SOLID STATE FERMENTATION OF PLANT PROTEIN MEALS USING *Lactobacillus acidophilus* FOR IMPROVING FEED VALUE

Abstract

Usage of some legumes and oil seed meal as fishmeal substitute is hampered by low protein content and anti nutritional factors (ANF). Inclusion of some exogenous enzyme cocktail like phytase, xylanase can reduce some ANF but is costly. Solid state fermentation of the plant proteins is affordable and could be useful in upgrading the protein content, elevating the nutrient and mineral status and eliminating ANF from plant based feed ingredients. We therefore extracted *Lactobacillus acidophilus* from intestine of adult African catfish. Extracted *L. acidophilus* was cultured at 37^{o}C for 48hrs in molarhilton broth. Approximately 10g of the bacteria broth containing 9.4 log 10 colony forming unit (CFU) per ml was mixed with 200g meals of bambaranut meal and African yam beans meal placed in a brown bottom flask. The ground meals and bacteria mixtures were fermented for 72 hours. Temperature was maintained at 28.6^{o}C to 34^{o}C. The pH of the mixtures was measured everyday and the fermenting mixture was regularly stirred. Fermentation was stopped after 72hrs and the meals were subjected to proximate analysis. Protein content of the meals significantly increased ($P<0.05$) as follows: BNM, 24.82±0.15% to 40.37±0.27% and AYB, 23.65±0.07 % to 34.56±1.36 %. Lipid content of meals significantly increased ($P<0.05$) as follows BNM, 7.11±0.01 to 14.29±0.05% and AYB, 2.96±0.45% to 5.76±0.09%. There was general decrease in composition of carbohydrate and ANFs were drastically reduced or completely eliminated from the meals.

Key Words: Solid State fermentation, Lactobacillus, Anti nutritional factor, sesame seed, African yam beans and bambaranut meal

INTRODUCTION

Solid state fermentation is a bioprocess where microbial organism undertakes fermentation of substrate matrix in absence of free flowing water [1, 2, 3]. Although abundant water is absent in solid state fermentation the substrate must have enough water to sustain growth of microbes [4]. Based on the nature of substrate used solid state fermentation can be classified into two, those cultivated on natural material and inert materials [5]. Solid state fermentation is becoming more important because of bioactive compound or secondary metabolites produced in the process [6, 7, 8]. Solid sate fermentation has been used in reduction of non starch polysaccharides and α-galactosides of soybean meal [9]. It has also been used in degrading glucosinolate in rapeseed meal [10]. Solid state fermentation could produce enzyme like phytase [4], xylanase [11], glucanases and xylanase [12], from the bioprocess of the microbe on the substrate matrix. These enzymes have immense application in feed industry. African yam beans (AYB) *Sphenostylis stenocarpa* is a neglected legume belonging to the family *Papilionacea*, subfamily *Leguminosae* [13]. African yam beans are cultivated in Western, Central and Eastern Africa. AYB is proteinous and the protein content is about 21-24% [14, 15]. Africa yam beans have been included in feed of African catfish with mixed results. Bambaranut (*Voandzeia subterranea*) is a proteinous legume belonging to the family Fabaceae. Bambaranut has always been regarded as of African origin therefore a C4 plant [16, 17]. But analysis of naturally occurring stable isotopes of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ showed that Bambaranut is actually a C3 plant like soybean [18]. Consequently

it could be that bambaranut was introduced by early explorers or is an outlier in the C4, C3 plant continuum. The crude protein content of bambaranut is 24–28 % [16, 17, 19]. The crude lipid content of Bambaranut is about 12–18 % [20, 17, 21]. Bambaranut is a good substitute of soybean in the diets of African catfish. Bambaranut also has lesser content of ANF like phytate than soybean [22]. Substitution of fishmeal with solid state fermented bambaranut meal (BNM) in the diets of African catfish *C. gariepinus* produced faster growth rate of the fish than the unfermented BNM [23]. Lactic acid bacteria LAB and carnobacterium species occurs as normal flora within the intestine of most healthy fish [24, 25]. The application of LAB in fermentation of feed products enhances the palatability and microbiological safety [26].

This research is aimed at analyzing the nutritional effects of separately fermenting bambaranut meal (BNM) and African yam beans (AYB) meal with *Lactobacillus acidophilus* using solid state techniques.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

African yam beans: Grains of African yam beans (AYB) were purchased from open grain market at Enugu Nigeria. The grains were sorted to remove unwanted particles and stones. Sorted AYB were then autoclaved at 100^{o}C for 15mins, cooled and then cracked in a mill. The seed coats were removed after the cracking and the seed were ground to dust using a hammer mill. The ground meals were stored in air tight container till used within 24hrs.

Bambaranut meal: Bambaranut meal was produced from bambara groundnut purchased from open grains market in Enugu Nigeria. The grains were carefully sorted and bad grains and stones were removed. The grains were washed with clean water and dried at 55^{o}C for 1h. The

66 bambaranut were then autoclaved at 100^{o}C for 5 mins. After autoclaving the seed were cooled
67 and cracked in a hammer mill and the grains were milled to dust, so as to pass a 40 mesh sieve
68 and stored in air tight container for use within 24hrs.

69 **Micro organism used and solid state fermentation**

70 The *Lactobacillus acidophilius* used in this experiment were extracted from the gut of matured
71 African catfish *Clarias gariepinus.* Mature African catfish of weight 865g and length 68cm were
72 stocked at 2 fish per 35 litre glass aquarium. The catfish was sacrificed with a gentle blow on the
73 head. The stunned fish was dissected and the gut was divided into foregut, mid gut and hind gut.
74 The gut was cut open horizontally and 5g of the intestine piece was cut and minced in a test tube
75 with distilled water making it up to 1ml. The 1ml stock solution was mixed with 9mls of distilled
76 water to give a 1:10 dilution. The mixture was vortex for 5mins. This same procedure was
77 carried out for intestinal samples from mid gut and hind gut. The stock solution was diluted with
78 sterile 0.1% peptone water up to 10^{-6} according to [27]. 1m of the stock dilution was spread using
79 pour plate techniques, on two replicate plates of nutrient agar, tryptic soy agar plates (TSA;
80 MERCK, GERMANY). MacConkey agar and Eosin methylene blue agar, were added to
81 determine the total bacterial counts, using sterile glass spreader. The agar plates were incubated
82 at 36^{o}C for 48hrs. Plates were read after incubation by considering and selecting those plates
83 containing between 30-300. The counting was done using and illuminated colony counter. The
84 isolation of identified colonies was done by sub culturing of representative samples on freshly
85 prepared plates. The plates were incubated at 37^{o}C for 48 hours. The colonies were subculture in
86 tryptic soy agar plates (TSA; Merck, Germany) to obtain pure cultures. Bacterial isolates were
87 subjected to morphological and biochemical characterisation of the sub cultured based on Gram

staining techniques according to the Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology [28, 27]. Morphological characteristics examined color, edge, elevation, shape and arrangement of microorganisms. Microorganisms were examined under slide was made in oil immersion after Gram staining. The biochemical tests carried out in characterisation of the microbes were catalase test, coagulase test, motility test, oxidase test after [29]; sugar fermentation test and Voges –Proskauer test [30]. Extracted *L. acidophilus* was cultured at 37^{o}C for 48hrs in Mueller Hinton broth. The fermentation was done in triplicates. The grinded plant protein meals (bambaranut meal, sesame seed meal and African yam beans meal) were weighed and 200g, separated for the experiment. The `grinded meals were placed in a brown bottom flask and 10g of the bacteria (*L. acidophilus*) broth containing 9.4 log 10 colony forming unit (CFU) per ml was mixed with the meals. The mixtures were fermented for 72 hours. The temperature was regularly checked and recorded. The temperature of the mixture ranged from 28.6^{o}C to 34^{o}C. The temperature of the fermented meal fluctuated constantly from 28.6^{o}C to 34^{o}C through the period of solid state fermentation. The mixtures were stirred according to methods stated in Enyidi and Etim [23]. The pH of the mixtures was measured everyday using a pH meter. The fermentation was arrested after 72hrs and the plant protein meals were subjected to proximate analysis to determine the effects of the solid state fermentation of the nutritional quality of the meals.

Proximate analysis

The crude protein analyses dried samples were done by Kjeldahl method using Tecator kjeltec model 1002 system with block digestion plus steam distillation. The crude protein was calculated

as %N x 6.25. The total lipids of the fermented meals were analyzed by chloroform-methanol extraction at a ratio of 2:1 [31, 32, 21]. Moisture content of the feeds was determined by oven drying feed samples at 105°C. Ash content was determined by incineration samples in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 24 hrs. The ash % was weight of ash/weight of sample x 100. The energy value was measured using a bomb calorimeter and expressed in kcal.

Anti nutritional factors

The phytate was measured after [33]. The phytic acid of the raw and fermented meal variants were analysed.

Mineral composition

The metal contents of the meals were measured by weighing 2.0 g of the meals mixing this with the digesting mixture made of 1ml of 30 % hydrogen peroxide (H_20_2) and 6 ml of concentrated nitric acid (HNO3). The mixture was placed in a microwave set at 70^oC till digestion was over. The digested samples were filtered using what-man filter paper, the filtrate was diluted with distilled water in a 250ml volumetric flask. Resultant solution were analysed for metals using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (UNICAM 939) that is connected to MS Window application software.

Calculations and statistical analysis

The mean values of the proximate analysis from the three plant protein meals were subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Pair wise independent t test was carried out to examine

130 significant differences between the proximate analyses of fermented and non fermented variants
131 of each plant protein meal.

Process flow chart for production of solid state fermented African yam beans meal (AYBM) for improved feed production

African yam beans seed purchased

↓

Sorting and removal of unwanted material and bad seed

↓

Washing of seed with clean water

↓

Drying of seed at 55^{o}C

↓

Milling of seed to dust in hammer mill

↓

Sieving of meal and removal of particles of hard seed coat

↓

Cooling of AYBM at room temperature

↓

Mixing with *L. acidophilus* broth

↓

Fermented for 72 hours

↓

Drying of seed at 55°C

↓

Milling of meal in attrition mill

↓

Solid state fermented AYBM

↓

Storage in cool dry place

132

133

134

135

136

Process flow chart for production of solid state fermented Bambaranut meal for improved feed production

Bambara ground nut seed purchased

↓

Sorting and removal of unwanted material and bad seed

↓

Washing of seed with clean water

↓

Drying of seed at 55°C

↓

Milling of seed to dust in hammer mill

↓

Sieving of meal and removal of particles of hard seed coat

↓

Cooling of bambaranut meal at room temperature

↓

Mixing with *L. acidophilus* broth

↓

Fermented for 72 hours

↓

Drying of seed at 55°C

↓

Milling of meal in attrition mill

↓

Solid state fermented BNM

↓

Storage in cool dry place

137

138

139 **RESULTS**

140 The results of pair wise independent t test analysis of proximate content of bambarant meal
141 shows that there are significant differences between the proximate composition of fermented and
142 non fermented bambaranut meal. The proximate compositions of the raw bambaranut meal are
143 tabulated in Table 1. The proximate compositions of bambaranut meal were generally increased
144 after the four days of fermentation. Protein content of the fermented meal (40.37±0.27%) (means
145 ±SD) was significantly higher than the raw meal (24.82±0.15%) ($P<0.05$) table 2. The lipid
146 content of the BNM was significantly increased from 7.11±0.01% of the raw BNM to
147 14.29±0.05% of the fermented BNM ($P<0.05$). Conversely, the carbohydrate content of the
148 fermented BNM (20.65±0.27 %) was much lower than the content of the raw BNM
149 54.59±0.06% (Table 2). Crude fibre of the raw BNM was 7.62±0.15% but this was reduced to
150 2.41±0.06 in the fermented BNM. Moisture content of the raw BNM was significantly increased
151 after the solid state fermentation. Moisture content increased from 9.15±0.06% of the raw BNM
152 to 16.26±0.59% of the fermented BNM ($P<0.05$). Consequently dry matter of the fermented

153 BNM, 83.74±0.58 was lower than that of the raw BNM 90.8±0.01. There was however no
154 difference in the dry matter of the fermented and raw BNM (P>0.05).There was however a
155 significant increase in the ash content of the fermented BNM 9.53±0.03% compared to the raw
156 BNM 4.52±0.03% (P<0.05).

157 Copper, sodium, iron and zinc. Raw bambaranut meal is a good source of calcium. The calcium
158 content of raw bambaranut meal was 244.5 ± 0.06 mg/100g. Solid state fermentation of BNM
159 significantly (P<0.05) elevated the calcium content to 400.06±0.12mg/100g. Phosphorous
160 composition of raw BNM was 74.56±0.78, while fermented BNM had phosphorous content of
161 140.56±0.56mg/100g (Table 3). Similarly there was significant increase in the potassium content
162 of the fermented meal. The raw BNM had potassium content of 182.09±0.08mg/100g while the
163 fermented had 203.67±0.05 mg/100g. The magnesium (Mg) content of the BNM was not much
164 affected by the solid state fermentation. The Mg content of the raw BNM was 134.05±0.58
165 mg/100g but after fermentation the Mg value was significantly increased to
166 183.47±0.13mg/100g (P<0.05). The copper content of raw BNM was 3.89±0.78mg/100g but this
167 was doubled 6.23 ± 0.89mg/100g in the solid state fermented BNM (Table 3). Raw BNM has
168 low content of sodium 19.98±0.56mg/100g. Solid state fermentation of BNM significantly
169 (P<0.05), increased the sodium content to 29.09±0.08mg/100g. Conversely, the iron content of
170 the raw BNM was very low 1.57±0.07mg/100g. The iron content of the fermented BNM
171 1.54±1.23mg/100g was not significantly different from the raw BNM (P>0.05). Zinc content of
172 raw BNM was 20.81±0.03mg/100g, but fermentation of BNM did not produce any significant
173 increase on the zinc 20.88±0.87mg/100g. Raw BNM had phytate content of 0.87±0.06mg/100g.
174 After the solid state fermentation of BNM, phytic acid was not detectable from the meal (Table

2). The analysis of tannins in BNM showed that raw BNM had 16.73± 0.06 mg/100g of tannin. However, after solid state fermentation the tannins were non detectable (Table 3).

Table 1. The proximate composition of raw bambaranut meal and African yam beans used in solid state fermentation

Parameters	Bambaranut	African yam beans	$FLSD_{0.05}$
Protein	24.82±0.15[a]	18.61±0.39[c]	0.1747
Lipid	7.11±0.01[b]	5.19±0.03[c]	0.18808
Carbohydrate	54.59±0.06[a]	56.49±0.49[a]	0.14325
Crude fiber	7.62±0.15[a]	7.61±0.02[a]	0.18487
Moisture	9.15±0.06[b]	9.83±0.05[a]	0.21777
Dry matter	90.8±0.01[ns]	90.17±0.05[ns]	0.89255
Ash	4.52±0.03[c]	4.93±0.04[b]	0.14897
Phytic acid	0.87±0.06[c]	1.02±0.09[b]	0.15712
Energy	12627.34±58.36[a]	12543.66±31.91[c]	0.09812

Proximate compositions were measured in percentage (%) but energy was measured in kcal. Means not followed by same superscript are significantly different P<0.05,values are means ±SD

Table 2. Proximate composition of solid state fermented bambaranut meal and African yam beans

Parameters	Bambaranut	African yam beans	FLSD 0.05
Protein	40.37±0.27[a]	29.85±0.51[c]	0.11480
Lipid	14.29±0.05[b]	9.00±0.33[c]	0.23079
Carbohydrate	20.65±0.27[b]	29.86±1.03[a]	0.12735
Crude fiber	2.41±0.06[b]	2.00±0.01[c]	0.14420
Moisture	16.26±0.59[b]	18.83±0.90[a]	0.18095
Dry matter	83.74±0.58[a]	81.17±0.90[b]	0.15113
Ash	4.53±0.03[a]	3.13±0.08[c]	0.23358
Phytic acid	nd	nd	
Energy	13631.01±59.11[b]	13547.66±32.85[c]	0.05812

Proximate compositions were measured in percentage (%) but energy was measured in kcal. Means not followed by same superscript are significantly different P<0.05, values are means ±SD

Table 3. Minerals and anti-nutritional factors of Raw and Fermented Bambaranut meal

Parameters in	Raw bambaranut	Fermented bambarant
Trypsin inhibitor	6.56±0.02[a]	3.29±0.04[b]

Tannins	16.73± 0.06	nd
Calcium	8+.5 ±0.06[a]	14.06±0.12[b]
Phosphorous	74.56±0.78[b]	140.56±0.56[a]
Potasium	182.09±0.08[b]	203.67±0.05[a]
Copper	3.89±0.78[b]	6.23±0.89[a]
Sodium	19.98±0.56[b]	29.09±0.08[a]
Iron	1.57±0.07[ns]	1.54±1.23[ns]
Zinc	20.81±0.03[ns]	20.88±0.87[ns]
Energy	12627.34±58.36[b]	13631.01±59.11[a]

189 Means not followed by same superscript are significantly different P<0.05

190 Values are mean ±SD

191 Table 4 Minerals and anti-nutritional factors of Raw and Fermented African yam bean

Parameters	Raw African yam beans	Solid state fermented African yam beans
Oxalic acid	2.4 ±0.01	nd
Trypsin inhibitor	5.98 ±0.07	nd
Calcium	228.78±0.67[ns]	231.6±0.07[ns]
Phosphorous	24.06±0.09[b]	57.94±0.04[a]
Potassium	24.98±1.08[b]	30.34±1.23[a]
Magnesium	40.40 ±0.43[b]	54.45±0.34[a]
Copper	2.32±1.24ns	2.65±0.07ns
Sodium	348.39±0.07[b]	398.56±0.08[a]
Iron	11.32±0.9ns	11.33±0.56ns
Zinc	7.09±0.21ns	6.04±1.02ns
Energy	12550.55±0.26[b]	14550.55±0.26[a]

192 Means not followed by same superscript are significantly different P<0.05,

193 Values are means ±SD

194 Trypsin inhibitors contained in the raw BNM was 6.56±0.02mg/100g. Similarly the content of
195 trypsin inhibitors in the raw BNM was 6.56±0.02mg/100g, while it was significantly reduced
196 (P<0.05) to merely 1.29±0.04 mg/100g in

197 The energy value of the BNM showed a significant increase from 12627.34±58.36 kcal of raw
198 BNM to 13631.01±59.11kcal (Table 3) of FBNM. Fermentation significantly increased the
199 protein content of AYB from 23.65±0.07% of raw AYB to 34.56±1.36% of fermented variant

(Table 4). Lipid content of AYB were also increased from 2.96±0.45% (raw AYB) to 5.76±0.09% (fermented AYB). The carbohydrate content of the AYB was reduced by fermentation to to 4.21±0.07% (Table 4). The mineral content of AYB increased after solid state fermentation compared to the raw AYB (Table 4). Conversely ANF like trypsin inhibitors, phytic acids and oxalic acid were drastically reduced or non detectable (Table4). The energy content of the meals also increased from 12550.55±0.26 Kcal of raw AYB to 14550.55±0.26kcal in the fermented variant.

DISCUSSIONS

Solid state fermentation of BNM and AYB was useful in upgrading their nutritive values. Solid state fermentation process had been used for improvement of plant protein ingredients [2, 9, and 23]. The increase in protein content of the fermented BNM from initial value of 24.82±0.15% [34, 35], to 40.37± 0.27%, is significant quality improvement. The protein increase could be because microbe used in the solid state fermentation secreted proteins as the fermentation proceeded. This had been noted in a previous work [36]. Solid state fermentation had been noted to increase the protein contents of fermented meals like bambaranut meal [23]; rapeseed cake [10]; Soybean meal [10] and cassava meal [37]. Reduction in carbohydrate content of BNM could also be due to hydrolysis of sugars and amylolytic activities of the *L. acidophilus.* Microbial amylase activities within fish gut has been documented [38]. The reduction in sugar contents makes BNM more suitable as feed ingredients for carnivorous fish. Bambaranut meal is known to have about 50-58% carbohydrate [39, 35]. High content of carbohydrates could lead to hyperglysaemia in carnivorous fish [40], high glycogen and elevated hepatosomatic index [41, 42, 43]. The reduction in sugar could also mean that BNM inclusion in the diets of any fish could

lead to lesser deposit of fat in the fish. Carbohydrates gets converted and stored as fat in the body of fish. The lipid content of the BNM was doubled after fermentation. This suggests more energy value of the feed if fermented BNM is used in production. Fish use lipids for their energy needs, thereby sparing protein [44]. The lipid content of BNM in the research, 7.11±0.01 and 14.29±0.05 for raw and fermented respectively was in line with previous findings of between 3.11±0.01% to 9.0% [45]. The increase in lipid content of fermented BNM would be beneficial in feed formulation because the energy value of the feed would be increased. Fermentation of BNM reduced the crude fiber content from 7.62±0.15 to 2.41±0.06%. This is important attribute since most fish find it hard to digest fiber. In a previous research [46] noted that fermentation of bambaranut was more effective in reducing ANF than other processing methods. The complete removal of phytic acid is very significant since phytic acid is a major ANF present in plant protein meal [47, 48,]. The increase in the protein content of fermented AYB is very significant and in line with previous findings of Chikwendu et al. [49] and 50] Iyang and Zakari [50] on fermented AYB. Similar results were derived for fermented soybeans by Omafuvbe et al., [51], for rapeseed by Shi et al., [10] and for bambaranut meal Enyidi and Etim [23]. The increase in protein content could be due to the increase in biomass of the bacteria agent of fermentation [2], and also due to the proteolytic action of the bacteria. African yam beans have high content of lysine and an increase in the protein content may also lead to increase in some essential amino acids. In a previous research Wang *et al*. [52], and Uckun *et al*. [53], noted that solid state fermentation of rapeseed meal with *Aspergillus oryzae* produced free amino acids, increasing protein value of fermented meal. There is little lipid contained in AYB but solid sate fermentation increased AYB lipids content. This could be because of the possible utilization of the AYB carbohydrate and production of fatty acids and as energy source [10].

CONCLUSIONS

Solid state fermentation is a good means of upgrading the nutritional values of plant protein meals. The reduction in carbohydrate content of the meals and the increase in energy level suggest that solid state fermented BNM and AYB could be good ingredients in diets of carnivorous fish. The upgrading of pant proteins using solid state fermentation could be easily applied in ingredient processing instead of dosing with micronutrients. Fermented plant proteins seem to be plausible choice ingredients in aquafeed manufacturing.

References

1. Singhania, R R., Patel, A K., Soccol, C R. Pandey, A. Recent advances in solid-state fermentation. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. (2009). 44 : 13–18
2. Lio, JY; Wang, T. Solid-State Fermentation of Soybean and Corn Processing Co-products for Potential Feed Improvement, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012, 60: 7702−7709
3. Saw, HY.; Phang, HK.; Janaun, J. Bulk properties of palm kernel cake for solid-state fermentation. Asian- Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2012, 7: 814–821
4. Papagianni, M., Nokes, SE., Filer, K. Submerged and Solid-State Phytase Fermentation by Aspergillus niger: Effects of agitation and medium viscosity on phytase production, fungal morphology and inoculum performance Food Technol. Biotechnol, 2001, 39 (4): 319–326
5. Oojikaas, L P., Weber,FJ., Buitelaar, R M., Tramper, J., Rinzema, A. Defined media and inert supports: their potential as solid-state fermentation production systems, Trends Biotechnol.18, 2000: 356.
6. Robinson, T., Singh, D., Nigam, P. Solid-state fermentation: a promising microbial technology for secondary metabolite production. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2001, 55: 284-289
7. Machado, CM, Oishi, BO, Pandey, A., Soccol, CR. Kinetics of *Gibberella fujikori* Growth and Gibberellic Acid Production by Solid State Fermentation in a Packed-Bed Column Bioreactor. Biotechnology Progress, 2004, 20: 1449-1453
8. Bhargav,S. Panda, B.P., Ali, M., Javed, S. Solid-state Fermentation: An Overview.Chem Biochem Eng. Q. 2008. 22(11) 40-73

9. Opazo, R.; Ortu´ zar, F., Navarrete, P., Espejo, R., Romero, J. Reduction of Soybean Meal Non-Starch Polysaccharides and a-Galactosides by Solid-State Fermentation Using Cellulolytic Bacteria Obtained from Different Environments. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7(9): e44783. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044783
10. Shi, C.; He, J.; Yu, J.; Yu, B.; Huang, Z.; Mao, X.; Zheng, P.; Chen, D. Solid state fermentation of rapeseed cake with Aspergillus niger for degrading glucosinolates and upgrading nutritional value. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology 2015, 6:13. 2-7.
11. Dai, X.-J.; Liu, M.-Q.; Jin, H.-X.; Jing, M.-Y. Optimisation of solid-state fermentation of Aspergillus niger JL-15 for xylanase production and xylooligosaccharides preparation. Czech J. Food Sci. 2011, 29:557–567.
12. Magalhães, R., Díaz-Rosales, P., Diógenes, A.F.; Enes, P., Oliva-Teles, A., Peres, H. Improved digestibility of plant ingredient-based diets for European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) with exogenous enzyme supplementation. Aquacult Nutr. 2018, 00:1–9.
13. Evans, IM., Boulter, D. Amino acid composition of seeds of meals of yam bean (*Sphenostylis sternocarpa*) and Lima bean (*Phaseolus innatus*) J. Sci Food Agric 1974, 25, 919-922
14. Uguru, MI., Madukaife. SO. Studies on the variability in agronomic and nutritive characteristics of African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa* Hochst ex. A. Rich. Harms). Plant Production and Research Journal, 2001. 6:10-19.
15. Oke, MO., Sobowale, SS., Ogunlakin, O. Evaluation of the effects processing methods on the anti nutritional compositions of two underutilized Nigerian grain legumes. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 2013, 16 (24):2015-2020
16. Obizoba, IC.; Egbunam, HI. Effect of germination and fermentation on the nutritional quality of Bambaranut (*Voandzeia subterranea* L. Thouars) and its product (milk). Plant Food for Human Nutrition. 1992, 42: 13–23
17. Basu, S, Roberts, JA, Azam-Ali, SN, Mayes, S. Bambara groundnut. In: Pulse, sugar and tuber crops. Genome mapping and molecular breeding in plants, (Kole, C. ed.), 2007, Vol.3, pp.147-157. Springer- Verlag Berlin.
18. Goli, AE. Bibliographical review. In Heller, J., Begemann, F., Mushonga, J. (Eds). Proceedings of the Workshop on Conservation and Improvement of Bambara Groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.),p. 4. Harare: Department of Research and Specialist Services. 1995.
19. Enyidi, UD. Production of feeds for African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* using plant proteins. Jyvaskyla Studies in Biological Sciences, 2012. 251. ISBN:978-951-39-4925-9, ISSN: 1456-9701.
20. Dakora, FD., Muofhe, LM. Nitrogen fixation and nitrogen nutrition in symbiotic Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean* (L.) Verdc.) and Kersting's bean (*Macrotyloma geocarpum* (Harms) Marech. et Baud.) In: Hekler J., Begemann, F &

316 Mushonga, J. (eds.), Proceedings of the workshop on conservation and improvement
317 of bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.), Harare Zimbabwe IPGRI
318 Gatersleben/Department of Research & Specialist Services, Harare/International Plant
319 Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy, 1995, 72-78.
320 21. Enyidi, UD., Pirhonen, J., Kettunen, J., Vilema, J. Effect of Feed Protein:Lipid Ratio on
321 Growth Parameters of African Catfish *Clarias gariepinus* after Fish Meal Substitution in
322 the Diet with Bambaranut (*Voandzeia subterranea*) Meal and Soybean (*Glycine max*)
323 Meal. Fishes 2017,2, 1;doi: doi:10.3390/fishes2010001
324 22. Enyidi Uchechukwu, Juhani Pirhonen, Jouni Vielma. Effects of substituting soybean
325 (Glycine max) meal with bambaranut (*Voandzeia subterranea*) meal on growth
326 performance and survival of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). International Journal of
327 Fisheries and Aquatic Studies, 2014, 1(3) 152-157.
328 23. Enyidi UD., Etim EO. Use of solid state fermented bambaranut meal as substitute of
329 fishmeal in the diets of African catfish *Clarias gariepinus*. Iranian Journal of Fisheries
330 Sciences 2018, DOI: 10.22092/ijfs.2018.119856
331 24. RingØ, E., Gatesoupe, FJ. Lactic acid bacteria in fish: a review.Aquaculture 1998, 160,
332 177—203
333 25. Aly, SM., Ahmed, YAG., Mohamed, MF. Studies on Bacillus subtilis and Lactobacillus
334 acidophilus, as potential probiotics, on the immune response and resistance of Tilapia
335 nilotica (*Oreochromis niloticus*) to challenge infection. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2008.
336 25:128-136.
337 26. Juodeikiene, G., Bartkiene, E., Viskelis, P., Urbonaviciene, D., Eidukonyte. D., Bobinas,
338 C. Fermentation processes using lactic acid bacteria producing bacteriocins for
339 preservation and improving functional properties of food products, in Biochemistry,
340 Genetics and Molecular Biology, Advances in Applied Biotechnology, ed. by Petre M.
341 InTech, Rijeka, 2012. pp. 63–100.
342 27. Willey, JM., Sherwood, LM., Woolverton, CJ. Prescott, Harley and Leins Microbiology
343 7th Edition. McGraw Hill New York, 2008. NY 10020.10—pp.
344 28. Hensyl, WR. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 9th Ed., pp. 155–156 and
345 pp. 179–209, Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD. 1994. Philadeiphia, Hong Kong,
346 London, Munich, Sydney, Tokyo.
347 29. Cheesborough, M. Medical laboratory manual for tropical countries II :Microbiology,
348 Cambridge University Press. 2006, 345pg
349 30. Weil, AA., Harris, JB. Vibrio cholerae In Molecular Medical Microbiology Second
350 Edition 2015
351 31. Parrish, CC. Determination of total lipid classes and fatty acids in aquatic samples. In:
352 Wetzel RG, Art MT, Wainmann BC (ed) Lipids in Freshwater Ecosystems. 1999,
353 Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA pp 4-20.
354 32. Kainz , M., Arts, M., Mazumder, A. Essential fatty acids in the planktonic food web and
355 their ecological role for higher trophic level. Limnol Oceanogr 2004, 49: 1784-1793.

33. Wheeler, EL., Ferrel, RE. A method for phytic acid determination in wheat and wheat fractions. Cereal Chem., 1979, 48: 312–320.
34. Nwokolo, E. A natural assessment of African yam bean (*Spenostylis*) (Horstex A., Rich) Harm, and bambara *Voandzeia subterranea* L. J Science food agriculture, 1987, 41 (21): 123-129
35. Enyidi, UD, Kiljunen, M., Jones, R., Vielma, J., Pirhonen, J. Nutrient assimilation by first-feeding African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) assessed using stable isotope analysis. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, 2013, 44, 161-172.
36. Elinbaum, S., Ferreyra, H., Ellenrieder, G., Cuevas, C. Production of *Aspergillus terreus* alpha-l-rhamnosidase by solid state fermentation. Lett Appl Microbiol, 2002, 34:67–71
37. Kaewwongsa, W., Traiyakun, S., Yuangklang, C., Wachirapakorn, C., Paegkoum, P. Protein enrichment of cassava pulp fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 2011, 10(18):2434-2440.
38. Ray, AK.; Ghosh, K. Ring, E. Enzyme producing bacteria isolated from fish gut: a review. Aquaculture Nutrition, 2012. 18:465-492
39. Sirivongpaisal, P. Structure and functional properties of starch and flour from bambara groundnut. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology. 2008, 30: 51–56.
40. Hemre, GI., Mommsen, TP., Krogdahl, A. Carbohydrate in fish nutrition:effects on growth metabolism and hepatic enzymes. Aquacult. Nutr. 2002, 8:175-194
41. Vielma, J., Koskela, J., Ruohonen, K., Jokinen, I., Kettunen, J. Optimal diet composition for European whitefish (Coregonus lavaretus): carbohydrate stress and immune parameter responses. Aquaculture, 2003, 225: 3-116.
42. Lee, SM., Lee, JH. Effects of dietary glucose, dextrin and starch on growth and body composition of juvenile starry flounder *Platichthys stellatus*. Fisheries Science,2004, 70, 53-58.
43. Moreira, IS., Peres, H., Couto, A., Enes, P., Oliva –Teles, A. Temperature and dietary carbohydrate level effects on performance and metabolic utilization of diets in European sea bass (*Dicentrachus labrax*) juveniles. Aquaculture, 2008, 27:153-160.
44. Luo,Y., Xie, X. Effects of high carbohydrate and high lipids diets on growth, body composition and glucose metabolism in southern catfish at two temperatures. Aquaculture Research, 2010, 41, e431-e437.
45. Minka, SR., Bruneteau, M. Partial chemical composition of bambara pea (*Vigna subterranean* (L) Verde).Food Chem. 2000, 68: 273–276.
46. Barimalaa, IS., Anoghalu, S. Effect of processing on certain anti nutrients in bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean*) cotyledons. J. Sci Food Agric, 1997, 73, 186-188.
47. Francis, G., Makkar, HPS., Becker, K. Anti nutritional factors present in plant-derived alternate fish feed ingredients and their effects in fish. Aquaculture, 2001, 199:197-227
48. Krogdahl, A., Penn, M., Thorsen, J., Bakke, A. M. Important antinutrients in plant feedstuffs for aquaculture:an update on recent findings regarding responses in salmonids. Aquaculture Research, 2010. 41:333-344

49. Chikwendu, J.N.; Obiakor, O.P.; Maduforo, A. N. (2014). Effects of fermentation on the nutrient and antinutrient s composition of African yam bean (*Spenostylis stenocarpa*) seed and pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) grain. International Journal of Science and technology . 2014, Vol., 2:169-173
50. Inyang, CU., Zakari, UM. Effect of germination and fermentation of pearl millet on proximate, chemical and sensory properties of instant Fura–Nigerian cereal food. Pakistan J. Nutr., 2009. 7, 9–12.
51. Omafuvbe, BO., Shonukan, O. O., Abiose, SH. Microbiological and biochemical changes in the fermentation of soybean for soy-daddawa – Nigeria food condiments. Food Microbiology , 2000, 17, 469-474.
52. Wang, R., Shaarani, S.M., Godoy, L.C., Melikoglu, M., Vergara, C.S., Koutinas, A. Bioconversion of rapeseed meal for the production of a generic microbial feedstock. Enzyme Microb Technol. 2010; 47:77–83.
53. Uckun, KE., Salakkam, A., Trzcinski, AP., Bakir, U., Webb, C. Enhancing the value of nitrogen from rapeseed meal for microbial oil production. Enzyme Microb Technol. 2012;50:337–42.