

DOMESTICATION AND SURVIVAL OF SELECTED MEDICINAL TREES AND SHRUBS IN CHAPERERIA DIVISION WEST POKOT COUNTY KENYA

ABSTRACT

Depletion of medicinal plant species as a result of over over-extraction in their natural habitats will have detrimental effects on the livelihood of the locals that herbal medicine is part and parcel of their health systems. Though domestication is the best strategy to conserve medicinal tree and shrub species, most medicinal trees and shrubs have remained undomesticated due to low survival rates and inadequate information on the best strategies to improve survival rates. This study was designated to determine the domestication level and survival rates of selected medicinal tree and shrub species in the semi-arid regions of Chepareria division. A cross-sectional research design was employed in this study. Chepareria division was purposely selected. 384 households were selected using systematic random sampling technique. A pre-designed data collection sheet was used to collect the information on medicinal plant species and photographs were taken where necessary during data collection. The study indicated that there were 25 medicinal tree and/or shrubs in Chepareria division. It was also found that 91.7% households had domesticated trees on their farms with *Croton megalocarpus* (71.3%) being the highly domesticated tree while *Myrsine afriana* was the least (0.9%) prevalent medicinal tree in the area. Further analysis using Chi-Square (χ^2) test of fitness indicated that there were significant differences in the number of households that have domesticated different medicinal trees and/or shrub species in Chepareria division ($P < .0001$). The indicated that the various medicinal trees and/or shrubs had different survival rates in the area. The mean survival rates of *Aloe graminicola* (62.6%), *Croton macrostachyus* (69.8%) *Vernonia amygdalina* (69.3%) and *Croton megalocarpus* (72.7%) are significantly higher while the survival rates of *Tamarindus indica* (12.0%), *Myrsine afriana* (6.6%), *Dalbergia vacciniifolia* (9.4%) and *Commiphora boi viniana* (7.2%) are significantly lower. Chepareria to increase the domestication and survival rate of trees/shrubs

Keywords: medicinal, domestication, preference, abundance, survival

1. INTRODUCTION

Over 25% and 80% of human population in developed and developing countries respectively are using herbal medicinal and food supplements derived from trees and shrubs for primary healthcare [1,2,3]. In developing countries, traditional medicine from plants are preferred because they are affordable, corresponds to the ideologies of many culture, perceived ineffectiveness of conventional medicine to treat some diseases like advanced cancer and erectile dysfunction [1,3], and low level of side effects as compared to conventional medicine as they are perceived natural

40 and safe without toxic elements among other reasons [1,4]. High percentage (85%) of African
41 population has at least used traditional medicine from plant extracts due to affordability and
42 accessibility [5].

43 In Kenya, the use of traditional medicine from plants is widespread as over 90% of the population
44 in rural and urban areas has used plant extracts to treat various health challenges [6,7,8]. The
45 number of highly recognized medicinal tree species in Kenya varies from one region to the other.
46 In Mwingi [6], and Kakamega [7] found 28 and 40 highly prioritized tree species respectively,
47 while in Marakwet [9] found a total of 111 tree species used for medicinal purposes.

48 Given the increasing market base that is leading to over-collection of existing species
49 populations, coupled with threatening impacts of climate change, about 33.3% of medicinal plant
50 species may be extinct in many countries in Kenya [6,10,11,12]. This is evidenced that most
51 valuable medicinal tree species are only found growing in small scattered populations in remote
52 rural areas especially in semi arid regions [13].

53 Depletion of medicinal plant species will have detrimental effects on the livelihood of the locals
54 that herbal medicine is part and parcel of their health systems [9]. This is because herbal
55 medicine is deeply rooted in the socio-economic and cultural values of many people especially in
56 the former Rift Valley province of Kenya [14]. To ensure conservation of depleting medicinal
57 species in the wild, and enhance sustainability of herbal medicine to continue meeting the
58 increasing demand, [1,11,15] recommend domestication of endangered and medicinal trees and
59 shrubs. Domestication increases the probability of optimizing yield as it may embrace the use of
60 biotechnology, pest and disease control among other benefits [11].

61 Though domestication was considered as the best option to towards conservation of endangered
62 medicinal plants enhance sustainable supply of the products to the increasing markets, most
63 medicinal plants have remained undomesticated [12]. This has led to unsustainable dependence
64 on medicinal plants from the wild whose depletion will negatively affect the livelihood of many
65 people especially in arid and semi-arid regions [9,10]. A low rate of domestication has been due
66 to low survival rates and inadequate information to improve survival rates [1,11,16]. Therefore, this

67 study looks at the domestication and survival of selected medicinal trees and shrubs in
68 Chepareria division, West Pokot County, Kenya.

69 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

70 **2.1 Research Design**

71 This study used a cross-sectional research design, which according to Yin [17] involves collecting
72 data from the participants or treatments at a single point of time without altering the environment
73 in which such participants or treatments are situated.

74 **2.2 Study Area**

75 The study was conducted in the semi-arid regions of Chepareria division located in Pokot South
76 Sub-County of West-Pokot County in Kenya. The division lies at latitude between 1° 15' 40"N and
77 1° 55' 37"N and at longitude between 35° 7' 46"E and 35° 27' 10" E. The altitude ranges from 708
78 m to 1200 m above sea level, with annual rainfall ranging from 750 mm to 1500 mm [18]. The
79 division covers 500 km², divided into six administrative locations, namely: Kipkomo, Senetwo,
80 Ywalateke, Pserum, Chepkopegh and Shalpoogh, and 15 administrative sub-locations. The total
81 population is about 41,600 people occupying approximately 7,640 households [18]. Over 90% of
82 the populations are agropastoralist, though some farmers have started keeping improved
83 livestock breeds for livestock [19].

84 **2.3 Target Population**

85 The study targeted about 7,640 households living Chepareria division, both practicing
86 agropastoralist and those that have adopted improved livestock farming.

87 **2.4 Sampling Procedures and Sample Sizes**

88 The study used a multi-stage sampling technique. Chepareria administrative division was
89 selected based on purposeful sampling technique because it is one of the few divisions in West-
90 Pokot County where farmers are practicing agropastoralist, meaning they have farms where they
91 cultivate and the same time rear livestock. Out of six administrative locations, half of the locations

92 (3 locations) namely; Kipkomo, Ywalateke and Chepkopegh were selected using systematic
93 random sampling technique, where, a location was selected after every one location; meaning,
94 the first location, the third and the fifth locations were selected after selecting the first location
95 (Kipkomo) randomly. In each of the selected locations, 2 administrative sub-locations namely:
96 Kipkomo (Kipkomo and Kosulol sub-Locations), Ywalateke (Kapchemogen and Propoi Sub-
97 locations) and Chepkopegh (Chesra and Chepkope Sub-locations) were selected using
98 systematic random sampling. In each administrative sub-location, two villages were selected
99 based on simple random sampling and households were selected using systematic random
100 sampling technique in each location.

101 The sample size was calculated based on Israel [20] equation (eqn. 1) at 0.5 margin error, and
102 divided in each village based on equal distribution

103
$$n = \left[\frac{N}{(1+Ne^2)} \right] \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

104 Where n = Sample size

105 e = margin error = 0.05 corresponding to 95% confidence level

106 N= total population size = 7640 households

107 Therefore:
$$n = \left[\frac{7640}{1+(7640 \times 0.05 \times 0.05)} \right] = 380.0995025 = \text{households.}$$

108 The number of villages were (3 Location * 2 sub-locations * 2 villages) = 12 villages

109 Therefore, the total number of households in each village was

110 $380.0995/12 = 31.7$ households = 32 households in each village

111 **2.5 Data Collection Procedures**

112 The data in this study was collected using a pre-designed data collection sheet and a digital
113 camera.

114 **2.5.1 Number of households that had domesticated highly valued medicinal**
115 **plant species**

116 Field research assistants with prior experience on tree species (mainly those that had already
117 worked for VI Agroforestry in various projects) were selected to visit selected households and
118 establish whether they have domesticated by planting any medicinal tree and shrub species on
119 the provided list. The percent of households (H%) that had domesticated by planting at least one
120 of the medicinal tree and or shrub species provided on the list was calculated as indicated in
121 equation 2.

$$H\% = \frac{n}{N} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

122 Where:

123 H%: is the percentage of households that have domesticated by planting at least one of
124 the medicinal tree and shrub species provided on the list.

125 n: is the number of households that have domesticated by planting at least one of the
126 medicinal tree and shrub species provided on the list.

127 N: is the total number of households that were involved in the study.

128 The percent of households (Hs%) that had domesticated by planting specific medicinal tree and
129 or shrub species provided on the list was calculated as indicated in equation 3. For some species,
130 a photograph was taken using a digital camera.

$$Hs\% = \frac{ns}{N} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

131 Where:

132 N: is the total number of households/farms that were involved in the study

133 ns: is the total number of households that have domesticated by planting a specific
134 medicinal tree and or shrub species on the provided list.

135 **2.5.2 On-farm Prevalence of highly valued medicinal plant species**

136 In each farm, the number of trees in each species category was counted and recorded in the data
137 sheet. The percent prevalence (Ps%) of each species on each farm was calculated as indicated
138 in equation 4.

$$Ps\% = \frac{nx}{Nt} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

139 Where:

140 nx: is the total number of medicinal tree and or shrub species that have been
141 domesticated by planting by the farmer

142 Nt: is the total number of a specific medicinal tree and or shrub species that has been
143 domesticated by planting by the farmer

144 The average percent prevalence (Psv%) of each species was calculated using equation 5

$$Psv\% = \frac{(Ps1\% + Ps2\% \dots \dots + Psn\%)}{Nx} \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

145 Where:

146 Ps1%, Ps2%, all the way to Psn% refers to the percent of a particular tree and or shrub species
147 domesticated by the 1st household, 2nd household all the way to the nth (last) household.

148 Nx refers to the total number of households/farms that have domesticated that particular tree or
149 shrub species.

150 **2.5.3 The average on-farm survival rates of highly valued medicinal plant species**

151 In each farm with any medicinal tree and or shrub, the owner was asked to give the number of
152 trees that he/she initially planted. Then the farmer accompanied the field assistant to the farm to
153 manually count those trees and shrubs that had survived. Survival rates (S%) of each medicinal
154 tree or shrub species in each farm was estimated based on equation 6.

$$S\% = \frac{nx}{Nx} * 100 \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

155 Where:

156 nx: is the total number of an individual species that has survived since planting, and was
157 counted during data collection

158 Nx: is the total number of an individual species the farmer planted.

159 The average of an individual species in Chepareria was estimated using equation 7

$$Sv\% = \frac{(S1\% + S2\% \dots \dots + Sn\%)}{Nx} \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

160 Where:

161 S1%, S2%, all the way to Sn% refers to the survival percent of a particular tree or shrub species
162 in the 1st 2nd all the way to nth (last) farm

163 Nx refers to the total number of households/farms that have domesticated that particular tree or
164 shrub species.

165 **2.6 Data Analysis and Presentation**

166 Data was analyzed using chi-square goodness of fit test and one way ANOVA using SPSS
167 version 16 and presented in bar graphs and tables. Chi-square goodness of fit was used to
168 determine whether or not the occurrence of categories within a variable is significantly equal
169 based on the frequency of their occurrence [21]. This test was used to test if there were
170 significant differences in the number of households that have domesticated different medicinal
171 tree and shrub species. In this case, the test variable will be the medicinal tree or shrub species
172 that has been domesticated by the farmer.


173 One way ANOVA was used to establish whether there is significant difference in the mean
174 prevalence and survival of medicinal trees and shrubs on farms. The species was independent
175 variable while prevalence and survival was dependent variables. In case of significant difference
176 between the means (P <0.05), then mean separation was done using Duncan Multiple Range
177 Test (DMRT) which has been proved to show real difference better than other methods [22].

178 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

179 **3.1 Results**

180 **3.1.1 Number of Households that have Domesticated Selected Medicinal Plant**

181 Out of 384 households/farms that were involved in the research, 352 households (91.7%) had
182 domesticated at least one medicinal tree or shrub species (Figure 1).



183

184 **Figure 1: Domestication of Medicinal Trees and or Shrubs in Chepareria**




185 Table 1 indicates that 25 medicinal tree and shrub species belonging to 20 families were mainly
186 domesticated. They included: Flacourtiaceae (1 species), Burseraceae (2 species),
187 Ochinoideaceae (1 species), Aloaceae (1 species), Fabaceae (4 species), Oleaceae (1 species),
188 Combretaceae (1 species), Myrsinaceae (1 species), caper (1 species), Myrtaceae(1 species),
189 Pittosporaceae (1 species), Rhamnaceae (1 species), Moraceae (1 species), Ebenaceae (1
190 species), Rutaceae (1 species), Euphorbiaceae (2 species), Anacardiaceae (1 species),
191 Meliaceae (1 species), Compositae (1 species) and Mimosaceae (1 species).




192 Chi-square test of fitness indicated significant differences in the number of households that have
193 domesticated different medicinal trees and shrubs ($\chi^2 = 220.056$, d.f 24, $P = 0.0001$). Further chi-
194 square goodness of fit test on pairs of medicinal trees and shrubs indicated that the highest





195 number of households (71.3%) have domesticated *Croton megalocarpus* commonly called
196 Kenyan croton in English and Senetwo in Pokot belonging to *Euphorbiaceae* family. Contrary, the
197 lowest percent of households (1.1%) have domesticated *Myrsineafriana* commonly called Cape
198 mytle in English and Lakathetwa/Lagathethwa in Pokot belonging *Myrsinaceae* family. The
199 percentages in Table 1 with homogeneous superscript alphabetic letters means there is no
200 significant difference.


UNDER PEER REVIEW


201 **Table 1: Medicinal Tree and Shrub Species Domesticated by Different Households**

	Local name	English name	Scientific name	Family	House holds/ 352 (%)	Photos
1	Tingoswo	Common flacourtia	<i>Flacourtia indica</i>	Flacourtiaceae	8.8 ^d	
2	Katagh	African myrh	<i>Commiphora Africana</i>	Burseraceae	12.2 ^{cd}	
3	Lakatet/Lagate t	Vietnamese mickey- mouse plant	<i>Ochna insculpta</i>	Ochinoidaceae	4.8 ^d	


4	Tolkos/Olkos	Lace aloe or Guinea-fowl aloe	<i>Aloe graminicola</i>	Aloaceae	50.1 ^p	
5	Oron	Termarindi	<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	Fabaceae	3.7 ^d	
6	Chetoye	Wing-leaved wooden pear	<i>Schrebera alata</i>	Oleaceae	7.6 ^d	
7	Komel/ Kemol	Velvet bush willow	<i>Combretum molle</i>	Combretaceae	6.8 ^d	





8	Lakathetwa/ Lagathethwa	Cape mytle	<i>Myrsine africana</i>	Myrsinaceae	1.1 ^d	
9	Arerenyon	Cadaba bush	<i>Cadaba farinosa</i>	cafer	7.1 ^d	
10	Pukwa/Pungwa	Waterberry tree	<i>Dalbergia vaccinifolia</i>	Fabaceae	5.8 ^d	
11	Reperwo/Reper	Waterberry tree	<i>Syzygium cordatum</i>	Myrtaceae	10.2 ^{cd}	





12	Chelewa/Chel ewe	Cheesewood	<i>Pittosporum</i> <i>viridiflorum</i>	Pittosporaceae	8.2 ^d	
----	---------------------	------------	---	----------------	------------------	---

13	Mashan	Baamba	<i>Commiphora boiviana</i>	Burseraceae	11.1 ^{cd}	
----	--------	--------	----------------------------	-------------	--------------------	---

14	Tirak	Abysinian jujube	<i>Ziziphus abyssinica</i>	Rhamnaceae	17.3 ^c	
----	-------	------------------	----------------------------	------------	-------------------	---

15	Simotwo	Common wild fig	<i>Ficus thonningii</i>	Moraceae	5.4 ^d	
----	---------	-----------------	-------------------------	----------	------------------	--

16	Chepthuya eulea	Diamond-leaved	<i>Euleadivinoum</i>	Ebenaceae	16. 5 ^c	
17	Manapelion orange	Winged cherry	<i>Teclea pilosa</i>	Rutaceae	12.2 ^{cd}	
18	Toboswo/ Toboswa	Boad-leaved coton	<i>Croton macrostachyus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	52.8 ^b	
19	Lolotwo	False marula	<i>Lannea fulva</i>	Anacardiaceae	20. 5 ^c	

20	Ririon	Creamy peacock flower	<i>Delonix elata</i>	Fabaceae	8.0 ^d	
21	Mwarubaine	Neem	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	Meliaceae	18.8 ^c	
22	Senetwo	Kenyan croton	<i>Croton megalocarpus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	71.3 ^a	
23	Chebriandar	Bitter leaf venonia	<i>Vernonia amygdalina</i>	Compositae	46.0 ^{bc}	

24 Koyopkwo Camel's foot *Piliostigmalthonnin* Fabaceae 29.5°
gii



25 Mushebut Tree Entada *Endataabyssinica* Mimosaceae 16.8°



UNDER PEER REVIEW

203 Note: The mean percentages with homogeneous superscript alphabetic letters means there is no
204 significant difference in such means as indicated by DMRT

205 **3.1.2 Prevalence of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs on Farms**

206 Table 2 indicate that the percent *Croton megalocarpus* (79.6%) is the most prevalent medicinal tree
207 species while *Myrsine africana* (0.9%) is the least prevalent species among the 25 medicinal tree and
208 shrub species that have been domesticated by households in Chepareria division. The medicinal trees
209 and shrubs are mainly planted on the boundary, in home gardens, as shelter belts, live fence and as
210 scattered trees or shrubs on farm.

211 DMRT indicated that the mean percent prevalence of *Croton megalocarpus* (79.6%) is significantly higher
212 compared to percent prevalence of other medicinal trees and shrubs that have been domesticated in
213 Chepareria administrative division.

214

Table 2: Average Percent Prevalence of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs on Farms

	Scientific name	Prevalence (%)	Where planted or reserved
1	<i>Flacourtiaindica</i>	10.5 ^{cd}	Boundary, scattered
2	<i>Commiphora Africana</i>	17.1 ^{cd}	Boundary
3	<i>Ochnainsculpta</i>	15.8 ^{dc}	Garden, boundary
4	<i>Aloe graminicola</i>	33.7 ^b	Garden
5	<i>Tamarindusindica</i>	4.3 ^d	Boundary, shelter belts
6	<i>Schreberaalata</i>	13.4 ^{cd}	Boundary
7	<i>Combretummolle</i>	10.7 ^{cd}	Garden, boundary
8	<i>Myrsineafriana</i>	0.9 ^d	Garden, Boundary
9	<i>Ziziphusabyssinica</i>	21.1 ^c	Garden
10	<i>Ficusthonningii</i>	8.9 ^{cd}	Boundary, scattered on farm
11	<i>Cadaba farinose</i>	7.0 ^d	Boundary, Garden, scattered on farm
12	<i>Dalbergiavaccinifolia</i>	10.3 ^{cd}	Boundary
13	<i>Syzygiumcordatum</i>	6.3 ^d	Boundary
14	<i>Commiphoraboiviniana</i>	4.3 ^d	Boundary, scattered on farm
15	<i>Euleadivinoum</i>	9.0 ^{cd}	Boundary, Life fence
16	<i>Pittosporumvividiflorum</i>	5.5 ^d	Boundary, scattered on farm
17	<i>Tecleapilosa</i>	8.5 ^d	Boundary, scattered on farm
18	<i>Croton macrostachyus</i>	72.7 ^a	Boundary, Life fence
19	<i>Lanneafulva</i>	19.8 ^c	Boundary, wind breaks scattered on farm, garden
20	<i>Delonixelata</i>	8.7 ^d	Boundary
21	<i>Azadirachtaindica</i>	20.9 ^c	Wind breaks, Boundary Scattered
22	<i>Vernoniaamygdalina</i>	47.7 ^b	Boundary, wind breaks
23	<i>Piliostigmathonningii</i>	17.1 ^c	Wind breaks, Boundary, Scattered, garden
24	<i>Endataabyssinica</i>	14.4 ^{cd}	Boundary, Scattered, garden
25	<i>Croton megalocarpus</i>	79.6 ^a	Garden, Scattered, Boundary

216 Note: The mean percentages with homogeneous superscript alphabetic letters means there is no
 217 significant difference in such means as indicated by DMRT.

218 One-way ANOVA indicated that there is significant difference in the mean percent prevalence of
 219 medicinal trees and shrubs domesticated on farms in Chepareria administrative division of West-Pokot
 220 County (F = 9.447, d.f = 24, P < .0001) (Table 3).

221 **Table 3: One-Way ANOVA for Abundance of Medicinal Tree And Shrub Species on Farm**

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	3649.188	8	456.148	9.447	.000
Within Groups	47800.110	990	48.283		
Total	51449.297	998			

222 **3.1.3 Survival of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs on Farms**

223 Table 4 indicates that *Croton megalocarpus* and *Myrsineafriana* have the highest (72.7%) and lowest
 224 (6.6%) survival rates respectively compared to all the 25 medicinal tree and shrub species domesticated
 225 in Chepareria.

226 DMRT indicated that the mean survival rates of *Aloe graminicola* (62.6%), *Croton macrostachyus* (69.8%)
 227 *Vernonia amygdalina* (69.3%) and *Croton megalocarpus* (72.7%) are significantly higher while the
 228 survival rates of *Tamarindus indica* (12.0%), *Myrsine afriana* (6.6%), *Dalbergia vacciniifolia* (9.4%) and
 229 *Commiphoraboi viniana* (7.2%) are significantly lower.

230 **Table 4: Survival Rates of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs**

	Scientific name	Survival (%)
1	<i>Flacourtia indica</i>	33.3bc
2	<i>Commiphora africana</i>	24.0c
3	<i>Ochnain sculpta</i>	37.8bc
4	<i>Aloe graminicola</i>	62.6a

5	<i>Tamarindusindica</i>	12.0d
6	<i>Schrebera alata</i>	35.6b
7	<i>Combretum molle</i>	41.9b
8	<i>Myrsine africana</i>	6.6d
9	<i>Ziziphus abyssinica</i>	15.9c
10	<i>Ficus thonningii</i>	43.7b
11	<i>Cadaba farinose</i>	23.1c
12	<i>Dalbergia vacciniifolia</i>	9. 4d
13	<i>Syzygium cordatum</i>	19.6c
14	<i>Commiphoraboi viniana</i>	7.2d
15	<i>Eulea divinoum</i>	31.1c
16	<i>Pittosporumvin vidiflorum</i>	11.9cd
17	<i>Teclea pilosa</i>	24.1c
18	<i>Croton macrostachyus</i>	69.8a
19	<i>Lanneafulva</i>	48.4ab
20	<i>Delonixelata</i>	31.9c
21	<i>Azadirachtaindica</i>	43.7b
22	<i>Vernoniaamygdalina</i>	69.3a
23	<i>Piliostigma thonningii</i>	46.8b
24	<i>Endata abyssinica</i>	27.6c
25	<i>Croton megalocarpus</i>	72.7a

231

232 One-way Anova indicated that there is a significant difference in the survival rates of medicinal tree and
 233 shrub species domesticated by planting in the administrative division of Chepareria in West-Pokot County
 234 (F = 810. 572, d.f = 24, P <0.0001) (Table 5).

235

236


237

238 **Table 5 One-Way ANOVA for Survival Rates of Medicinal Tree and Shrub Species on Farm**

239

Total Harvest					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	7.520E11	8	9.400E10	810.572	.000
Within Groups	1.148E11	990	1.160E8		
Total	8.668E11	998			

240 To improve survival rates, farm owners are taking a number of activities as presented in Figure 2.



241

242 **Figure 2: Activities Improve Survival O Medicinal Trees and Shrubs in Chepareria**

243 3.2 Discussion

244 3.2.1 Domestication of medicinal plants and shrubs

245 This study showed that the Pokot community which is one of the ASAL inhabitants in Kenya has placed
246 high value on medicinal trees and shrubs. 91.7% of the sampled households had domesticated at least
247 one medicinal tree/shrub. They value traditional medicine prescribed by traditional healers rather than the
248 pharmaceutical drugs administered in modern health centers [9]. This finding agrees with that of [23] who
249 asserted that most communities in the East Africa ASALs rely heavily on trees and shrubs hence they
250 have opted to domesticate them in order to access their services easily.

251 *Croton megalocarpus* had the highest rate of adoption because most people were familiar with it and
252 aware of its medicinal value. Rather than the medicinal purpose, the tree also provided fuel, fodder,
253 shade and timber to the households and it was well adapted to the harsh climatic condition of the region
254 because it is an indigenous tree in Kenya hence high domestication rate. This result conferred with that of
255 [24] who indicated that most of trees which are domesticated are chosen based on their beneficial values,
256 and a multipurpose tree is highly prioritized.

257 3.2.2 Prevalence medicinal plants and shrubs

258 *Flacourtia indica*, *Commiphora Africana*, *Ochnainsculpta*, *Aloe graminicola*, *Tamarindus indica*, *Schrebera*
259 *alata*, *Combretummolle*, *Myrsine afriana*, *Ziziphus abyssinica*, *Ficus thonningii*, *Cadaba farinose*,
260 *Dalbergia vacciniifolia*, *Syzygium cordatum*, *Commiphoraboi viniana*, *Euleadivinoum*,
261 *Pittosporumvividiflorum*, *Tecleapilosa*, *Croton macrostachyus*, *Lannea fulva*, *Delonixelata*, *Azadirachta*
262 *indica*, *Vernoniaamygdalina*, *Piliostigma thonningii*, *Endata abyssinica* and *Croton megalocarpus* were
263 found to be the most common medicinal trees and/or shrubs domesticated in the area. This list of
264 medicinal tree and/shrubs found in Chepareria concurs with that reported by [5] with *Croton*
265 *megalocarpus* being the most prevalent medicinal tree. The trees were found as live fences,
266 homegardens, scattered on farms and pastures to provide shade as was also indicated by [9].

267 *Myrsine africana* was the least adopted tree species in the region since it was a rare species and the
268 community had little knowledge about it except the medicinal specialists. This finding is in agreement with

269 that of [25] who indicated that local communities prefer to domesticate trees that they fully understand
270 besides its monetary return. Further, different farmers had different perception and view on specific
271 species hence adoptions varied. This study is against the findings of [26] who asserted that there are no
272 variations in the adoptions on medicinal trees by herbalists.

273 **3.2.3 Survival medicinal plants and shrubs**

274 Survival of medicinal tree/shrub planted on farms depended on various factors. These factors included
275 tree species, ability of the plant to adapt to the environmental conditions such as low precipitation leading
276 to prolonged dry seasons, very high evapotranspiration, poor edaphic conditions (little nutrients and low
277 organic matter), strong dry winds during drought, destruction by wildlife and livestock, destruction by
278 human. This finding concurs with the study by [27] which showed that trees have different adaptation ability,
279 and some plants can survive in harsh environmental conditions. The 25 medicinal trees/shrubs that have
280 survived in Chapareria have the following xerophytic characteristics:- deep rooted to absorb water from
281 the lower soil layers, small leaves mainly spines to reduce the surface area for evapotranspiration and
282 destruction by herbivores which feed on plant leaves, fleshy stems and bark to store water and reversed
283 stomata sequence, and it agrees by the study of [28].

284 The results of this study showed that *Croton megalorcapus* has the highest survival rate meaning it has
285 all the desirable characteristics needed for survival in the dryland ecosystem of Chapareria, being an
286 indigenous tree in the region, it was easy to establish, required minimal tending throughout its life cycle,
287 and people had placed very high value on this tree species hence promoting its conservation, this
288 concurs with the study [27]. The species with low survival rates indicated that they required intensive care
289 especially during the initial stages of development which was not accomplished by many households due
290 to lack of silvicultural knowledge. Low survival could also be caused by animal damage, low adaptation
291 rate to the dryland conditions especially the exotic tree/shrub species; this adheres to the results
292 indicated by the vegetation inventory by [29].

293 The study also indicated that most death of the domesticated trees and/or shrubs are caused by
294 human/animal damages and low seed quality; hence protection from damages by animals and human
295 was the most crucial activity to be carried out. Other management practices that could increase the

296 survival rate included, weeding, watering seedlings, using high quality planting material and pruning, this
297 management practices confers with the study on dryland tree management practices outlined by [30].

298 **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

299 Medicinal trees and shrubs are highly valued in most African ASAL societies including Chapareria since
300 they still appreciate the power of taking raw medicine from plants. Use of traditional medicine is a form of
301 preserving their cultures and connecting to their ancestors. Changes in the modern society such as
302 population increase of human and livestock diseases and commercialization of the traditional medicine as
303 a result of development of a currency economy has led to exploitation of these tree species in the wild.
304 This has led to decrease in the population of medicinal trees and/or shrubs and even extinction of some
305 trees. Domestication of this medicinal trees and shrubs on farms by the local households in Chapareria
306 has been adopted to reduce the pressure on the natural woodlands and increase production of traditional
307 medicine to serve the local community.

308 Based on the findings of this study, the study recommends that an intensive farm forestry extension
309 should be carried out in Chapareria by the forest extension officers to teach and encourage the
310 households to domesticate and adopt the medicinal trees/shrubs in their farms. The government should
311 also provide high quality affordable seeds or seedlings to the households in Chapareria to increase the
312 domestication and survival rate of trees/shrubs

313 **REFERENCES**

- 314 1. Canter P, Thomas H, Ernst E. Bringing Medicinal Plants into Cultivation: Opportunities and
315 Challenges for Biotechnology. *Trends Biotechnol*, 2005; 23(4), 180-185. doi:
316 10.1080/15287399409531842 PMID:8126749
- 317 2. Siahisar B, Rahimi M, Tavassoli A, Raissi A. Application of biotechnology in production of
318 medicinal plants. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agric. & Environ. Sci.* 2011; 11 (3): 439-444
- 319 3. Ekor E. The Growing Use of Herbal Medicines: Issues relating to adverse reactions and
320 challenges in monitoring safety. *Front Pharmacol.* 2013; 4 (177). doi: 10.3389/fphar.2013.00177

- 321 4. Benzie, I.F. &Wachtel-Galor, S. (2011). *Herbal Medicine: Biomolecular and Clinical Aspects*, (2nd
322 Ed). Boca Raton: CRC Press. ISBN 9781439807132
- 323 5. Falodun, A. (2010). Herbal medicine in Africa-Distribution, Standardization and Prospects.
324 *Research Journal of Phytochemistry*, 4: 154-161
- 325 6. Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case Study Research, Design and Methods*. Third Edition. Thousand Oaks,
326 California: Sage Publications
- 327 7. Njoroge GN, Kaibui IM, Njenga PK, Odhiambo PO. Utilisation of priority traditional medicinal
328 plants and local people's knowledge on their conservation status in arid lands of Kenya (Mwingi
329 District). *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*. 2010; 6(1): 22-28.
- 330 8. Otieno EN, Analo C. Local indigenous knowledge about medicinal plants in and around
331 Kakamega forest in western Kenya. *F1000Research*. 2012; 1(40). 10.12688/f1000research.1-40.
- 332 9. Furukawaa T, Kiboib S, Chalo M, Fujiwarac K. Multiple use Patterns of Medicinal Trees in an
333 Urban Forest in Nairobi, Kenya, *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 2016; 18: 34–40.
- 334 10. Kipkore W, Wanjohi B, Rono H, Kigen G. A Study of the Medicinal Plants used by the Marakwet
335 Community in Kenya. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*; 2014; 10(24).
336 doi:10.1186/1746-4269-10-24
- 337 11. Bussmann R, Sharon D, Lopez A. Blending Traditional and Western Medicine: Medicinal Plant
338 use amongst patients at Clinica Anticona in El Porvenir, Peru. *Ethnobotany Research &*
339 *Applications*. 2007; 5:185–199
- 340 12. Sher H, Alyemeni MN, Faridullah A. Cultivation and domestication study of high value medicinal
341 plant species (its economic potential and linkages with commercialization). *African Journal of*
342 *Agricultural Research*. 2010; 5(18): 2462-2470
- 343 13. Assefa A, Abebe T. Ethnobotanical Study of Wild Medicinal Trees and Shrubs in Benna Tsemay
344 District, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Science and Development*. 2014; 2(1):17-33.
- 345 14. Wang C, Liu C, Wan J, Zhang Z. Climate change may threaten habitat suitability of threatened
346 plant species within Chinese nature reserves. *Peer J*. 2016; 14(5): 484–492:e2091
347 10.7717/peerj.2091

- 348 15. Kipkorir BE, Welbourn FB. *The Marakwet of Kenya: A preliminary study*. Nairobi: Kenya Literature
349 Bureau. 1973.
- 350 16. Khemani LD, Srivastava MM, Srivastava S. (Ed). *Chemistry of Phytopotentials: Health, Energy*
351 *and Environmental Perspectives*, New York: Springer. 2011.
- 352 17. Yin RK. *Case Study Research, Design and Methods*. Third Edition. Thousand Oaks, California:
353 Sage Publications. 2003.
- 354 18. Jaetzold R, Hornetz B, Shisanya C, (Eds). *Farm management handbook*. Ministry of
355 Agriculture, Kenya. 2010.
- 356 19. Owino YO, Sirmah PK, Hitimana J. The prediction of leaf biomass production from *Faidherbia*
357 *albidain* semi arid land, Pokot County, Kenya. *Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and*
358 *Forestry*. 2018; 1(2): 1-10. DOI: 10.9734/AJRAF/2018/ 40867
- 359 20. Israel GD. *Determining Sample Size*. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS),
360 University of Florida. 2013; PEOD-6, 1-5.
- 361 21. Amin, M.E. (2005). *Social Science Research Conception, methodology & Analysis*. Kampala:
362 Makerere University Press.
- 363 22. Carmer SG, Swanson MR. An evaluation of ten pair-wise multiple comparison procedures by
364 monte Carlo methods. *J. American Statist. Alloc.* 1973; 68: 66-74
- 365 23. Milimo PB, Dick J, McP, Munro RC. Domestication of trees in Semi-Arid East Africa: the current
366 situation. In: Leaky, R. R. B, Newton, A.C., (eds.). *Tropical trees: the potential for domestication*
367 *and the rebuilding of forest resources*. London, HMSO. 1994; 210-219. (ITE symposium, 29).
- 368 24. Muthee J, Gakuya D, Mbaria J, Kareru P, Mulei C, Njonge F. Ethnobotanical study of anthelmintic
369 and other medicinal plants traditionally used in Loitoktok district of Kenya. *Journal of*
370 *ethnopharmacology*. 2011; 135 (1): 15-21. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2011.02.005
- 371 25. Street RA, Prinsloo G. Commercially Important Medicinal Plants of South Africa: A Review,
372 *Journal of Chemistry*. Hindawi. 2012; Article ID 205048, 16 pages
373 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/205048>

- 374 26. Ngarivhumea T, Van't Kloosterc CIEA, de Jong JTVM, Westhuizen JH. Medicinal Plants used by
375 Traditional Healers for the Treatment of Malaria in the Chipinge District in Zimbabwe. *Journal of*
376 *Ethnopharmacology*. 2015; 159: 224–237. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2014.11.011
- 377 27. Njoroge G. Traditional medicinal plants in two urban areas in Kenya (Thika and Nairobi): Diversity
378 of traded species, and conservation concerns. *Journal of ethnobotanical research*. 2012; 9: 329-
379 338.
- 380 28. Zhang S, Fan D, Xu X. Eco-physiological adaptation of dominant tree species at two contrasting
381 habitats in Southwestern China. *F1000Research*. 2013; 2:122. doi: 10.12688/f1000research
- 382 29. Durugbo EU, Oyatoran BO, Oyejide NE. Vegetation inventory of the redemption camp, Ogun
383 state, Nigeria,: Evaluation of medicinal plant resources and strategies for conservation. *Journal of*
384 *biological sciences*. 2012; 12(1): 34-42. DOI:10.393/jbs.2012.34.42
- 385 30. Jeruto P, Mutai C, Ouma G, Lokhoba C. An inventory of medicinal plants that the people of Nandi
386 use to treat Malaria. *Journal of Animal & Plant health sciences*. 2011; 9(3): 1192-1200.
387 <http://www.biosciences.elewa.org/JAPS>.