocarpus* commonly called Kenyan croton in English and Senetwo in Pokot
 207 belonging to *Euphorbiaceae* family. Contrary, the lowest percent of households (1.1%)






208 have domesticated *Myrsineafriana* commonly called Cape mytle in English and
209 Lakathetwa/Lagathethwa in Pokot belonging *Myrsinaceae* family. The percentages in
210 Table 1 with homogeneous superscript alphabetic letters means there is no significant
211 difference.

UNDER PEER REVIEW



212 **Table 1: Medicinal Tree and Shrub Species Domesticated by Different Households**




	Local name	English name	Scientific name	Family	House holds/352 (%)	Photos
1	Tingoswo	Common flacourtia	<i>Flacourtia indica</i>	Flacourtiaceae	8.8 ^d	
2	Katagh	African myrh	<i>Commiphora Africana</i>	Burseraceae	12.2 ^{cd}	
3	Lakatet/Laga tet	Vietnamese mickey-mouse plant	<i>Ochna insculpta</i>	Ochinoidaceae	4.8 ^d	

4	Tolkos/Olkos Lace aloe or Guinea-fowl aloe	<i>Aloe graminicola</i>	Aloaceae	50.1 ^b	
5	Oron	Termarindi <i>Tamarindus indica</i>	Fabaceae	3.7 ^d	
6	Chetoye	Wing-leaved wooden pear <i>Schrebera alata</i>	Oleaceae	7.6 ^d	
7	Komel/ Kemol	Velvet bush willow <i>Combretum molle</i>	Combretaceae	6.8 ^d	

8	Lakathetwa/ Lagathethwa	Cape mytle	<i>Myrsine afriana</i>	Myrsinaceae	1.1 ^d	
9	Arerenyon	Cadaba bush	<i>Cadaba farinose</i>	caper	7.1 ^d	
10	Pukwa/Pungwa	Waterberry tree	<i>Dalbergia vacciniifolia</i>	Fabaceae	5.8 ^d	
11	Reperwo/Reper	Waterberry tree	<i>Syzygium cordatum</i>	Myrtaceae	10.2 ^{cd}	
12	Chelewa/Chelewe	Cheesewood	<i>Pittosporum viridiflorum</i>	Pittosporaceae	8.2 ^d	

13	Mashan	Baamba	<i>Commiphora boi</i>	Burseraceae	11.1 ^{cd}	
14	Tirak	Abyssinian jujube	<i>Ziziphus abyssinica</i>	Rhamnaceae	17.3 ^c	
15	Simotwo	Common wild fig	<i>Ficus thonningii</i>	Moraceae	5.4 ^d	
16	Chepthuya	Diamond-leaved eulea	<i>Euclea divinorum</i>	Ebenaceae	16.5 ^c	
17	Manapelion	Winged orange cherry	<i>Teclea pilosa</i>	Rutaceae	12.2 ^{cd}	

18	Toboswo/ Toboswa	Boad-leaved coton	<i>Croton macrostachyus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	52.8 ^b	
19	Lolotwo	False marula	<i>Lannea fulva</i>	Anacardiaceae	20.5 ^c	
20	Ririon	Creamy flower	peacock <i>Delonix elata</i>	Fabaceae	8.0 ^d	
21	Mwarubaine	Neem	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	Meliaceae	18.8 ^c	
22	Senetwo	Kenyan croton	<i>Croton megalocarpus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	71.3 ^a	

23	Chebriandar	Bitter leaf venonia	<i>Vernoniaamygdalina</i>	Compositae	46.0 ^{bc}	
24	Koyopkwo	Camel's foot	<i>Piliostigmathonningii</i>	Fabaceae	29.5 ^c	
25	Mushebut	Tree Entada	<i>Endataabyssinica</i>	Mimosaceae	16.8 ^c	

UNDER PEER REVIEW

214 Note: The mean percentages with homogeneous superscript alphabetic letters means there is no
215 significant difference in such means as indicated by DMRT

216 **3.1.2 Prevalence of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs on Farms**

217 Table 2 indicate that the percent *Croton megalocarpus* (79.6%) is the most prevalent medicinal
218 tree species while *Myrsineafriana* (0.9%) is the least prevalent species among the 25 medicinal
219 tree and shrub species that have been domesticated by households in Chepareria division. The
220 medicinal trees and shrubs are mainly planted on the boundary, in home gardens, as shelter belts,
221 live fence and as scattered trees or shrubs on farm.

222 DMRT indicated that the mean percent prevalence of *Croton megalocarpus* (79.6%) is
223 significantly higher compared to percent prevalence of other medicinal trees and shrubs that have
224 been domesticated in Chepareria administrative division.

225

Table 2: Average Percent Prevalence of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs on Farms

	Scientific name	Prevalence (%)	Where planted or reserved
1	<i>Flacourtiaindica</i>	10.5 ^{cd}	Boundary, scattered
2	<i>Commiphora Africana</i>	17.1 ^{cd}	Boundary
3	<i>Ochnainsculpta</i>	15.8 ^{dc}	Garden, boundary
4	<i>Aloe graminicola</i>	33.7 ^b	Garden
5	<i>Tamarindusindica</i>	4.3 ^d	Boundary, shelter belts
6	<i>Schreberaalata</i>	13.4 ^{cd}	Boundary
7	<i>Combretummolle</i>	10.7 ^{cd}	Garden, boundary
8	<i>Myrsineafriana</i>	0.9 ^d	Garden, Boundary
9	<i>Ziziphusabyssinica</i>	21.1 ^c	Garden
10	<i>Ficusthonningii</i>	8.9 ^{cd}	Boundary, scattered on farm
11	<i>Cadaba farinose</i>	7.0 ^d	Boundary, Garden, scattered on farm
12	<i>Dalbergiavacciniifolia</i>	10.3 ^{cd}	Boundary
13	<i>Syzygiumcordatum</i>	6.3 ^d	Boundary
14	<i>Commiphoraboiviniana</i>	4.3 ^d	Boundary, scattered on farm
15	<i>Euleadivinoum</i>	9.0 ^{cd}	Boundary, Life fence
16	<i>Pittosporumvividiflorum</i>	5.5 ^d	Boundary, scattered on farm
17	<i>Tecleapilosa</i>	8.5 ^d	Boundary, scattered on farm
18	<i>Croton macrostachyus</i>	72.7 ^a	Boundary, Life fence
19	<i>Lanneafulva</i>	19.8 ^c	Boundary, wind breaks scattered on farm, garden
20	<i>Delonixelata</i>	8.7 ^d	Boundary
21	<i>Azadirachtaindica</i>	20.9 ^c	Wind breaks, Boundary Scattered
22	<i>Vernoniaamygdalina</i>	47.7 ^b	Boundary, wind breaks
23	<i>Piliostigmathonningii</i>	17.1 ^c	Wind breaks, Boundary, Scattered, garden
24	<i>Endataabyssinica</i>	14.4 ^{cd}	Boundary, Scattered, garden
25	<i>Croton megalocarpus</i>	79.6 ^a	Garden, Scattered, Boundary

227 Note: The mean percentages with homogeneous superscript alphabetic letters means there is no
 228 significant difference in such means as indicated by DMRT.

229 One-way ANOVA indicated that there is significant difference in the mean percent prevalence of
 230 medicinal trees and shrubs domesticated on farms in Chepareria administrative division of West-
 231 Pokot County ($F = 9.447$, $d.f = 24$, $P < .0001$) (Table 3).

232 **Table 3: One-Way ANOVA for Abundance of Medicinal Tree And Shrub Species on Farm**

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	3649.188	8	456.148	9.447	.000
Within Groups	47800.110	990	48.283		
Total	51449.297	998			

233 **3.1.3 Survival of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs on Farms**

234 Table 4 indicates that *Croton megalocarpus* and *Myrsineafriana* have the highest (72.7%) and
 235 lowest (6.6%) survival rates respectively compared to all the 25 medicinal tree and shrub species
 236 domesticated in Chepareria.

237 DMRT indicated that the mean survival rates of *Aloe graminicola* (62.6%), *Croton*
 238 *macrostachyus* (69.8%) *Vernonia amygdalina* (69.3%) and *Croton megalocarpus* (72.7%) are
 239 significantly higher while the survival rates o *Tamarindus indica* (12.0%), *Myrsine afriana*
 240 (6.6%), *Dalbergia vacciniifolia* (9. 4%) and *Commiphoraboi viniana* (7.2%) are significantly
 241 lower.

242 **Table 4: Survival Rates of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs**

	Scientific name	Survival (%)
1	<i>Flacourtia indica</i>	33.3bc
2	<i>Commiphora africana</i>	24.0c
3	<i>Ochnain sculpta</i>	37.8bc
4	<i>Aloe graminicola</i>	62.6a

5	<i>Tamarindusindica</i>	12.0d
6	<i>Schrebera alata</i>	35.6b
7	<i>Combretum molle</i>	41.9b
8	<i>Myrsine afriana</i>	6.6d
9	<i>Ziziphus abyssinica</i>	15.9c
10	<i>Ficus thonningii</i>	43.7b
11	<i>Cadaba farinose</i>	23.1c
12	<i>Dalbergia vacciniifolia</i>	9.4d
13	<i>Syzygium cordatum</i>	19.6c
14	<i>Commiphoraboi viniana</i>	7.2d
15	<i>Eulea divinoum</i>	31.1c
16	<i>Pittosporumvin vidiflorum</i>	11.9cd
17	<i>Teclea pilosa</i>	24.1c
18	<i>Croton macrostachyus</i>	69.8a
19	<i>Lanneafulva</i>	48.4ab
20	<i>Delonixelata</i>	31.9c
21	<i>Azadirachtaindica</i>	43.7b
22	<i>Vernoniaamygdalina</i>	69.3a
23	<i>Piliostigma thonningii</i>	46.8b
24	<i>Endata abyssinica</i>	27.6c
25	<i>Croton megalocarpus</i>	72.7a

243

244 One-way Anova indicated that there is a significant difference in the survival rates of medicinal
 245 tree and shrub species domesticated by planting in the administrative division of Chepareria in
 246 West-Pokot County (F = 810. 572, d.f = 24, P <0.0001) (Table 5).

247


248

249

250 **Table 5 One-Way ANOVA for Survival Rates of Medicinal Tree and Shrub Species on Farm**
 251

Total Harvest					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	7.520E11	8	9.400E10	810.572	.000
Within Groups	1.148E11	990	1.160E8		
Total	8.668E11	998			

252 To improve survival rates, farm owners are taking a number of activities as presented in Figure
 253 2.



254
 255 **Figure 2: Activities Improve Survival O Medicinal Trees and Shrubs in Chepareria**

256 **3.2 Discussion**

257 **3.2.1 Domestication of medicinal plants and shrubs**

258 This study showed that the Pokot community which is one of the ASAL inhabitants in Kenya has
 259 placed high value on medicinal trees and shrubs. 91.7% of the sampled households had

260 domesticated at least one medicinal tree/shrub. They value traditional medicine prescribed by
261 traditional healers rather than the pharmaceutical drugs administered in modern health centers
262 [9]. This finding agrees with that of [22] who asserted that most communities in the East Africa
263 ASALs rely heavily on trees and shrubs hence they have opted to domesticate them in order to
264 access their services easily.

265 *Croton megalocarpus* had the highest rate of adoption because most people were familiar with it
266 and aware of its medicinal value. Rather than the medicinal purpose, the tree also provided fuel,
267 fodder, shade and timber to the households and it was well adapted to the harsh climatic
268 condition of the region because it is an indigenous tree in Kenya hence high domestication rate.
269 This result conferred with that of [23] who indicated that most of trees which are domesticated
270 are chosen based on their beneficial values, and a multipurpose tree is highly prioritized.

271 3.2.2 Prevalence medicinal plants and shrubs

272 *Flacourtia indica*, *Commiphora Africana*, *Ochnainsculpta*, *Aloe graminicola*, *Tamarindus*
273 *indica*, *Schrebera alata*, *Combretummolle*, *Myrsine afriana*, *Ziziphus abyssinica*, *Ficus*
274 *thonningii*, *Cadaba farinose*, *Dalbergia vacciniifolia*, *Syzygium cordatum*, *Commiphoraboi*
275 *viniana*, *Euleadivinoum*, *Pittosporumvividiflorum*, *Tecleapilosa*, *Croton macrostachyus*, *Lannea*
276 *fulva*, *Delonixelata*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Vernoniaamygdalina*, *Piliostigma thonningii*, *Endata*
277 *abyssinica* and *Croton megalocarpus* were found to be the most common medicinal trees and/or
278 shrubs domesticated in the area. This list of medicinal tree and/shrubs found in Chepareria
279 concurs with that reported by [6] with *Croton megalocarpus* being the most prevalent medicinal
280 tree. The trees were found as live fences, homegardens, scattered on farms and pastures to
281 provide shade as was also indicated by [8].

282 *Myrsine africana* was the least adopted tree species in the region since it was a rare species and
283 the community had little knowledge about it except the medicinal specialists. This finding is in
284 agreement with that of [23] who indicated that local communities prefer to domesticate trees that
285 they fully understand besides its monetary return. Further, different famers had different
286 perception and view on specific species hence adoptions varied. This study is against the
287 findings of [24] who asserted that there are no variations in the adoptions on medicinal trees by
288 herbalists.

289 **3.2.3 Survival medicinal plants and shrubs**

290 Survival of medicinal tree/shrub planted on farms depended on various factors. These factors
291 included tree species, ability of the plant to adopt to the environmental conditions such as low
292 precipitation leading to prolonged dry seasons, very high evapotranspiration, poor edaphic
293 conditions (little nutrients and low organic matter), strong dry winds during drought, destruction
294 by wildlife and livestock, destruction by human. This finding confers with the study by [25]
295 which showed that trees have different adaption ability, and some plants can survive in harsh
296 environmental conditions. The 25 medicinal trees/shrubs that have survived in Chapareria have
297 the following xerophytic characteristics:- deep rooted to absorb water from the lower soil layers,
298 small leaves mainly spines to reduce the surface area for evapotranspiration and destruction by
299 herbivores which feed on plant leaves, fleshy stems and bark to store water and reversed
300 stomatasequence ,and it agrees by the study of [26].

301 The results of this study showed that *Croton megalorcapus* has the highest survival rate meaning
302 it has all the desirable characteristics needed for survival in the dryland ecosystem of Chapareria,
303 being an indigenous tree in the region, it was easy to establish, required minimal tendering
304 throughout its life cycle, and people had placed very high value on this tree species hence

305 promoting its conservation, this concurs with the study [27]. The species with low survival rates
306 indicated that they required intensive care especially during the initial stages of development
307 which was not accomplished by many households due to lack of silvicultural knowledge. Low
308 survival could also be caused by animal damage, low adaptation rate to the dryland conditions
309 especially the exotic tree/shrub species; this adheres to the results indicated by the vegetation
310 inventory by [28].

311 The study also indicated that most death of the domesticated trees and/or shrubs are caused by
312 human/animal damages and low seed quality; hence protection from damages by animals and
313 human was the most crucial activity to be carried out. Other management practices that could
314 increase the survival rate included, weeding, watering seedlings, using high quality planting
315 material and pruning, this management practices confers with the study on dryland tree
316 management practices outlined by [29].

317 **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

318 Medicinal trees and shrubs are highly valued in most African ASAL societies including
319 Chapareria since they still appreciate the power of taking raw medicine from plants. Use of
320 traditional medicine is a form of preserving their cultures and connecting to their ancestors.
321 Changes in the modern society such as population increase of human and livestock diseases and
322 commercialization of the traditional medicine as a result of development of a currency economy
323 has led to exploitation of these tree species in the wild. This has led to decrease in the population
324 of medicinal trees and/or shrubs and even extinction of some trees. Domestication of this
325 medicinal trees and shrubs on farms by the local households in Chapareria has been adopted to

326 reduce the pressure on the natural woodlands and increase production of traditional medicine to
327 serve the local community.

328 Based on the findings of this study, the study recommends that an intensive farm forestry
329 extension should be carried out in Chapareria by the forest extension officers to teach and
330 encourage the households to domesticate and adopt the medicinal trees/shrubs in their farms. The
331 government should also provide high quality affordable seeds or seedlings to the households in
332 Chapareria to increase the domestication and survival rate of trees/shrubs

333 REFERENCES

- 334 1. Canter, P., Thomas, H., & Ernst, E. (2005). Bringing Medicinal Plants into Cultivation:
335 Opportunities and Challenges for Biotechnology. *Trends Biotechnol*, 23:180-185
- 336 2. Siahsar, B., Rahimi, M., Tavassoli, A. & Raissi, A. (2011). Application of Biotechnology
337 in Production of Medicinal Plants. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci*, 11 (3):
338 439-444
- 339 3. Ekor, E. (2013). The Growing Use of Herbal Medicines: Issues Relating to Adverse
340 Reactions and Challenges in Monitoring Safety. *Front Pharmacol*, 4 (177)
- 341 4. Benzie, I.F. & Wachtel-Galor, S. (2011). *Herbal Medicine: Biomolecular and Clinical*
342 *Aspects*, (2nd Ed). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- 343 5. Falodun, A. (2010). Herbal medicine in africa-distribution, standardization and prospects.
344 *Research Journal of Phytochemistry*, 4: 154-161 Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case Study Research:*
345 *Design and Theory. Applied Social Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- 346 6. Njoroge, G., Kaibui, M., Njenga, P., & Odhiambo, P. (2010). Utilisation of Priority
347 Traditional Medicinal Plants and Local People's Knowledge on their Conservation Status
348 in Arid Lands of Kenya (Mwingi District). *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed*, 6(2010): 22-28.
- 349 7. Otieno, E. N. & Analo, C. (2012). Local Indigenous Knowledge about some Medicinal
350 Plants in and around Kakamega Forest in Western Kenya. *F1000Res*. 2012(1): 40.
- 351 8. Furukawaa, T., Kiboib, S., Chalo, M., & Fujiwarac, K. (2016). Multiple use Patterns of
352 Medicinal Trees in an Urban Forest in Nairobi, Kenya, *Urban Forestry & Urban*
353 *Greening*, 18 (2016): 34-40.
- 354 9. Kipkore, W., Wanjohi, B., Rono, H., & Kigen, G. (2014). A Study of the Medicinal Plants
355 used by the Marakwet Community in Kenya. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed*, 10: 24.
- 356 10. Bussmann, R., Sharon, D., & Lopez, A. (2007). Blending Traditional and Western
357 Medicine: Medicinal Plant use among Patients at Clinica Anticona in El Porvenir, Peru.
358 *Ethnobotany Research & Applications*, 5:185-199

- 359 11. Sher, H., Alyemeni, M., &Faridullah, A. (2010). Cultivation and Domestication Study of
360 High Value Medicinal Plant Species (its Economic Potential and Linkages with
361 Commercialization). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(18): 2462-2470
- 362 12. Assefa, A., &Abebe., T. (2014). Ethnobotanical study of wild medicinal trees and shrubs
363 in BennaTsemay District, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of science and development* 2(1).
- 364 13. Chunjing, W., Chengzhu, L., Jizhong, W., &Zhixiang, Z. (2016). Climate Change may
365 Threaten Habitat Suitability of Threatened Plant Species within Chinese Nature Reserves.
366 *EcolLett*, 14(5): 484–492
- 367 14. Kipkorir, B. &Welbourn, F. (2008). *The Marakwet of Kenya: A Preliminary Study*.
368 Nairobi: East African Educational Publishers
- 369 15. Khemani, L D., Srivastava, M., Srivastava, S. (Ed). (2011). *Chemistry of Phytopotentials:*
370 *Health, Energy and Environmental Perspectives*, New York: Springer.
- 371 16. Muriira, N., Xu, W., Muchugi, A., Xu, J., & Liu, A., (2015). De Novo Sequencing and
372 Assembly Analysis of Transcriptome in the Sodom apple (*Calotropis gigantea*). *BMC*
373 *Genomics*, 6:723
- 374 17. Wernersson, J.E.V. (2013). *Towards a Critical Social Theory of Landscape: Perception*
375 *and Experiences of Land-use Change in Chepareria, Kenya*. Unpublished.
- 376 18. Street., R. &Prinsloo., G., (2012). Commercially important medicinal plants of South
377 Africa: A Review. *Journal of chemistry* volume 2013, article ID 205048.
- 378 19. Owino YO, Sirmah PK, Hitimana J. The prediction of leaf biomass production from
379 *Faidherbia albida* in semi arid land, Pokot County, Kenya. *Asian Journal of Research*
380 *in Agriculture and Forestry*.2018;1(2): 1-10. DOI: 10.9734/AJRAF/2018/ 40867
- 381 20. Milimo, P. B ; Dick, J. McP.; Munro, R. C..(1994). Domestication of trees in Semi-Arid
382 East Africa: the current situation. In: Leaky, R. R. B, Newton, A.C., (eds.). *Tropical*
383 *trees: the potential for domestication and the rebuilding of forest resources*. London,
384 HMSO, 210-219. (ITE symposium, 29).
- 385 21. Muthee, J., Gakuya, D., Mbaria, J., Kareru, P., Mulei, C. &Njonge, F. ethnobotanical
386 study of anthelmintic and other medicinal plants traditionally used in Loitokitok of Kenya.
387 *Journal of ethnopharmacology* 135 (2011) 15-21.
- 388 22. Ngarivhumea, T., Kloosterc, E., Jongc, J., &Westhuizen, J. (2015). Medicinal Plants used
389 by Traditional Healers for the Treatment of Malaria in the Chipinge District in
390 Zimbabwe. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 159: 224–237
- 391 23. Njoroge, G. (2012). Traditional medicinal plants in two urban areas in Kenya (Thika and
392 Nairobi): diversity of traded species, and conservation concerns. *Journal of*
393 *ethnobotanical research* 9: 329- 338(2012).
- 394 24. Zhang, S., Fan, D, Xu, X.,2013.Ecophysiological adaptation of dominant tree species at
395 two contrasting habitats in Southwestern China
- 396 25. Bakari, A. (2016), assessment of plant diversity and utilization of wild medicinal species
397 by households proximate to Arabukosokoke forest in Kilifi County Kenya. Thesis.
- 398 26. Durugbo ,E., Oyeteran, B., &Oyejide, N. (2012). Vegetation inventory of the redemption
399 camp, Ogun state, Nigeria,: Evaluation of medicinal plant resources and strategies for
400 conservation. *Journal of biological sciences* DOI:10.393/jbs.2012
- 401 27. Jeruto, P., Mutai, C., Ouma, G., &Lokhoba, C. (2011). An inventory of medicinal plants
402 that the people of Nandi use to treat Malaria. *Journal of Animal & Plant health sciences*
403 2011, vol 9 issue 3: 1192- 1200.